

ANNEX-A						
SHORT TERM NATIONAL INDIVIDUAL CONSULTANCY on "Develop and Strengthen the Decision Making and Support Mechanism in Child Protection System" (REF: PRO/TURA/2020-C)						
TECHNICAL EVALUATION SUMMARY TABLE						
Technical Evaluation Criteria	Points allocated to each criteria	Name of Bidders	1	2	3	4
			Name of Evaluators			
1. Proposal Description	10					
		Total				
		Average				
1.1. A brief introduction and overview of the assignment showing knowledge and understanding of the programme.	10					
2. Adequacy of the proposed work plan & approach	40					
		Total				
		Average				
2.1. Approach	20					
2.1.1. Scope of proposed methodology including working tools/models/techniques/approaches/principles to be applied for (i) strengthening, delivering, supervising and monitoring training programmes (ii) supporting the overall implementation of the programme and (iii) developing strategy documents (This should be consistent with the tasks detailed in the TOR under section 3).	10					
2.1.2. Proposed quality control mechanism for oversight and supervision.	5					
2.1.3. Risk management & flexibility of proposal in context of possible needs to make changes regarding time, duration, location and kind of activities.	5					
2.2. Planning & Schedule	20					
2.2.1. Service Efficiency/appropriateness of the implementation team: Proposed adequate and right staff combination in relation to the respective expected outputs of the assignment (see TOR under Section 3 and qualification of the team members under section 7).	10					
2.2.2. Quality of proposed supervision and implementation plan with time table and key possible indicators i.e. how the agency will undertake each task listed in the TOR under section 3 for timely completion of the assignment with quality output and client's	10					
3. Professional experience	20					
		Total				
		Average				
3.1. Evidence/experience in the field of training on areas related to the scope of the programme	10					
3.2. Demonstrable experience in developing strategy documents and reports.	5					
3.3. Demonstrable experience and competency in working with large stakeholder group (UN, international development organisations, government departments, NSOs, etc.) in relation to similar scope and complexity of this assignment.	5					
	#REF!	Average of points received				

Date: _____