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PREFACE

Violence against children cuts across boundaries of geography, race, class, religion and culture. It occurs in homes, schools and streets; in places of work and entertainment, and in care and detention centres. Perpetrators include parents, family members, teachers, caretakers, law enforcement authorities and other children. Some children are particularly vulnerable because of gender, race, ethnic origin, disability or social status. And no country is immune, whether rich or poor.

The consequences of violence can be devastating. Above all, it can result in early death. But even children who survive must cope with terrible physical and emotional scars. Indeed, violence places at risk not only their health, but also their ability to learn and grow into adults who can create sound families and communities.

Violence against children is thus a major threat to global development and our work to reach the Millennium Development Goals. We will not achieve universal primary education unless children are safe in school. The spread of HIV/AIDS will not be halted until we also stop the violence against girls that helps to fuel the pandemic. Violence against children is also a major obstacle to gender equality.

The impact of violence against children is fully and persuasively documented in the United Nations Study that is the subject of this book. The Study also outlines what must be done to confront this challenge. In that effort, States bear primary responsibility for preventing and responding to violence against children, and for upholding the Convention on the Rights of the Child and other treaties, which guarantee girls and boys everywhere the right to live their lives free from violence. There must be action in all sectors – from health and education to labour and justice – and at all levels, local, national and international. But civil society groups and individual citizens also have important roles to play. The UN family, for its part, remains strongly committed to this work.

Violence against children is never justifiable. Nor is it inevitable. If its underlying causes are identified and addressed, violence against children is entirely preventable. This book and Study should help to improve our understanding of the problem, and help us devise strategies to confront it. I therefore commend the contents to a wide global audience.

Kofi Annan
United Nations Secretary-General
October 2006
FOREWORD

The World Report on Violence against Children is the outcome of the first comprehensive global attempt to describe the scale of all forms of violence against children and its impact. Violence is a problem that calls for a multisectoral response. This report approaches the issue from the combined perspectives of human rights, public health and child protection.

The participatory processes which led to this report brought together the experience of Governments, international organisations, civil society organisations, research institutions and children. This rich collaborative effort has generated expectations of renewed action. Now, that action is urgently needed.

The Convention on the Rights of the Child forms an international basis for ensuring the rights and protection of children. Yet, in every country of the world, there are children who continue to fear and experience violence. The repercussions of that violence can continue throughout their lives, influencing their development, their behaviours and their health.

This report asserts that no violence against children is justifiable and all forms of violence are preventable. The commitments made at international and national levels and the accumulated knowledge described in this report give us the necessary tools to protect children from violence, to prevent it from happening in the first place, and to mitigate the consequences.

The World Report on Violence against Children must lead to lasting change. All of us share the responsibility to implement it.

Louise Arbour
United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Ann M. Veneman
Executive Director
United Nations Children’s Fund

Anders Nordström
Acting Director-General
World Health Organization
MESSAGE FROM THE NGO ADVISORY PANEL TO THE UNITED NATIONS SECRETARY-GENERAL’S STUDY ON VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN

NGOS’ COMMITMENT TO THE STUDY AND ITS FOLLOW-UP

This Study brings to the attention of the highest level of the United Nations the horrific scale of all forms of violence suffered by girls and boys at the hands of adults throughout the world. The Study process and outcome are also an affirmation of the involvement and capacity of children. Children have been engaged in the Study to an unprecedented degree. Their presence, their humanity, input and commitment have enriched every aspect of the Study.

Children’s hopes and expectations demand that States act now with real urgency to fulfil their obligations to children as rights-holders. Not only Governments, but individual adults throughout the world must accept, finally, the core message of the Study: that no violence against girls and boys is justifiable, and that all violence against them is preventable. All violence against children is a violation of their equal human right to respect for their human dignity and physical integrity.

Non-governmental organisations (NGOs) – international, regional and national – have been involved from the very beginning of the process. Working with UN and other agencies, NGOs have facilitated and supported the involvement of girls and boys at national, regional and international level. NGOs, often working directly with children and young people, have documented the types and frequency of violence against children, including the shameful fact that in 2006 so much of it still remains lawful and State-authorised – endorsed and administered by those responsible for children’s upbringing, care, welfare and education. NGOs have also demonstrated and promoted positive programmes and interventions – ways forward towards a world in which all violence against children is condemned and eliminated. The NGO Advisory Panel, set up to support the Study and expanded to include children and youth members, and the Sub-Group on Children and Violence of the NGO Group for the Convention on the Rights of the Child, have been fully consulted and involved in the preparation of the Study outcomes.1

The UN Secretary-General’s Study must generate a living process that starts rather than ends with the submission of the report to the General Assembly and the publication of this book. NGOs are ready and willing to continue and collaborate in this process. We believe that it should be led and coordinated by a Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Violence against Children who must, reflecting the Study process, engage directly with children as partners.

Children have underlined with passion the urgency of stopping all this violence; Paulo Sérgio Pinheiro has responded by setting essential time-bound targets for Governments, which bear the...
primary obligation to prevent violence and respond. We will work together with Governments to meet these targets, and in particular:

- to ensure that all currently lawfully accepted violence against girls and boys, including all corporal punishment, all harmful traditional practices, and all sexual violence, is prohibited and also effectively eliminated through awareness raising and public education;
- to support the development of a multi-faceted and systematic framework to respond to violence against children, fully integrated within national planning processes.

Finally, we would like to express our thanks to Paulo Sérgio Pinheiro, who has led the Study process in an open and participatory fashion, travelled tirelessly and proved himself to be an attentive and responsive listener to children.

1 Members of the Sub-Group on Violence of the NGO Group for the Convention on the Rights of the Child include: African Child Policy Forum; Arigatou Foundation; ECPAT International; Friends World Committee for Consultation; Global Initiative to End All Corporal Punishment of Children; Human Rights Watch; International Alliance of Women; International Catholic Child Bureau (ICCB/BICE); International Council of Women; International Federation of Social Workers; International Federation Terre des Hommes; International Save the Children Alliance; Jesuit Refugee Service; Plan International; Resources Aimed at the Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect – RAPCAN; SOS-Kinderdorf International; World Organisation Against Torture (OMCT); WWSF Women’s World Summit Foundation; World Union of Catholic Women’s Organisations; World Vision International.
THE IMPERATIVE TO END VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN

The World Report on Violence against Children is not an individual achievement nor the work of just one office, but the result of a genuine and lively participatory process, involving Governments, international entities, civil society and children. Over the last three years I have had the privilege of learning from the testimony of thousands of adults and children from all regions of the world. Their commitment to the protection and promotion of children’s rights has been the driving force behind the development of the United Nations Secretary-General’s Study on Violence against Children, and this book which elaborates on the ‘Study’.

The Study confirms that violence against children happens in all parts of the world. In the course of the Study process, though, I have witnessed the efforts of many civil society organisations that strive ceaselessly at local and international levels to ensure the protection of children. We must be inspired by the strength of those in the field who are confronted with very difficult conditions on a daily basis and who have extremely creative approaches for reducing children’s exposure to violence and advocating for their rights.

Another promising element of the Study process has been the great interest of Governments all over the world to address the issue of violence against children. As of September 2006, I had received a total of 136 responses to the questionnaire I sent to Governments as I prepared my report. Their responses do not deny the pervasiveness of violence. This recognition of violence, and the open involvement of Governments, are important steps towards putting into practice the commitments States made when they ratified the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.

The collaboration of the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, the United Nations Children’s Fund and the World Health Organisation in developing the Study was crucial in recognising the multi-faceted nature of violence against children and the need for a cooperative, multidisciplinary approach to addressing it. The Study also benefited from the substantial contributions of research centres and experts working in very different fields such as criminal justice, public health, education, public security and human rights. This is in itself a reminder that making a real and lasting difference in the lives of children requires all sectors to work together and to coordinate their efforts.

The preparation of a Study with such global dimensions was only possible through mobilisation of a formidable network of organisations and programmes around the world. United Nations entities played a leading role in promoting this participatory process, in partnership with Governments and civil society, and especially through the national, subregional and regional con-
sultations that were facilitated by UNICEF offices and various partners. This broad process of consultation was the key strategy that ensured that the final recommendations in this report are firmly anchored in the reality of children’s lives at country level.

Some forms of violence, such as sexual exploitation and trafficking and the impact of armed conflict on children, have provoked international condemnation over the past decade. The recognition of these extreme situations has helped to bring children’s concerns high on the international agenda. However attention to violence against children in general continues to be fragmented and very limited – different forms of violence in the home, schools, institutions and the community are largely ignored in current debates in the international community.

Family units are the best providers of physical and emotional care for children. Schools have a fundamental role in ensuring the development of children’s potential while protecting them. But it is Governments that have the responsibility to build a solid legal framework and to provide the support needed by families, schools and communities to adequately fulfil their role.

Appropriate legal frameworks are vital to ending violence against children, but preventing and responding to violence does not mean adopting unilateral, tough or repressive measures. Moreover, if these measures violate the rights of any group of citizens, they are never going to be effective. This is particularly serious when countries face the challenges of growing levels of violence and crime. If Governments are committed to ensuring safety, it is clear that this is not going to be achieved by locking up adolescents under appalling conditions, by condoning the use of violence by agents of the State, or by weakening civil and political rights. This Study demonstrates that effective responses to violence involve comprehensive efforts, combining long-term investment in prevention, challenging attitudes which condone or support violence, reliable data collection, and improving the functioning of State institutions and ensuring accountability.

As Nelson Mandela has reminded us, violence thrives in the absence of democracy and respect for human rights. Violence against children persists as a permanent threat where authoritarian relationships between adults and children remain. The belief that adults have unlimited rights in the upbringing of a child compromises any approach to stop and prevent violence committed within the home, school or state institution. For lasting change, attitudes that condone or normalise violence against children, including stereotypical gender roles, need to be challenged.

Our failure to listen to children has resulted in a failure to respond to their needs. It is hard to understand why and how adults can continue to argue thatchildren should have less protection from violence than adults do: in law, in policy and in practice. I sincerely hope that this Study will be a watershed in ending the double standards and compromises that have existed for far too long.
Throughout the Study, children's voices were listened to, heard, and respected. They asserted their right to protection from all forms of violence, and their desire to enjoy that right without delay. Their testimonies describing the harm they experience as a result of violence, and their distress that many adults continue to accept and approve of it must prompt us to live up to our principles, and to practise what we preach. We must act now to end children’s scepticism about adult promises. Children are tired of being told they are the future. They want to see us fulfil our promises in the present, and enjoy their right to be protected from violence today.

Paulo Sérgio Pinheiro
Geneva, Fall 2006
Ukraine, 2005, Artem, 14, sits on a wall outside 'Way Home', a shelter in the port city of Odessa. Artem arrived at the shelter three months ago after living for two years on the streets.
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AN END TO VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN
INTRODUCTION

The full range and scale of all forms of violence against children are only now becoming visible, as is the evidence of the harm it does. This book documents the outcomes and recommendations of the process of the United Nations Secretary-General’s Study on Violence against Children.

‘The Study’ is the first comprehensive, global study on all forms of violence against children. It builds on the model of the study on the impact of armed conflict on children, prepared by Graça Machel and presented to the General Assembly in 1996, and follows the World Health Organization’s 2002 World Report on Violence and Health.¹

The Study is also the first United Nations (UN) study to engage directly and consistently with children, underlining and reflecting children’s status as rights holders, and their right to express views on all matters that affect them and have their views given due weight.

The central message of the Study is that no violence against children is justifiable, and all violence against children is preventable. The Study reveals that in every region, in stark contradiction to States’ human rights obligations and children’s developmental needs, much violence against children remains legal, State-authorised and socially approved. The Study aims to mark a definitive global turning point: an end to the justification of violence against children, whether accepted as ‘tradition’ or disguised as ‘discipline’.

There can be no compromise in challenging violence against children. Children’s uniqueness – their human potential, their initial fragility and vulnerability, their dependence on adults for their growth and development – make an unassailable case for more, not less, investment in prevention and protection from violence.

In recent decades some extreme forms of violence against children, including sexual exploitation and trafficking, female genital mutilation (FGM), the worst forms of child labour and the impact of armed conflict, have provoked international outcry and achieved a consensus of condemnation, although no rapid remedy. But in addition to these extreme forms of violence, many children are routinely exposed to physical, sexual and psychological violence in their homes and schools, in care and justice systems, in places of work and in their communities. All of this has devastating consequences for their health and well-being, now and in the future.

MAKING A REAL DIFFERENCE

A number of linked and profound developments suggest that the process and outcomes of the Study are timed to make a real difference to the status and lives of children.

First, recognition of human rights obligations to eliminate violence against children has intensified with the adoption and almost universal ratification of the Convention of the Rights of the Child (CRC). The latter underlines children’s status as rights holders. Yet, as
Mandate and Scope of the Study

In 2001, on the recommendation of the Committee on the Rights of the Child, the General Assembly in its resolution 56/138 requested the Secretary-General to conduct an in-depth study on the question of violence against children and to put forward recommendations for consideration by Member States for appropriate action. In February 2003, I was appointed by the UN Secretary-General to lead this Study.

The Study adopts the definition of the child as contained in article 1 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC): “every human being below the age of 18 years unless, under the law applicable to the child, majority is attained earlier.” The definition of violence is that of article 19 of the CRC: “all forms of physical or mental violence, injury and abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, maltreatment or exploitation, including sexual abuse.” It also draws on the definition in the World Report on Violence and Health (2002): “the intentional use of physical force or power, threatened or actual, against a child, by an individual or group, that either results in or has a high likelihood of resulting in actual or potential harm to the child’s health, survival, development or dignity.”

In my capacity as Independent Expert, I submitted a report to the UN General Assembly, and this book is intended to complement that report. Child-friendly materials have also been produced. This book elaborates on the five different settings where violence against children occurs: the family, schools, alternative care institutions and detention facilities, places where children work, and in their communities. It does not address the issue of children in armed conflict, as this is in the mandate of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General for Children and Armed Conflict, but it considers related issues, such as violence against child refugees and other displaced children.

The Study and its secretariat in Geneva were supported by three UN entities: the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), and the World Health Organization (WHO), and were also informed by a multidisciplinary Editorial Board of experts.

“Children are not mini-human beings with mini-human rights. But as long as adults continue to regard them as such, violence against children will persist.”

Maud de Boer-Buquicchio, Deputy Secretary General of the Council of Europe
the Study reveals, despite this broad acceptance of the CRC, children in almost all States are still waiting for full recognition of respect for their human dignity and physical integrity, and for adequate investment in actions to prevent all forms of violence against them.

Second, children themselves are speaking out on this issue and beginning to be heard and taken seriously. Children have testified at the nine Regional Consultations held in connection with the Study about the routine violence they experience, in their homes and families and also in schools, other institutions and penal systems, in places of work, and in their communities. Violence against children exists in every State and cuts across boundaries of culture, class, education, income, ethnic origin and age. Throughout the Study process, children have consistently expressed the urgent need to stop all this violence. Children testify to the hurt – not only physical, but ‘the hurt inside’ – which this violence causes them, compounded by adult acceptance, even approval of it.

Governments need to accept that this is indeed an emergency, although it is not a new emergency. Children have suffered violence at the hands of adults unseen and unheard for centuries. But now that the scale and impact of violence against children is becoming visible, they cannot be kept waiting any longer for the effective protection to which they have an unqualified right.

Third, growing recognition of the impact of violence on the mental and physical health and well-being of children throughout their lives has given new urgency to prevention. Until the 1960s there was no significant acknowledgement, even among professionals, of the extent of death, rape and injuries inflicted on children by parents and those who have a duty of care or are in positions of trust. The problems of violence against children in schools and other settings had also only been given scant attention until recent decades.

Significantly, the expansion of research in the neurobiological, behavioural and social sciences has generated a much deeper understanding of the significance of childhood experiences to the development of the brain and the central role of early relationships in healthy brain development. This research clearly indicates the importance of the child’s positive bond or attachment to parents; it demonstrates that when protective relationships do not exist, exposure to stress in the form of violence can disrupt the developing nervous and immune systems, leading to greater susceptibility to physical and mental health problems. Thus, the opportunity to prevent violence against children promises to address a host of longer-term problems that impose a substantial social and economic burden on the nations of the world.

Fourth, there is increased recognition that the prevention of violence against children requires cooperation and collaboration among many partners. In effect, preventing and responding to violence against children should be everybody’s business. The Study has benefited from collaboration between UN agencies addressing human rights, child protection and public health, and from input from a very broad range of sectors.
No longer can different professions afford to address this problem while working in isolation. Public health, criminal justice, social services, education, human rights organisations, media and businesses—all have a common interest in eliminating violence against children, and can find more efficient and effective ways to achieve this goal by working together.

PREVENTION IS THE KEY

Despite the emerging picture of the scale of violence against children, there is a great opportunity now to move towards its elimination. Violence is not an inevitable consequence of the human condition. Governments are increasingly acknowledging and enforcing their human rights obligations to children, and recognising the prevalence and long-term impact of violence. The Study has confirmed that the knowledge and capacity to prevent violence against children and reduce its consequences exist. The science base for developing effective violence prevention strategies and therapeutic interventions is expanding; the existence of evidence-based strategies demonstrates that—with sufficient commitment and investment—creative approaches to prevention can make a difference. Moreover, protecting young children from violence has vast potential for reducing all forms of violence in society, as well as reducing the long-term social and health consequences of violence against children.

Every society, no matter what its cultural, economic or social background, can and must stop violence against children now. This requires transformation of the ‘mindset’ of societies, and the underlying economic and social conditions associated with violence.

As the Report of the United Nations Secretary-General’s Study on Violence against Children notes: “The core message of the Study is that no violence against children is justifiable; all violence against children is preventable. There should be no more excuses. Member States must act now with urgency to fulfil their human rights obligations and other commitments to ensure protection from all forms of violence. While legal obligations lie with States, all sectors of society, all individuals, share the responsibility of condemning and preventing violence against children and responding to child victims. None of us can look children in the eye if we continue to approve or condone any form of violence against them.”

A GLOBAL PROBLEM

Reports of infanticide, cruel and humiliating punishment, neglect and abandonment, sexual abuse and other forms of violence against children date back to ancient civilisations. Recently, documentation of the magnitude and impact of violence against children shows clearly that this is a very substantial and serious global problem. It occurs in every country in the world in a variety of forms and settings and is often deeply rooted in cultural, economic, and social practices.

A large proportion of children in every society suffer significant violence within their homes. Only 16 States prohibit all corporal punishment against children in all settings, leaving the vast majority of the world’s child population without
equal legal protection from being hit and deliberately humiliated within their homes. In addition, children face violence from those entrusted with their care in schools, in care and justice systems, as well as in places where they are working legally or illegally. In over 100 countries, children in schools suffer the reality or threat of State-authorised, legalised beating. In at least 30 States, sentences of whipping or caning are still being imposed on children in penal systems, and in many more States violent punishments are authorised in penal and care institutions.

**SETTINGS IN WHICH VIOLENCE OCCURS**

The Study used an analytical framework based on the environments or settings in which childhood is spent – home and family, schools, care and justice systems, workplaces and the community. Chapter 2 explores the existing framework of international instruments and mechanisms applicable to violence against children. Chapters 3 to 7 focus on the forms violence takes within each of these settings. Each chapter aims to cover the settings-related background and context of violence against children, contributory factors and risks, prevalence insofar as it has been identified, impacts on children and others, and the necessary directions for preventive action and for response to violence when it occurs.

Describing children’s experience of violence is far from straightforward. Certain forms of violence against children are common in all settings. Corporal punishment and other forms of cruel or degrading punishment are used by parents and other family members at home, by those responsible for their care in institutions, by teachers in schools, and are also inflicted on children in conflict with the law. In workplaces where children below the minimum legal age for employment are found, employers often enjoy impunity in inflicting corporal punishment on children for inadequate performance. In the community, a child who is labelled vagrant or anti-social may be assaulted or otherwise ill-treated, with impunity, by figures in authority, including police. Children forced into prostitution frequently describe their violent treatment by clients as if it were something they deserved.

Sexual abuse, physical and psychological violence, and sexual harassment are forms of violence which occur in all settings. In most societies, sexual abuse of girls and boys is most common within the home or is committed by a person known to the family. But sexual violence also occurs in schools and other educational settings, by both peers and teachers. It is rife against children in closed workplaces, such as domestic labourers employed in private households. It also takes place in institutions and in the community, at the hands people known to the victim and others. Girls suffer considerably more sexual violence than boys, and their greater vulnerability to violence in many settings is in large part a product of the influence of gender-based power relations within society. At the same time, boys are more likely to be the victims of homicide, and particularly of violence involving weapons.

Exposure to violence in one setting may well be reflected or compounded by violence in another, and the Study’s analysis has illuminated specific vulnerabilities that need to be addressed, setting by setting.
THE STUDY PROCESS

The Study was developed through a participatory process which included Regional, Sub-regional and National Consultations, expert thematic meetings and field visits. In March 2004, a detailed questionnaire was sent to Governments on their approaches to violence against children. A total of 136 responses had been received at the time of publishing.10

Between March and July 2005, nine Regional Consultations, for the Caribbean, South Asia, West and Central Africa, Latin America, North America, East Asia and the Pacific, the Middle East and North Africa, Europe and Central Asia, and Eastern and Southern Africa, were convened. Each Consultation brought together an average of 350 participants, including Government ministers and officials, parliamentarians, representatives of regional and other intergovernmental organisations and UN entities, non-governmental organisations (NGOs), national human rights institutions (NHRIs), other elements of civil society, including the media and faith-based organisations, and children themselves. Children participated in each Regional Consultation, which were all preceded by meetings where they developed inputs and recommendations for the Study. Outcome reports for each Regional Consultation were also produced. A number of Sub-regional and National Consultations were also held.

Governments which hosted these consultations were actively involved in the promotion of the Study. Regional organisations including the African Union, the Arab League, the Caribbean Community (CARICOM), the Council of Europe, the European Union, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights of the Organization of American States and the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation played important roles in the organisation of consultations. National and regional organisations have committed themselves to ongoing involvement in the follow-up to the Study.

Field visits were undertaken in Argentina, Canada, China, El Salvador, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, India, Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territory (OPT), Mali, Pakistan, Paraguay, Slovenia, South Africa, Thailand, Trinidad and Tobago – thanks to the hospitality of the Governments of the countries in which visits and consultations took place.

Regular consultations with members of the Committee on the Rights of the Child and special procedure mandate holders of the former Commission on Human Rights were
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Regular consultations with members of the Committee on the Rights of the Child and special procedure mandate holders of the former Commission on Human Rights were held. The concluding observations on States parties’ reports to the Committee were analysed, as were the reports of relevant special procedure mandate holders.

Many organisations made contributions to the Study, including the International Labour Organization (ILO), the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), and the Division for the Advancement of Women of the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. A UN Inter-agency Group on Violence against Children met to develop strategies of follow-up to the Study.

This report has also drawn on many inputs made to the Study over the last three years by different stakeholders, including children. Close to 300 individuals, NGOs, and other organisations from many parts of the world responded to the call for public submissions. Contributions included submissions from children and major research reports commissioned specifically for the Study.

An NGO Advisory Panel, including children and young people, was established early in the Study process which included representatives from all regions. The Subgroup on Children and Violence established within the NGO Group for the CRC also contributed to this effort.

In addition to preparing numerous studies, the International Save the Children Alliance made a special contribution by advising on and facilitating the involvement of children, in particular in the Regional Consultations, together with UNICEF and other partners. The global Children’s Rights Information Network (CRIN) documented the progress of the Study, including the meetings with children, making it widely available on its website.

The UNICEF Innocenti Research Centre provided particular support, along with other research centres and networks who submitted information and participated in consultations. Thematic meetings were also convened on gender-based violence, violence in schools, the home and family, violence against children with disabilities; juvenile justice systems and violence against children in conflict with the law; the role of faith-based organisations; information and communication technologies; violence against child refugee and other displaced children; and on methodologies for measuring violence.
HIDDEN DIMENSIONS OF VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN

Only a small proportion of acts of violence against children is reported and investigated, and few perpetrators held to account. In many parts of the world there are no systems responsible for recording, or thoroughly investigating, reports of violence against children. Where official statistics based on reports of violence in the home and other settings exist, they dramatically underestimate the true magnitude of the problem.

There are various reasons for this lack of reporting. Very young children who suffer violence in their homes lack the capacity to report. Many children are afraid to report incidents of violence against them for fear of reprisals by perpetrators, or of interventions by authorities which may worsen their overall situation.

In many cases parents, who should protect their children, are silent if the violence is perpetrated by a spouse or other family member, or a more powerful member of society such as an employer, a police officer, or community leader. Fear is closely related to the stigma frequently attached to reporting violence. In societies where patriarchal notions of family ‘honour’ are valued above girls’ human rights and well-being, an incident of rape or sexual violence can lead to ostracism of the victim, further violence and even death at the hands of her family.

Many Governments lack systems for consistent registration of births, leading to a lack of formal identity that can place infants and small children at risk. Many also lack rigorous investigation into and registration of child deaths. Although millions of girls are married before the age of 18, lack of marriage registration makes the problem difficult to track. Few States consistently record and report on the placement of children in institutions and alternative care, or in detention, and fewer still collect information about violence against children in such placements.

Persistent social acceptance of some types of violence against children is a major factor in its perpetuation in almost every State. Children, the perpetrators of violence against them and the public at large may accept physical, sexual and psychological violence as an inevitable part of childhood. Laws in a majority of States still condone ‘reasonable’ or ‘lawful’ corporal punishment and reflect societal approval of violence when it is described or disguised as ‘discipline’ (see Figure 1.1). Corporal punishment and other forms of cruel or degrading punishment, bullying and sexual harassment, and a range of violent traditional practices may be perceived as normal, particularly when no lasting visible physical injury results.

No country can measure its progress towards the elimination of violence against children without reliable data. To estimate the magnitude and nature of non-fatal violence against children accurately, surveys are required that explore the use of violence by parents and other adults, experiences of violence in childhood, and current health status and health-risk behaviours of children and adults. Fatal violence against children can only be measured accurately through comprehensive death registration, investigation and reporting systems.
A variety of initiatives, ranging from international comparative studies to small-scale interview studies with children at local level, are providing a clearer picture of the magnitude and pervasive nature of the problem in all regions. Information generated by these initiatives indicates that, while some violence against children is perpetrated by strangers, the vast majority of violent acts is perpetrated by people who are part of the child victim’s immediate environment: parents and the wider family, boyfriends or girlfriends, spouses and partners, teachers, schoolmates, and employers. The following examples give some idea of the range and scale of violence against children covered by the Study:

- WHO estimates that almost 53,000 child deaths in 2002 were homicides. (See Annex for regional homicide rates by age, income group and sex.)
- In the Global School-Based Student Health Survey carried out in a wide range of developing countries, between 20% and 65% of school-aged children reported having been verbally or physically bullied in school in the previous 30 days. Similar rates of bullying have been found in industrialised countries.
An estimated 150 million girls and 73 million boys under 18 have experienced forced sexual intercourse or other forms of sexual violence involving physical contact.\textsuperscript{17}

UNICEF estimates that in sub-Saharan Africa, Egypt and Sudan, 3 million girls and women are subjected to FGM every year.\textsuperscript{18}

ILO estimates that 218 million children were involved in child labour in 2004, of whom 126 million were engaged in hazardous work.\textsuperscript{19}

Estimates from 2000 suggest that 5.7 million were in forced or bonded labour, 1.8 million in prostitution and pornography, and 1.2 million were victims of trafficking.\textsuperscript{20}

Only 2.4% of the world’s children are legally protected from corporal punishment in all settings.\textsuperscript{21}

**RISK FACTORS AND PROTECTIVE FACTORS**

The 2002 *World Report on Violence and Health* adopted an ‘ecological model’ to help understand the multi-level, multi-faceted nature of violence. As an analytical tool, the model recognises that a wide and complex range of factors increases the risk of violence and helps to perpetuate it – or, alternatively may protect against it. As illustrated in Figure 1.2, the ecological model identifies personal history and characteristics of the victim or perpetrator, his or her family, the immediate social context (often referred to as community factors) and the characteristics of the larger society. In contrast to simplistic explanations, the model emphasises that it is a *combination* of factors, acting at different levels, which influence the likelihood that violence will occur, recur, or cease. The various factors relevant to the different levels of the ecological model will also be affected by the context of the settings that children interact with – in their home and family environment, at school, in institutions and workplaces, as well as in their community and broader society.

For example, economic development, social status, age and gender are among the many risk factors associated with fatal violence. WHO estimates that the rate of homicide of children in 2002 was twice as high in low-income countries than high-income countries (2.58 versus 1.21 per 100,000 population). As can be seen in Figure 1.3, the highest child homicide rates occur among adolescents, especially boys, aged 15 to 17 years (3.28 per 100,00 for girls, 9.06 for boys) and among children aged 0 to 4 years (1.99 for girls, 2.09 for boys).\textsuperscript{22} However, studies on infant homicide are urgently needed to strengthen the reliability of these estimates and to determine the extent of practices such as female infanticide in various regions.

Available data suggest that young children are at greatest risk of physical violence, while sexual violence predominantly affects those who have reached puberty or adolescence. Boys appear to be at greater risk of physical violence than girls, while girls face greater risk of neglect and sexual violence.\textsuperscript{23} Social and cultural patterns of behaviour, socio-economic factors including inequality and unemployment, and stereotyped gender-roles also play an important role.
Some groups or categories of children are especially vulnerable to different forms of violence. For example, higher levels of vulnerability are associated with children with disabilities, orphaned children (including the millions orphaned by AIDS), indigenous children, children from ethnic minorities and other marginalised groups, children living or working on the streets, children in institutions and detention, children living in communities in which inequality, unemployment and poverty are highly concentrated, child refugees and other displaced children. Gender also plays a key role, as girls and boys are at different risk for different kinds of violence.

Global issues also play a part, including increasing inequality between and within States, migration, urbanisation, and armed conflict. Addressing these challenges, as well as reaching internationally agreed objectives such as the Millennium Development Goals, will aid the elimination of violence against children.

At the same time, other factors may prevent or reduce the likelihood of violence. Although more research is needed on these protective factors, it is clear that the development of strong attachment bonds between parents and children, and the nurturing of relationships with children that do not involve violence or humiliation within stable family units, can be powerful sources of protection for children.

Factors applicable to the prevention of violence in the various settings are described in Chapters 3 to 7.

THE DEVASTATING IMPACT OF VIOLENCE

Although the consequences of violence against children vary according to its nature and sever-

---

**FIGURE 1.2**

Ecological model for understanding risk factors and protective factors of violence

ity, the short- and long-term repercussions can be devastating (see Table 1.1). Early exposure to violence is critical because it can have an impact on the architecture of the maturing brain. In the case of prolonged violence, including witnessing violence, the disruption of nervous and immune systems can lead to social, emotional, and cognitive impairments, as well as behaviours that cause disease, injury and social problems.  

Exposure to violence in childhood may also result in greater susceptibility to lifelong social, emotional, and cognitive impairments, to obesity, and to health-risk behaviours such as substance abuse, early sexual activity, and smoking.  

Related mental health and social problems include anxiety and depressive disorders, hallucinations, impaired work performance, memory disturbances, as well as aggressive behaviour. These risks are also associated later on in life with lung, heart, and liver disease, sexually transmitted diseases and foetal death during pregnancy, as well as intimate partner violence, and suicide attempts.  

Exposure to violence in the community is also associated with troubling health behavioural, and social consequences. Associations have
"UBUNTU is an embodiment of humaneness, empathy, respect, dignity and many other such values and it can only result from an investment of the same values in the children themselves. Let us leave a legacy consistent with ensuring UBUNTU in the world by speaking and acting against abuse of all children."

Adv. Thoko Majokweni, Head of the SOCA Unit: National Prosecuting Authority of South Africa

**TABLE 1.1 – Acute and long-term consequences of violence against children**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical health consequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal/thoracic injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruises and welts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burns and scalds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central nervous system injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacerations and abrasions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage to the eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sexual and reproductive consequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reproductive health problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexually transmitted diseases, including HIV/AIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwanted pregnancy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological consequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol and drug abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal, violent and other risk-taking behaviours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression and anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental delays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating and sleep disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feelings of shame and guilt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“Nations will not prosper if their children do not heal. To suffer violence in childhood is to be wounded in the soul, and if not healed, to go on to inflict pain on others as well as oneself. No child should be a victim of violence. All children have the right to protection and to first call on their nations’ resources. The time to fulfil their rights is now.”

Hon. Landon Pearson, Director, Landon Pearson Resource Centre for the Study of Childhood and Children’s Rights, Carleton University, Canada

---

**TABLE 1.1 – Acute and long-term consequences of violence against children**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hyperactivity</th>
<th>Poor relationships</th>
<th>Poor school performance</th>
<th>Poor self-esteem</th>
<th>Post-traumatic stress disorder</th>
<th>Psychosomatic disorders</th>
<th>Suicidal behaviour and self-harm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Other longer-term health consequences**

- Cancer
- Chronic lung disease
- Irritable bowel syndrome
- Ischaemic heart disease
- Liver disease
- Reproductive health problems such as infertility

**Financial consequences**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direct costs:</th>
<th>Treatment, visits to the hospital doctor and other health services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indirect costs:</td>
<td>Lost productivity, disability, decreased quality of life and premature death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs borne by criminal justice system and other institutions:</td>
<td>Expenditures related to apprehending and prosecuting offenders. Costs to social welfare organisations, costs associated with foster care, to the educational system and costs to the employment sector arising from absenteeism and low productivity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

been established between exposure to community violence and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, antisocial behaviours, substance abuse, decline in academic performance, problematic peer relations, and greater involvement with the criminal justice system.

While there is little information available about the global economic costs of violence against children, in particular from the developing world, the variety of short- and long-term consequences associated with it suggest that the economic costs to society are significant. In the United States, the financial costs associated with child abuse and neglect, including future lost earnings and cost of mental health care, were estimated in 2001 to amount to US$ 94 billion.29

**PRINCIPLES AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Efforts to prevent and respond to violence against children must be multi-faceted and adjusted according to the form of violence, its setting and the perpetrator or perpetrators. Whatever the action taken, the best interests of the child must always be the primary consideration.

The Study Report to the UN General Assembly has identified a number of key principles which are reflected in his recommendations:

- No violence against children is justifiable. Children should never receive less protection than adults;
- All violence against children is preventable. States must invest in evidence-based policies and programmes to address factors that give rise to violence against children;
- States have the primary responsibility to uphold children’s rights to protection and access to services, and to support families’ capacity to provide children with care in a safe environment;
- States have the obligation to ensure accountability in every case of violence;
- The vulnerability of children to violence is linked to their age and evolving capacity. Some children, because of gender, race, ethnic origin, disability or social status are particularly vulnerable;
- Children have the right to express their views and have them given due weight in the planning and implementation of policies and programmes.

The Study has developed overarching and setting-specific recommendations, which are included in the Study Report to the General Assembly. The overarching recommendations outline broad actions that all States must take to prevent violence against children and to respond to it effectively if it occurs. These are supplemented by additional recommendations for specific settings: the home and family, schools, care and justice systems, places where children are working legally or illegally, and the community; these are found in the conclusions to each of the Chapters 3 to 7.

The recommendations presented here are addressed primarily to Governments, referring to their legislative, administrative, judicial, policy-making, service delivery and institu-
tional functions. Some recommendations are directed at the role of other partners and sectors of society, such as civil society organisations, which are also of critical importance.

OVERARCHING RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Strengthen national and local commitment and action

I recommend that all States develop a multi-faceted and systematic framework to respond to violence against children which is integrated into national planning processes. A national strategy, policy or plan of action on violence against children with realistic and time-bound targets, coordinated by an agency with the capacity to involve multiple sectors in a broad-based implementation strategy, should be formulated. National laws, policies, plans and programmes should fully comply with international human rights and current scientific knowledge. The implementation of the national strategy, policy or plan should be systematically evaluated according to established targets and timetables, and provided with adequate human and financial resources to support its implementation.

An integrated and systematic framework to tackle violence against children should include components to address the prevention of violence in all settings, care and rehabilitation for child victims, awareness-raising and capacity building, and research and data collection.

2. Prohibit all violence against children

I urge States to ensure that no person below 18 years of age should be subjected to the death penalty and sentences of life imprisonment without possibility of release. I recommend that States take all necessary measures to immediately suspend the execution of all death penalties imposed on persons for having committed a crime before the age of 18, and take the appropriate legal measures to convert them into penalties in conformity with international human rights standards. Death penalty as a sentence imposed on persons for crimes committed before the age of 18 should be abolished as a matter of highest priority.

I urge States to prohibit all forms of violence against children, in all settings, including all corporal punishment, harmful traditional practices, such as early and forced marriages, female genital mutilation and so-called honour crimes, sexual violence, torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading punishment and treatment, as required by international treaties, including the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment and the Convention on the Rights of the Child. I draw attention to General Comment No. 8 (2006) of the Committee on the Rights of the Child on the right of the child to protection from corporal punishment and other cruel or degrading forms of punishment (articles 19, 28, para 2 and 37, inter alia) (CRC/C/GC/8).
The first purpose of clear prohibition of violence is educational – to send a clear message across societies that all violence against children is unacceptable and unlawful, to reinforce positive, non-violent social norms. There should be no impunity for those who perpetrate violence against children, but care must be taken to ensure that child victims do not suffer further through insensitive enforcement of the law. Prosecutions and formal interventions, in particular within the family, should occur when necessary to protect a child from significant harm and when judged to be in the best interests of the child. Strong and enforceable legal sanctions should be implemented to deter violence against children.

3. Prioritise prevention

I recommend that States prioritise preventing violence against children by addressing its underlying causes. Just as resources devoted to intervening after violence has occurred are essential, States should allocate adequate resources to address risk factors and prevent violence before it occurs. Policies and programmes should address immediate risk and factors such as lack of parent–child attachment, family breakdown, abuse of alcohol or drugs, and reducing access to guns and other weapons. In line with the Millennium Development Goals, attention should be focused on economic and social policies that address poverty, gender and other forms of inequality, income gaps, unemployment, urban overcrowding, and other factors which undermine society.

Prevention takes many forms, including those set out in other overarching recommendations: developing a consistent legal and policy framework prohibiting all forms of violence; challenging social norms which condone violence, and enhancing the capacity of all those who work with and for children and families to promote non-violence.

4. Promote non-violent values and awareness-raising

I recommend that States and civil society should strive to transform attitudes that condone or normalise violence against children, including stereotypical gender roles and discrimination, acceptance of corporal punishment, and other harmful traditional practices. States should ensure that children’s rights are disseminated and understood, including by children. Public information campaigns should be used to sensitize the public about the harmful effects that violence has on children. States should encourage the media to promote non-violent values and implement guidelines to ensure full respect for the rights of the child in all media coverage.

Positive, non-violent environments must be created for and with children, in their homes, schools, other institutions and communities, including through public and parent education, advocacy campaigns and training. Social and cultural attitudes and actions which plainly conflict with human rights must be confronted with sensitivity because of people’s attachment to their traditions.
5. Enhance the capacity of all who work with and for children

I recommend that the capacity of all those who work with and for children to contribute to eliminate all violence against them must be developed. Initial and in-service training which imparts knowledge and respect for children’s rights should be provided. States should invest in systematic education and training programmes both for professionals and non-professionals who work with or for children and families to prevent, detect and respond to violence against children. Codes of conduct and clear standards of practice, incorporating the prohibition and rejection of all forms of violence, should be formulated and implemented.

While many services contribute to the prevention of violence against children, all need to consider how to maximise their potential for prevention, including through the specific training of all who work with children. Systematic and long-term support, in pre-service as well as in-service training, is needed at all levels to ensure highly functional staff and high-quality services for children.

6. Provide recovery and social reintegration services

I recommend that States provide accessible, child-sensitive and universal health and social services, including pre-hospital and emergency care, legal assistance to children and, where appropriate, their families when violence is detected or disclosed. Health, criminal justice, and social service systems should be designed to meet the special needs of children.

Violence against children can have a range of serious health and social outcomes, costly both to individuals and to society. Minimising these consequences of violence will require a variety of treatment and support services. Services focused on rehabilitation and reintegration may also help to reduce the risk of child victims of violence continuing the cycle of violence.

7. Ensure the participation of children

I recommend that States actively engage with children and respect their views in all aspects of prevention, response and monitoring of violence against them, taking into account article 12 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Children’s organisations and child-led initiatives to address violence, guided by the best interests of the child, should be supported and encouraged.

The CRC elaborates children’s right to express their views freely on all matters that affect them and to have those views given due weight. Children’s own views and experiences must contribute to prevention and other interventions to stop violence against them. Children – acting voluntarily and with appropriate ethical safeguards – can make a significant contribution to both describing the problem of violence against them, and also to the design of services and other interventions that they can trust and use. The obligation to ascertain and take children’s views seriously must be built
into the legal framework for child protection and must inform the training of all those who work with children and families. Child victims of violence must not be simply objects of concern, but treated as individual people with rights and views of their own. Children must invariably be listened to and taken seriously.

8. Create accessible and child-friendly reporting systems and services

I recommend that States should establish safe, well-publicised, confidential and accessible mechanisms for children, their representatives and others to report violence against children. All children, including those in care and justice institutions, should be aware of the existence of mechanisms of complaint. Mechanisms such as telephone helplines through which children can report violence, speak to a trained counsellor in confidence and ask for support and advice should be established and the creation of other ways of reporting violence through new technologies should be considered.

Retrospective studies, questioning young adults about their childhood experiences, reveal that the majority of child victims did not talk to anyone or approach child protection services during their childhood, even in States which have highly developed systems. Reasons included not knowing where to go for help, a lack of services, lack of trust in the services or in some cases fear of reprisals from the perpetrator.

In many countries, certain professional groups are under a legal obligation to report any concerns about violence against children under mandatory reporting systems. In a few countries, the public are under the same legal duty. The Study has heard varying views about mandatory reporting. It is essential that every Government should review existing reporting systems and involve children or young adults with recent experience of child protection services in the review.

In every locality and every setting which includes children, there should be well-publicised and easily accessible services required to investigate reports or indications of violence against children. There should also be access to services where children can go to talk in confidence about anything that is worrying or hurting them. Providing confidential services for children – services which guarantee that they will not report to others or take action without the child’s consent, unless the child is at immediate risk of death or serious harm – remains controversial in many countries. Making confidential services available to children, including those most vulnerable to violence, challenges outdated concepts of parental ‘ownership’ of their children. Yet what we now know about intra-familial violence demands that children should have the rights to seek confidential advice and help.

9. Ensure accountability and end impunity

I recommend that States should build community confidence in the justice system by, inter alia, bringing all perpetrators of violence against children to justice and ensure that they are held accountable through appropriate
criminal, civil, administrative and professional proceedings and sanctions. Persons convicted of violent offences and sexual abuse of children should be prevented from working with children.

Governments must develop child-sensitive procedures for investigating cases of violence, which avoid subjecting the victim to multiple interviews and examinations. Court processes must ensure that child witnesses are treated sensitively, that they are not subjected to extended court proceedings, and that their privacy is respected. The stress of court proceedings can be reduced through the use of technology such as video-taped evidence, courtroom screens, and witness-preparation programmes as well as access to child-friendly legal support.

When parents or other family members are the perpetrators of violence, decisions concerning formal interventions and prosecutions must be made according to the best interests of the child. When the perpetrator is another child, the response should be focused on rehabilitation, while ensuring the protection of the affected child.

The risk of perpetrators of violence against children re-offending should be minimised by appropriate treatment. Governments should be encouraged to review the situation of perpetrators currently serving sentences to determine whether their sentence or treatment is minimising the risk of re-offending, and make appropriate recommendations for future sentencing and treatment, focused on this aim.

10. Address the gender dimension of violence against children

I recommend that States ensure that anti-violence policies and programmes are designed and implemented from a gender perspective, taking into account the different risks facing girls and boys in respect of violence. States should promote and protect the human rights of women and girls, and address all forms of gender discrimination as part of a comprehensive violence prevention strategy.

Girls and boys are at different risk for different forms of violence across different settings. All research into violence against children and into strategies to prevent and respond to it should be designed to take gender into account. In particular, the Study has found a need for men and boys to play active roles and exercise leadership in efforts to overcome violence.

11. Develop and implement systematic national data collection and research efforts

I recommend that States improve data collection and information systems in order to identify vulnerable sub-groups, inform policy and programming at all levels, and track progress towards the goal of preventing violence against children. States should use national indicators based on internationally agreed standards, and ensure that data are compiled, analysed and disseminated to monitor progress over time. Where not currently in place, birth, death
and marriage data registries with full national coverage should be created and maintained. States should also create and maintain data on children without parental care, and on children in the criminal justice system. Data should be disaggregated by sex, age, urban/rural, household and family characteristics, education and ethnicity. States should also develop a national research agenda on violence against children across settings where violence occurs, including through interview studies with children and parents, with particular attention to vulnerable groups of girls and boys.

The development of a national research agenda on violence against children across settings is critical for knowledge building and improved programme development. Such plans should include children, parents, service providers and others, and use a range of methods such as interview studies, improved reporting and registration systems and investigation procedures, and regular surveys, with particular attention given to vulnerable groups of girls and boys.

No country can be complacent about violence against children and more research into prevalence, causes, and prevention is needed everywhere. Our ability to determine the magnitude, characteristics, and trends of many forms of violence against children is poor, even in industrialised countries. All countries must increase their capacity to monitor deaths, injuries and behaviours associated with violence against children to determine whether the problem is getting better or worse, and the association between these trends and various strategies for prevention. Internationally accepted uniform standards for collecting data on violence against children are needed to enhance comparability, and ensure appropriate ethical safeguards.

While some progress has been made in the identification of effective strategies for preventing some forms of violence against children in a few countries, additional research is needed to identify and evaluate policies and programmes that are appropriate and effective in preventing all forms of violence against children, and especially for vulnerable girls and boys.

12. Strengthen international commitment

I recommend that all States should ratify and implement the Convention on the Rights of the Child and its two Optional Protocols on the involvement of children in armed conflict and on the sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography. All reservations which are incompatible with the object and purpose of the Convention and the Optional Protocols should be withdrawn in accordance with the Vienna Declaration and Plan of Action of the World Conference on Human Rights of 1993. States should ratify all relevant international and regional human rights instruments that provide protection for children including the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment and its Optional Protocol; the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court; the Convention on the Elimination of

I recommend that States act in conformity with their commitments on the prevention of violence made at the UN General Assembly Special Session on Children, and in the context of the WHO Health Assembly resolution on implementing the recommendations of the World Report on Violence and Health, and other regional public health resolutions that reinforce this resolution.

IMPLEMENTATION AND FOLLOW-UP

The Study Report submitted to the General Assembly emphasised that the primary responsibility for implementing the recommendations rests with Governments. However, the participation of other actors at national, regional and international level is critical to assist Governments to carry out their commitments. These include UN entities, civil society organisations including national human rights institutions, professional bodies such as doctors’ and nurses’ associations, community associations, educators, parents and children. The key strategies for implementation are set out below.

NATIONAL AND REGIONAL LEVEL

Above all, the Study has focused on improving implementation at the country level, for the benefit of all girls and boys. To this end, the Report to the UN General Assembly emphasises the urgency of country-level action and sets targets for Governments:

- The integration in national planning processes of measures to prevent and respond to violence against children should take place by 2007 and should include the identification of a focal point, preferably at ministerial level.
- Prohibiting all violence against children by law and initiating a process to develop reliable national data collection systems should be achieved by 2009.

The report also urges Governments to provide information on implementation of the Study’s recommendations in their periodic reports to the Committee on the Rights of the Child.

International organisations should encourage and support Governments in the implementation of these recommendations. International financial institutions should review their policies and activities to take account of the impact they may have on children. UN country teams...
should include measures to address violence against children within poverty reduction strategies, coordinated country assessments and development assistance frameworks.

Governments should consider establishing an ombudsperson or commissioner for children’s rights – complying with the Paris Principles. Working closely with other agencies concerned with public health and child protection issues, these independent institutions should have a clear mandate to monitor children’s rights at national, regional and local levels. Where appropriate, they should have the competence to receive and investigate complaints on violations of children’s rights from the public, including from children.

In light of the contribution of regional organisations to the development of the Study, regional entities should be involved in the implementation and follow-up of its recommendations. The further development of regional mechanisms should be encouraged as an important part of the overall framework for follow-up. Regional human rights protection systems are also encouraged to monitor implementation of the Study’s recommendations.

INTERNATIONAL LEVEL

In view of the importance of multi-sectoral coordination to address violence against children, the Study Report has proposed that Governments establish a Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Violence against Children. The Special Representative should act as a high-profile global advocate to promote prevention and elimination of all violence against children, to encourage international and regional cooperation, and to ensure follow-up and monitoring of the Study’s recommendations.

The Special Representative should disseminate and promote the Study recommendations in different international, regional and national forums, and provide a periodic report to the Human Rights Council and the General Assembly. In addition, a report on implementation of the Study recommendations is proposed for the sixty-fifth session of the General Assembly in 2010.

The Special Representative should work closely with, but not duplicate the work of, the Committee on the Rights of the Child, the Special Representative of the Secretary-General for children in armed conflict, the Special Rapporteur on the sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography, and the Special Rapporteurs on violence against women and on trafficking in persons. He or she should collaborate with regional human rights protection systems and all other regional and national follow-up initiatives.

It is recommended that the Special Representative should have an initial mandate of four years. Building on the successful interagency collaboration that marked the Study, he or she should be supported by OHCHR, UNICEF and WHO. The United Nations Inter-agency Group on Violence against Children, with representation from NGOs and children, should also support follow-up.
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INTRODUCTION

Each child has the right to his or her physical and personal integrity, and protection from all forms of violence. Children, as human beings, are entitled to enjoy all the rights guaranteed by the various international human rights treaties that have developed from the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. They are also entitled to the protection laid down in international legal instruments relating to international criminal, humanitarian and labour law.

Since the adoption in 1948 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the first authoritative – although not legally binding – statement on human rights, over 60 treaties addressing slavery, the administration of justice, the status of refugees and minority groups and human rights have been elaborated. All are grounded in the concepts of non-discrimination, equality and recognition of the dignity of each and every individual as contained in the Universal Declaration, and each makes it clear that the rights contained therein are available to all, including children, on a basis of equality.

Children are therefore entitled to the rights and procedures set out in the International Bill of Rights, consisting of the International Covenants on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and that on Civil and Political Rights. They are also entitled to the rights and protections contained in specific treaties, including those which address the elimination of racial discrimination, discrimination against women, the prevention of torture, and the rights of migrant workers and members of their families. These instruments, legally binding on States which have accepted them, include provisions which are relevant to eliminating violence against children. Some treaties, in particular the two Covenants, also contain provisions extending specific protections to children.

Thus the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights includes a provision requiring that children be protected from economic and social exploitation, and that the employment of children in work that is harmful to their morals, or health or dangerous to life, or likely to hamper their normal development, be punished by law. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights expressly prohibits the imposition of death sentences on children and young people under 18. It also includes provisions governing the proper treatment of accused and convicted children, which in particular require their separation from the adult(s) accused and offenders.

The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women is fully applicable to girls under 18 years of age. Article 16.2 of the Convention provides that the betrothal and marriage of a child shall have no legal effect and that all necessary action, including legislative action, shall be taken by States to specify a minimum age for marriage, and to make the registration of marriages in an official registry compulsory.

* Further information on all instruments referred to in this chapter is available at: http://www.ohchr.org.
Existing protection under international human rights law will be expanded with the finalisation of treaties regarding children, on disappearance and disability, currently being concluded. Specific provisions relating to the human rights of children with disabilities, including obligations of States to address violence against them, are included in the draft Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, which will be submitted to the General Assembly for adoption at its sixty-first session.

The implementation of each of the seven core human rights treaties currently in force is monitored by a Committee of Experts through various procedures. Each reviews implementation thereof through the consideration of reports submitted by States, and makes recommendations for further action. Four Committees are empowered to consider petitions from individuals who allege that their rights have been violated in cases where the State concerned has accepted this procedure. Two Committees have competence to conduct inquiries into grave, systematic or serious violations of the treaty, again in cases where the State has accepted these procedures. Similar procedures are contained in the draft treaties on disappearance and disability, while the Optional Protocol on the Convention against Torture and other Forms of Cruel, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment or Punishment introduces a system of visits to places of detention in States parties.

All bodies accepting the treaty have emphasised the obligations of States to take specific steps to eliminate and respond to violence against children in their concluding observations, reports, and in several of their decisions on petitions. Several treaty bodies have also adopted General Comments or recommendations which outline States’ obligations to address violence against children. The Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights General Comment on the Right to Education indicates that corporal punishment in an educational setting is inconsistent with international law and the dignity of the individual. The Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women has adopted a general recommendation on States’ obligations under the Convention with regard to female genital mutilation (FGM) and two general recommendations concerning gender-based violence against women.

THE CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD

Although protections are provided to children by general international human rights treaties and other international agreements, at an early stage in the history of the United Nations, the international community recognised the need to provide specific human rights protection for children. The General Assembly’s 1959 Declaration on the Rights of the Child set out 10 non-legally binding principles aimed at providing special safeguards for children.

The Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), which sets out legally binding standards, was adopted by the General Assembly in 1989. The CRC, which signals clearly that children
are holders of human rights and acknowledges their distinct legal personality and evolving capacities, is the most widely accepted human rights treaty, having been ratified or acceded to by 192 States. Its 42 substantive articles set out civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights, formulated to address the special needs of the child, defined by the CRC as every human being under the age of 18, unless majority is attained earlier under national law.²

The CRC sets up a framework of legal principles and detailed standards which should govern all law, policy and practice affecting children. These include the promotion of prevention of violence, and responses to protect all children from all forms of violence. Various articles of the CRC assert the rights of children to physical and personal integrity, and establish high standards for protection. Article 19 requires that States which are parties to the CRC take “all appropriate legislative, administrative, social and educational measures to protect the child from all forms of physical or mental violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, maltreatment or exploitation, including sexual abuse, while in the care of parent(s), legal guardian(s), or any other person who has the care of the child.” The breadth of this obligation has been emphasised by the Committee on the Rights of the Child.

The Committee has also underlined the requirement that all violence against children be prohibited. This includes all forms of corporal punishment, however light. The Committee’s General Comment No. 8 on the Right of the Child to Protection from Corporal Punishment and Other Cruel or Degrading Forms of Punishment, adopted at its forty-second session in June 2006, highlights the obligation of all States to move quickly to prohibit and eliminate all corporal punishment and other cruel or degrading forms of punishment of children, focusing on the legislative, awareness-raising and educational measures that States must take.³

The General Comment makes clear that the Committee does not reject the positive concept of discipline, and recognises that parenting and caring for children, especially babies and young children, demands frequent physical action and intervention to protect them. The Committee indicates that this is quite distinct from the deliberate and punitive use of force to cause some degree of pain, discomfort or humiliation to children.

Article 28(2) of the CRC requires that school discipline be “administered in a manner consistent with the child’s human dignity and
in conformity with the present Convention.” In interpreting this provision, the Committee underlines that it requires States parties to prohibit corporal punishment and all other humiliating and harmful forms of discipline in the educational context.

“...Children do not lose their human rights by virtue of passing through the school gates. Thus, for example, education must be provided in a way that respects the inherent dignity of the child, enables the child to express his or her views freely in accordance with article 12(1) and to participate in school life. Education must also be provided in a way that respects the strict limits on discipline reflected in article 28(2) and promotes non-violence in school…”

*Committee on the Rights of the Child, General Comment No. 1*

Articles 32 to 36 entrench the child’s legal right to protection from various forms of exploitation: from economic exploitation and from “any work that is likely to be hazardous or to interfere with the child’s education, or to be harmful to the child’s health or physical, mental, spiritual, moral or social development” (article 32); from “the illicit use of narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances” and involvement “in the illicit production and trafficking of such substances” (article 33); from “all forms of sexual exploitation and sexual abuse,” including prostitution and pornography (article 34); from abduction, sale and trafficking (article 35); and from “all other forms of exploitation prejudicial to any aspects of the child’s welfare” (article 36).

Article 38, by which States parties undertake to respect and to ensure respect for the rules of international humanitarian law applicable to them in relation to children and armed conflicts, recalls the obligations laid down in the Geneva Conventions, while article 37 declares that no child shall be subjected to “torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment,” nor sentenced to capital punishment or to life imprisonment without possibility of release. Article 37 also prohibits all arbitrary or unlawful restriction of the liberty of children, and sets out strict limits and conditions for any deprivation of liberty, which apply to any restriction of liberty imposed for ‘welfare’ as well as for ‘penal’ purposes. Article 39 obliges States to take all appropriate measures to promote physical and psychological recovery and social reintegration of child victims of violence.

Together with article 37, article 40 on the administration of juvenile justice sets out detailed safeguards: children who come into conflict with the law should be “treated in a manner consistent with the promotion of the child’s sense of dignity and worth,” which, combined with article 19, requires the State to ensure that children do not suffer violence at the hands of State officials at any stage of the system. Treatment and punishments must not involve physical or mental violence of any kind. Wherever appropriate and desirable, there should be diversion from judicial systems; for children found guilty of infringing the law there should be alternatives to institutional care, “such as care, guidance and supervision orders;

“Children are betrayed every day by silence, inaction, and impunity. Teachers who have sexually assaulted their pupils continue to teach. Police officers who have tortured children before witnesses remain on duty. Orphanage staff who subject children to shocking levels of cruelty and neglect suffer no consequences. Too often, children are victimized twice: first by the initial abuse, and again by the failure of authorities to hold perpetrators accountable.”

Jo Becker, Editorial Board of the UN Secretary-General’s Study on Violence against Children
counselling; probation; foster care; educational
and vocational training programmes.”

Other provisions of the CRC are relevant
to the protection of children from violence. These include civil rights relating to freedom
of expression, information, conscience and reli-
gion, association, peaceful assembly, privacy
and access to information (articles 12, 13, 14,
15, 16 and 17). Article 9 guarantees the child’s
right not to be separated from parents unless
it is in their best interests. Article 18 provides
that States shall render appropriate assistance
to parents and legal guardians in their perfor-
mance of their child-rearing responsibilities;
and under article 20, children deprived of a
family environment are entitled to special State
assistance and protection. Article 25 provides
for a right of periodic review of placement or
treatment. Obligations relating to adoption are
set out in article 21, to refugee children in arti-
cle 22, and to disabled children in article 23.

More general obligations – relevant particularly
to the prevention of violence – are included in
article 24 on the right to health and access to
health services; articles 28 and 29 on the right
to education and the aims of education; and
article 27 on the right to an adequate standard
of living.

The CRC is supplemented by two Optional
Protocols, both adopted in 2000, which pro-
vide more detailed protection for children from
particular forms of violence. The Optional
Protocol on the sale of children, child prosti-
tution and child pornography defines these
violations. It also requires States parties to
criminalise these activities and requires that
any participation in these acts, including
attempt and conspiracy be penalised in a way
that takes into account the gravity of these
offences. It also requires States to close any
premises used for child prostitution and porn-
ography, and to seize and confiscate the pro-
ceeds of these activities, as well as any means
used to facilitate them, and provides detailed
provisions relating to the treatment of child
victims. The Optional Protocol to the CRC
on the involvement of children in armed con-
flict limits the recruitment of children under
18 years of age in armed conflict, and obliges
States to provide the children who have par-
ticipated in armed conflict with any necessary
physical and psychological rehabilitation and
support for reintegration within society.

The Committee on the Rights of the Child
has identified articles 2, 3, 6 and 12 as pro-
viding general principles. In line with article
2, all rights in the Convention are available
to all children without discrimination of any
kind, and States parties are required to take all
appropriate measures to protect children from
discrimination.

The best interests of the child must be a pri-
mary consideration in all actions concerning
children; thus all public and private bodies,
courts and administrative authorities are
required to consider the impact of actions
on children in order to ensure that the best
interests of the child are properly considered
(article 3). The child’s inherent right to life
and maximum capacity for survival and develop-
ment, envisaged by the CRC to include
physical, mental, spiritual, moral and social
“In bringing to light the many issues of children facing violence, we realize that our plight is part of a larger worldwide struggle for the realization of human rights. Our cry is not to be treated specially but, rather, humanely, in accordance with the core values of human dignity that are the cornerstones of the Universal Declaration of Human rights. As global citizens we demand to be acknowledged as first and not second class human beings.”

Declaration of children and young people, Regional Consultation, the Caribbean, 2005

“... interpretation of a child’s best interests must be consistent with the whole Convention, including the obligation to protect children from all forms of violence and the requirement to give due weight to the child’s views; it cannot be used to justify practices, including corporal punishment and other forms of cruel or degrading punishment, which conflict with the child’s human dignity and right to physical integrity.”

Committee on the Rights of the Child, General Comment No. 8

dimensions (article 6), is also identified as a general principle. Under article 12, the child has the right to express his/her views freely on all matters affecting them and to have their views given due weight in accordance with age and maturity.

The Committee on the Rights of the Child, which has been considering reports from States

“... in conceptualising violence, the critical starting point and frame of reference must be the experience of children themselves. Therefore children and young people must be meaningfully involved in promoting and strategising action on violence against children”.

Committee on the Rights of the Child, recommendations adopted following the day of general discussion on Violence against Children in the Family and Schools, 2001

since 1993, has provided substantial guidance on States’ obligations on violence against children, in particular regarding recommendations issued following its two days of general discussion on Violence against Children in 2000 and 2001 and in its General Comments Nos 1 and 8.

The Committee has been pleased to note that domestic courts, including constitutional and superior courts in many jurisdictions, have condemned violence against children in judgements which increasingly draw on human rights treaties, in particular the CRC, and the output of the human rights treaty bodies.

“Children have rights no way inferior to the rights of adults. Fiji has ratified the Convention. Our Constitution also guarantees fundamental rights to every person. Government is required to adhere to principles respecting the rights of all individuals, communities and groups. By their status as children, children need special protection. Our educational institutions should be sanctuaries of peace and creative enrichment, not places for fear, ill-treatment and tampering with the human dignity of students.”

Fiji Court of Appeal, 2002, in Naushad v. the State, holding that corporal punishment in schools and the penal system is unconstitutional

“... in conceptualising violence, the critical starting point and frame of reference must be the experience of children themselves. Therefore children and young people must be meaningfully involved in promoting and strategising action on violence against children”.

Committee on the Rights of the Child, recommendations adopted following the day of general discussion on Violence against Children in the Family and Schools, 2001

since 1993, has provided substantial guidance on States’ obligations on violence against children, in particular regarding recommendations issued following its two days of general discussion on Violence against Children in 2000 and 2001 and in its General Comments Nos 1 and 8.

The Committee has been pleased to note that domestic courts, including constitutional and superior courts in many jurisdictions, have condemned violence against children in judgements which increasingly draw on human rights treaties, in particular the CRC, and the output of the human rights treaty bodies.

“Children have rights no way inferior to the rights of adults. Fiji has ratified the Convention. Our Constitution also guarantees fundamental rights to every person. Government is required to adhere to principles respecting the rights of all individuals, communities and groups. By their status as children, children need special protection. Our educational institutions should be sanctuaries of peace and creative enrichment, not places for fear, ill-treatment and tampering with the human dignity of students.”

Fiji Court of Appeal, 2002, in Naushad v. the State, holding that corporal punishment in schools and the penal system is unconstitutional

“... interpretation of a child’s best interests must be consistent with the whole Convention, including the obligation to protect children from all forms of violence and the requirement to give due weight to the child’s views; it cannot be used to justify practices, including corporal punishment and other forms of cruel or degrading punishment, which conflict with the child’s human dignity and right to physical integrity.”

Committee on the Rights of the Child, General Comment No. 8
INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL, HUMANITARIAN, REFUGEE AND LABOUR LAW

The protection provided to children through human rights provisions is relevant at all times. At the same time, the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, the four Geneva Conventions of 1949 and their two Additional Protocols of 1977 provide key legal protection to children in internal and international conflict. Children who meet the definition of a refugee within the 1951 United Nations Convention relating to the Status of Refugees and its 1967 Protocol have access to a wide array of rights and protections, including legal aid and material protection, as well as the right not to be returned to the place where they face persecution. While not legally binding, the 1998 Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement consisting of 30 principles, provide protection and assistance for individuals throughout displacement, and establish guidelines for safe return, resettlement and reintegration. The Guiding Principles pay particular attention to the rights and needs of children, in particular girls, prohibiting sale of children into marriage, sexual exploitation, forced labour and the recruitment or use of children during hostilities.

Trafficking generally, including the trafficking of children, is addressed in the first consolidated instrument on this issue, the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of Others which was adopted by the General Assembly in 1950. Provisions directed at the elimination of trafficking are also included in the Conventions on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women and Rights of the Child, and the International Labour Organization’s Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention (1999), No. 182. In 2000, the General Assembly adopted the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime and its supplementary Protocols: the Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children, and the Protocol against the Smuggling of Migrants by Land, Sea and Air. The Convention provides for cooperation in investigation, mutual legal assistance, and extradition where trafficking is concerned. The Trafficking Protocol, now accepted by 105 States from all regions of the world (as of the 6th of September 2006), includes the first international legal definition of trafficking, makes provision for assistance and protection of victims of trafficking, and requires States parties to criminalise this activity, as well as to provide assistance and protection for victims. It also sets out preventive measures in this context.

Specific rights and protection for children in the context of work are provided by the numerous conventions elaborated by the International Labour Organization, with particular protection being provided by the Minimum Age Convention (1973), No. 183 and the Worst Forms of Child Labour (1999), No. 182.
REGIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS SYSTEMS

The international legal framework for the promotion and protection of human rights and the standards that it provides in respect of violence against children are reinforced by treaties which have been adopted regionally, by the Council of Europe, the European Union, the Organization of American States, the African Union, and mechanisms established to monitor and enforce them. The African Charter on the Rights of the Child is particularly relevant, as is the African Protocol on the Rights of Women adopted in 2004 which contains provisions directed at the elimination of harmful traditional practices, including female genital mutilation (FGM), scarification, medicalisation of harmful traditional practices, and establishes 18 years as being the minimum age for marriage.

Regional human rights mechanisms, responsible for the oversight of these legal instruments have addressed various forms of violence against children. For example, the European Court of Human Rights has found violations of the European Convention on Human Rights in a number of judgements concerning cases of corporal punishment in the penal system, schools and the home. Other judgements of the Court have concerned sexual abuse, failures of child protection systems and juvenile justice. The European Committee of Social Rights, which monitors compliance with the European Social Charter and the Revised Social Charter, has held that these instruments require prohibition in legislation of any form of violence against children.

In 2002, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights issued an Advisory Opinion on the legal status and human rights of the child: this indicates that States parties to the American Convention on Human Rights are under an obligation to adopt all positive measures to ensure protection of children against mistreatment, whether in their relations with public authorities or private individuals or with non-governmental entities.

In 2003, the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights decided that lashing of students constituted cruel, inhuman or degrading punishment and requested that the State concerned amend the law, abolish lashing as a penalty and take appropriate measures to compensate the victims.

“The Committee does not find it acceptable that a society which prohibits any form of physical violence between adults would accept that adults subject children to physical violence.”

European Committee of Social Rights (2001). General observation in General Introduction to Conclusions XV–2, Volume 1

“In 2002, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights issued an Advisory Opinion on the legal status and human rights of the child: this indicates that States parties to the American Convention on Human Rights are under an obligation to adopt all positive measures to ensure protection of children against mistreatment, whether in their relations with public authorities or private individuals or with non-governmental entities.”

European Committee of Social Rights (2001). General observation in General Introduction to Conclusions XV–2, Volume 1

“In 2003, the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights decided that lashing of students constituted cruel, inhuman or degrading punishment and requested that the State concerned amend the law, abolish lashing as a penalty and take appropriate measures to compensate the victims.”


“Fundamental rights, children rights are yet to be built, first and foremost at national level.”
Françoise Tulkens, Judge of the European Court of Human Rights, April 2006
“There is no right for individuals, and particularly the Government of a country to apply physical violence to individuals for offences. Such a right would be tantamount to sanctioning State-sponsored torture (under the African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights) and contrary to the very nature of this human rights treaty.”


NON-BINDING INSTRUMENTS

International and regional human rights treaties are supplemented by instruments which, although not legally binding, set standards or elaborate principles which are concerned with the eradication of violence against children. Within the United Nations, rules and guidelines have been adopted on the administration of juvenile justice (the Beijing Rules, 1985), the prevention of juvenile delinquency (the Riyadh Guidelines, 1990) and the protection of juveniles deprived of their liberty. The 1993 United Nations Declaration on Violence against Women defines gender-based violence, and provides guidance to States on the steps that should be taken to address violence against women and girls.

The outcome of United Nations world conferences and their reviews by special sessions of the General Assembly also address violence against children. The 2002 General Assembly special session on children includes a substantial section on violence against children in its outcome document, “A World Fit for Children.” The Millennium Development Goals adopted by the Millennium Summit in 2000, and the Outcome of the 2005 UN Summit also include agreements which are relevant to the elimination of violence against children.

Non-legally-binding but highly persuasive instruments relevant to the context of violence against children have also been adopted within the framework of the governing bodies of the specialised agencies and other entities of the United Nations system. These include resolutions adopted by the governing bodies of the WHO (see box) and UNICEF. The Executive Committee of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) adopted a policy on refugee children in 1993, and it has developed guidelines on the protection and care of refugee children, which provide detailed guidance aimed at ensuring that child refugees are protected from physical and sexual violence, especially when they are living in large refugee camps.

By becoming party to international and regional treaties, States incur legally binding obligations to respect, protect and fulfil the rights they have said they comply with. They are required to refrain from interfering in the enjoyment of rights, protect individuals from violence by non-State actors, and take positive steps to ensure that human rights can be exercised.

Where violence against children is concerned, Governments are required to take immediate and positive steps to prevent and eliminate all forms of violence against children and to
REGIONAL TREATIES RELEVANT TO VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN*

Organization of American States:
- Inter-American Convention to Prevent and Punish Torture
- Inter-American Convention on the Forced Disappearance of Persons
- Inter-American Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Persons with Disabilities.
- Inter-American Convention on the Prevention, Punishment and Eradication of Violence against Women ‘Convention of Belém Do Pará’

African Union:
- African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights
- Protocol to the African Charter on Human and People’s Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa
- African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child

South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC):
- Convention on Regional Arrangements on the Promotion of Child Welfare
- Social Charter
- Regional Convention on Combating the Crime of Trafficking in Women and Children for Prostitution

League of Arab States:

European Union:

Council of Europe:
- European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
- European Social Charter and the Revised European Social Charter
- Additional Protocol to the European Social Charter Providing for a System of Collective Complaints
- European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and Other Inhuman and Degrading Treatment or Punishment
- Convention on Cyber Crime
- Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings

*This list is not exhaustive
respond to it effectively if it occurs, ensuring that perpetrators do not enjoy impunity. States are required to ensure that their authorities, such as the police or teachers in State-run schools do not perpetrate violence against children through the adoption of legislative and other measures to prevent such acts through, for example, training police and other State officials in investigation techniques which do not involve violence. They are also required to adopt legislative and other measures to protect children and to prevent and to deter parents, legal guardians and other non-State actors from a violating children’s rights through violence.

International law thus provides a powerful, overarching legal framework which demands a multifaceted response. Required responses will vary according to the type of violence and the setting in which it occurs. They will include public health responses, which emphasize prevention, criminal law responses, compensation, education, health or legislative approaches.20

The existing standards in international law relevant to violence against children are comprehensive and detailed. The challenge is to ensure that all States implement their obligations. The systemic and widespread nature

THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION

The activities of the World Health Assembly in relation to violence against children also reflect commitment by Governments and constitute a strong platform to address violence against children which complements human rights.

The public health mandate for addressing violence against children is grounded in the World Health Organization's broader mandate for prevention of all forms of violence. The World Health Assembly, governing body of the World Health Organization, in resolution WHA 49.25 (1996), declared violence to be a leading worldwide public health problem and expressed particular concern at levels of violence against women and children; it urged Member States to assess the problem of violence, and requested that WHO present a plan of action for the prevention of violence. The World Health Assembly endorsed the plan of action and called for its further development (resolution WHA 50.19).

In response to these resolutions WHO prepared the first world report on violence and health, launched in 2002. The World Report on Violence and Health described the extent of violence as a global public health problem, set out a public health-oriented prevention strategy, and made nine recommendations. The report inspired the World Health Assembly to adopt a resolution (WHA 56.24) urging Member States to promote the report recommendations, appoint a ministry of health focal point for violence prevention, and prepare a national report on violence and violence prevention.

The activities of the World Health Assembly in relation to violence against children also reflect commitment by Governments and constitute a strong platform to address violence against children which complements human rights.
of violence against children in all its forms and all its settings must be recognised and acknowledged. Thereafter, appropriate and effective legislative, policy and programmatic responses must be put in place, implemented, monitored and consistently modified so as to respond effectively and urgently to this massive global issue.
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**QUOTES**


Brazil, 2001, Maria (name changed), 6, hides her face in a pillow, in a room at CEDECA, the Centre for the Defense of Children and Adolescents, in a major city in Brazil. Maria was the victim of child abuse. Behind her is a six-year-old boy who is also being treated at the centre, to help him recover from abuse of his older sister.
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INTRODUCTION

Families, defined widely, hold the greatest potential for protecting children from all forms of violence. Families can also empower children to protect themselves. A basic assumption of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) is that the family is the natural environment for the growth and well-being of all its members – particularly for children – while the Universal Declaration on Human Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights proclaim the family as being the fundamental group unit of society. The CRC requires the State to fully respect and support families.

But families can be dangerous places for children and in particular for babies and young children. The prevalence of violence against children by parents and other close family members – physical, sexual and psychological violence, as well as deliberate neglect – has only begun to be acknowledged and documented. Challenging violence against children is most difficult in the context of the family in all its forms. There is a reluctance to intervene in what is still perceived in most societies as a ‘private’ sphere. But human rights to full respect for human dignity and physical integrity – children’s and adults’ equal rights – and State obligations to uphold these rights do not stop at the door of the family home.

State responsibility to respect, protect and fulfill the rights of children extends beyond its direct activities and those of State agents, and requires the adoption of measures to ensure that parents, legal guardians and others do not violate children’s rights. It is obliged to put in place a framework of laws, policies and programmes to prevent violence by providing adequate protection, and responding to violence if it occurs.

Younger children tend to be more vulnerable to violence in the home. In some industrialised States, where child deaths are most rigorously recorded and investigated, infants under one year of age face around three times the risk of homicide, almost invariably by parents, than children aged one to four, and twice the risk of those aged five to 14. While all physical punishment is degrading, there are other cruel and degrading and potentially equally damaging non-physical forms of violence which children suffer within the family. These include enduring persistent threats, insults, name-calling or other forms of verbal abuse, belittling, isolation or rejection. In addition to the direct violence, many children witness violence between adult family members, which in itself has serious consequences, only very recently recognised.

Everywhere that sexual violence has been studied, it is increasingly acknowledged that a substantial proportion of children are sexually harassed and violated by the people closest to them. Forced sex within forced and early marriage is common in many States. So-called ‘honour killings’ of adolescent girls, regarded as having breached moral codes, occur in some countries. Despite legislation and advocacy efforts, female genital mutilation or cutting (FGM) remains widespread: in parts of North and Eastern Africa, over 90% of girls undergo this operation, usually at around the age of seven.2,3

“With these two hands my mother holds me, cares for me, this I love. But with these two hands, my mother hits me – this I hate.”

Girl, East Asia and the Pacific, 2005
Sexual and gender-based violence has profound implications in the era of HIV/AIDS, and also compromises self-esteem, psychological and emotional health. The implications of all forms of home and family violence for future development, behaviour and well-being in adulthood, and for future parenting, are profound. In addition, home is the place where gender-based inequalities are first experienced by children, and where future power-imbalanced relationships are modelled, or challenged. Boys may be encouraged to become aggressive and dominant ('takers' of care), and girls are encouraged to be passive, compliant caregivers. These gender-based stereotypes support the use of violence and coercion that perpetuates gender inequalities.

This chapter discusses the various types of physical, psychological and sexual violence that occur in home and family settings, their impacts on children, and the wide range of responses that can be used to reduce and ultimately eliminate this violence.

**HUMAN RIGHTS INSTRUMENTS**

The adoption of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) in 1989 confirmed that children too are holders of human rights. The CRC claims, on the one hand, children’s right to individuality and to have their views on all matters which affect them taken seriously; and on the other, in the light of their developmental state and vulnerability, rights to special care and protection. The CRC makes clear that wherever possible children should be raised within their family; and where the family is unable to care for and protect them adequately, an alternative family-type environment should be provided. Therefore the CRC uncompromisingly asserts that the family is the primary site for children’s healthy, loving and safe upbringing. However, this role must be fully underpinned and supported by the State, including by stepping over the family threshold to intervene when necessary, in the best interests of the child.

The balance between the responsibilities and duties of families and of States to provide children with the necessary protections for their development is addressed in several articles of the CRC. Article 18 expresses the balance as follows: “…Parents or …legal guardians,
have the primary responsibility for the upbringing and development of the child,” and in paragraph 2: “... States parties shall render appropriate assistance to parents and legal guardians in the performance of their child-rearing responsibilities.” Article 3 requires that “the best interest of the child shall be a primary consideration in all actions concerning children.” Article 9 states: “A child shall not be separated from his or her parents against their will” except when competent authorities determine that such separation is necessary in the best interests of the child, including in cases of violence.

The CRC therefore provides clear authorisation to the State to protect children against all forms of violence in the home and family, and establishes its role as final arbiter of child welfare in the domestic arena. Article 19 asserts children’s right to protection “from all forms of physical or mental violence, injury and abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, maltreatment or exploitation, including sexual abuse, while in the care of parent(s), legal guardian(s) or any other person who has care of the child.” Articles 20 and 21 address the State’s obligations to make arrangements for alternative care where a child is parentless or has been separated from the family. Article 23 concerns special support for disabled children; articles 34 and 35 seek protection from sexual exploitation and abuse, and from sale and trafficking; article 37 states: “No child shall be subjected to torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment;” article 24 requires States to take action to end harmful traditional practices, including for example FGM and forced and/or early marriage.

The CRC requires States both to prevent all forms of violence and to respond to violence effectively when it occurs. While the State cannot be held directly responsible for individual acts of violence against children by parents or others, it is required to provide a framework of law and other necessary measures to supply adequate protection, including effective deterrence. Few States have put in place the necessary laws prohibiting all violence against children, together with policies, structures, and reporting and referral mechanisms to address violence in the home and family. Law enforcement officials in many countries remain reluctant to intervene even in cases of severe violence, child marriage, and incest. Violent forms of discipline remain legal and socially accepted in many States, despite the consistent interpretation of the CRC and other human rights instruments as requiring their prohibition and elimination (most recently, this has been underlined by the Committee’s General Comment No. 8, 2006 on “The right of the child to protection from corporal punishment and other cruel or degrading forms of punishment and control,” discussed later in this chapter).
BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

In most parts of the world, the family as an institution is itself changing or evolving in the light of social and economic pressures. The pace of urbanisation, especially in sub-Saharan Africa (nearly 6% a year) and in Asia (3–4%), has important implications for family living patterns and make-up. One in three city dwellers – nearly one billion people – live in slums. Crowded living conditions, and the necessity for cash income to meet all family needs, create circumstances of stress very different from the life of rural subsistence.

In industrialised countries, family make-up is less stable and also taking new forms, and the nature of ‘family life’ is undergoing change. Where income gaps have widened or there has been rapid social change, levels of interpersonal violence tend to rise. In many parts of the world there has also been a loss of protection from kin, community and informal employers or ‘patrons’ that families traditionally relied upon. Market-based social policy reforms of the 1990s and early 2000s have exacerbated pressures, especially on women, by reducing the already limited access of poorer families to health care, pensions, schooling, and care for small children and the elderly.

Economic pressures on low-income families in all regions have also led to significant levels of migration – seasonal, temporary or permanent – by one or other parent, either to town or another country, to earn and send remittances home. Unprecedented levels of mobility lead to protracted periods of family separation, with negative effects for children, and often lead to permanent family break-up. Single-parent households may also have the stress of economic disadvantage, and the added burden of child-care responsibilities, especially where other extended family support is not available.

In Southern Africa where HIV/AIDS also exerts stress on families, fathers are reported absent in 42% of households. Heavily AIDS-affected countries have also seen the emergence of ‘child-headed households’ where orphaned children are left managing the home and struggling to provide for siblings.

NATURE AND EXTENT OF THE PROBLEM

Forms of violence to which a child will be exposed vary according to age and stage of development, especially as the child starts to interact with the world outside the home. Infants and young children are more likely to be victimised by primary caregivers and other family members because of their dependence on adult caregivers and limited independent social interactions outside the home. As children develop, they grow in independence and spend increasing amounts of time outside the home and away from family; therefore older children are more likely to be victimised by people outside their home and family. However, there are many overlaps in terms of age as well as forms of violence, and in terms of perpetrators.

In the home and family setting, children experience assaults and other acts of physical violence, sexual violation, harmful traditional practices, humiliation and other types
of psychological violence, and neglect. As well as assaults and other physical violence, these can include acts of omission, such as failure to protect the child from exposure to preventable violence at the hands of friends, neighbours, or visitors; acts of stigma or gross discrimination; and failure to utilise child health and welfare services to support the child’s well-being. Perpetrators of violence in the home circle include parents and step-parents, and can also include alternative family carers, extended family, spouses (in the case of child marriage) and their in-laws.

**PHYSICAL VIOLENCE**

**Homicide**

In countries where homicide statistics are analysed according to age of the victim, 15–17-year-olds are the age group that is most at risk. The second high-risk group is infants. Data from OECD countries suggest that the risk of death is about three times greater for children under one year old than for those aged 1 to 4, who in turn face double the risk of those aged 5 to 14. The younger the child, the more likely their death will be caused by a close family member. In general, children under 10 are at significantly greater risk than children aged 10 to 19 of severe violence perpetrated by family members and people closely associated with the family. Age and sex are important risk factors. The majority of murders of children under the age of one are perpetrated by one or both of the child’s parents, frequently the mother. While approximately 50% to 75% of murders of children aged under 10 are by family members, this proportion drops to about 20% of murders of children aged 10 to 14, and 5% of murders of children aged 15 to 19. A substantial proportion of homicides of children under 10 years of age are committed by a stepparent, by a parent’s boyfriend or girlfriend, or by other people known to the victim.

Where sufficient reliable data exist (for example in New Zealand, Switzerland, and the USA), a few trends emerge. In general, children under 10 are at significantly greater risk than children aged 10 to 19 of severe violence perpetrated by family members and people closely associated with the family. The most frequent causes of death are injuries to the head or to the internal organs. Other causes include intentional suffocation, shaking, and more rarely, choking or battering. According to WHO estimates, the highest rates of homicide in children under the age of five are found in sub-Saharan Africa and Northern America, and the lowest in the high-income countries of Europe, and in Eastern and Western Asia. Estimating the proportion of child homicides occurring in the home and family setting requires sophisticated surveillance systems of child deaths, which are lacking in most countries. Where deaths are not recorded or investigated, the extent of fatal violence to children is not accurately known, and may become obscured by the high rates of under-five mortality generally. It is assumed that violence in one form or another—including neglect—may often play a part in infant and young child deaths that are not recorded as homicides, or perhaps not recorded at all. It is widely agreed that violence against children by family members results in death far more often than official records suggest.
violence against children in the home and family appears to decline after the age of 10, the data suggest that they face increased risk of murder by intimate partners (dating partners or spouses) or by the families of the intimate partner. Moreover, in regions where early marriage and so-called ‘honour killings’ against women are common, it is probable that the proportion of murders of girls by family members may remain stable or actually increase in the 10 to 14- and 15 to 19-year age groups. Further research is needed to confirm whether this is so.

In some parts of South Asia, high rates of murder of girls within a few days of birth have been reported, with these deaths often disguised and registered as a still birth. A study in India, interviewing 1,000 women regarding pregnancy outcomes, found that 41% of the early neo-natal female deaths are due to female infanticide. Although the practice is apparently not limited to India, one study in Tamil Nadu estimated that 8–10% of infant deaths in 1995 could have been due to female infanticide. Further research is required to better understand the nature and extent of the phenomenon across countries.

Non-fatal physical violence

Physical violence is the intentional use of physical force against a child that either results in or has a high likelihood of resulting in harm to the child’s health, survival, development or dignity. Children around the world experience hitting, kicking, shaking, beating, bites, burns, strangulation, poisoning and suffocation by members of their family. In extreme cases this violence can result in a child’s death (as discussed above), in disability, or in severe physical injury. In other cases, physical violence may leave no outwardly visible sign of injury. In all instances, however, physical violence has a negative impact on a child’s psychological health and development.

Surveys from around the world suggest that physical violence against children in the home is widespread in all regions. For example, in a survey of students aged 11 to 18 in the Kurdistan Province of the Islamic Republic of Iran, 38.5% reported experiences of physical violence at home that had caused physical injury ranging from mild to severe. A review of research on physical victimisation of children in the Republic of Korea found that kicking, biting, choking and beating by parents are alarmingly common, with a high risk of physical injury – and for a small proportion, disability – as a result. In the UK, a national survey found that mothers and fathers were most often responsible for physical violence, although violence by siblings was also reported.

Corporal punishment is defined by the Committee on the Rights of the Child as “any punishment in which physical force is used and intended to cause some degree of pain or discomfort, however light.” While growing global concern over the prevalence of corporal punishment in the home – perpetuated by its widespread legality and social approval – has fostered interest in understanding its prevalence and forms, it has also generated debate. Most corporal punishment involves hitting (‘smacking’, ‘slapping’, ‘spanking’) children, with the hand or with an implement – whip, stick, belt,
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shoe, wooden spoon, etc. But it can also involve, for example, kicking, shaking or throwing children, scratching, pinching, biting, pulling hair or boxing ears, forcing children to stay in uncomfortable positions, burning, scalding or forced ingestion (for example, washing children’s mouths out with soap or forcing them to swallow hot spices). The Committee comments: “In the view of the Committee, corporal punishment is invariably degrading. In addition, there are other non-physical forms of punishment which are also cruel and degrading and thus incompatible with the CRC. These include, for example, punishment which belittles, humiliates, denigrates, scapegoats, threatens, scares or ridicules the child.”

There are considerable variations in popular views about the use and effectiveness of corporal punishment, according to available studies. While a Canadian study found that 59% of people believed that spanking is harmful and 86% that it is ineffective, research in the USA found that 84% agreed “that it is sometimes necessary to discipline a child with a good hard spanking.” A study in the Republic of Korea found that 90% of parents thought corporal punishment ‘necessary’. In a report from Yemen, almost 90% of children said that physical and humiliating punishment is the main method of discipline in the family, with the most common form being beating.

WHAT CHILDREN THINK ABOUT CORPORAL PUNISHMENT

The Save the Children Alliance conducted research on physical and humiliating punishment with children around the world as a special contribution to the Study. The resulting report found that overwhelmingly, the children disagreed with the idea that such punishment accomplished anything positive. The report suggested that while children may comply with adults’ wishes immediately after being hit, “young children frequently do not remember why they are hit, and children will only refrain from the misbehaviour if they face an imminent threat of being hit. This sort of punishment frightens children into certain behaviours: it does not help children to want to behave, or teach them self-discipline or promote any alternative.” In a survey undertaken by UNICEF in Europe and Central Asia, over 75% of children said that ‘hitting’ was ‘never’ a good solution to problems at home. In Regional Consultations for the Study, children repeatedly called for other methods of discipline, including being offered a proper explanation of what they had done wrong. They underlined how hurtful it was to be hit and humiliated by those who professed to love and care for them.
NEGLIGENCE

Neglect is an important contributor to death and illness in young children. Neglect means the failure of parents or carers to meet a child’s physical and emotional needs when they have the means, knowledge and access to services to do so; or failure to protect her or him from exposure to danger. However, in many settings the line between what is caused deliberately and what is caused by ignorance or lack of care possibilities may be difficult to draw. The degree to which neglect influences child mortality rates in many parts of the world is unknown (with exceptions, including the ‘missing girls’ phenomenon; see below).

Cases of neglect are difficult to interpret in circumstances of poor public health and undernutrition. In some industrialised countries, neglect constitutes the largest proportion of child maltreatment cases reported to the authorities. Studies in these countries confirm that forms of violence and neglect interconnect. All of the Regional Consultations for the Study expressed concern about neglect of children with disabilities; although there is little quantitative evidence, it is known that these children are at high risk of neglect, from deliberate withholding of basic physical necessities to emotional isolation and lack of stimulation.

Research on sex differences in neglect in India suggests that girls suffer relatively more neglect than boys throughout early childhood. They are breast-fed less frequently than boys and for shorter duration; once weaned, they are given food of an inferior quality and quantity. Girls are also taken to health services less often, and later in the course of any illness. A study from Nepal into outcomes of polio infection in the population found that several years later, the survival rate of boys was twice that of girls, despite the fact that polio affects equal numbers of males and females, thus suggesting gender bias in care. In China, the sex ratio is unbalanced in favour of boys (117 to 100), with this being contributed to by infanticide.

SEXUAL VIOLENCE

The WHO estimates that 150 million girls and 73 million boys under 18 have experienced forced sexual intercourse or other forms of sexual violence involving physical contact, though this is certainly an underestimate. Much of this sexual violence is inflicted by family members or other people residing in or visiting a child’s family home – people normally trusted by children and often responsible for their care.

A review of epidemiological surveys from 21 countries, mainly high- and middle-income countries, found that at least 7% of females (ranging up to 36%) and 3% of males (ranging up to 29%) reported sexual victimisation during their childhood. According to these studies, between 14% and 56% of the sexual abuse of girls, and up to 25% of the sexual abuse of boys, was perpetrated by relatives or step-parents. In many places, adults are outspoken about the risk of sexual violence their children face at school or at play in the community, but rarely do adults speak of children’s risk of sexual abuse within the home and family context. The shame, secrecy and denial associated
with familial sexual violence against children foster a pervasive culture of silence, where children cannot speak about sexual abuse they have suffered, adults do not speak about the risk of sexual violence in the home, and where adults do not know what to do or say if they suspect someone they know is sexually abusing a child.

Most children do not report the sexual violence they experience at home because they are afraid of what will happen to them and their families, that their families will be ashamed or reject them, or that they will not be believed. Adults may also fail to report such abuse. In communities and families with rigid norms about masculinity, femininity and family honour, boys who disclose sexual violence may be viewed as weak and unmanly, and girls who disclose sexual violence risk being blamed – and frequently beaten and killed.\(^{50,51}\) Both boys and girls are vulnerable to sexual violence, but comparison of international studies reveals that rates of sexual violence against girls are generally higher than those against boys.\(^{52,53}\)

A recent WHO multi-country study interviewed more than 24,000 women in 10 countries (Bangladesh, Brazil, Ethiopia, Japan, Peru, Namibia, Samoa, the former Serbia and Montenegro, Thailand, and the United Republic of Tanzania), and asked if someone had touched them sexually or made them do something sexual they did not want to do, before the age of 15 years.\(^{54}\) In some of these countries, the proportion of childhood sexual abuse perpetrated by family members is extremely high:

- In the two Brazil sites, city and province, 12% and 9% respectively of the women reported childhood sexual abuse. Of these, 66% and 54% reported that a family member was the perpetrator.
- In Namibia, 21% of the women reported childhood sexual abuse. Of these, 47% indicated that a family member was the perpetrator.
- In the two Peruvian sites, city and province, 19.5% and 18% of the women reported childhood sexual abuse, with 54% and 41% of the perpetrators being family members.

The most commonly reported perpetrators of sexual violence towards girls were male family members (brothers, uncles), followed by step-fathers, fathers and female family members. Male friends of family were also commonly named as perpetrators. Other research confirms that parents, caregivers, aunts and uncles, siblings, grandparents, cousins, and friends of the family perpetrate sexual violence against children. For example:

- In a study of women aged 15 to 49 in South Africa, 21% of women who reported being forced or persuaded to have intercourse against their will prior to the age of 15 years, reported that the perpetrator was a relative.\(^{55}\)
- In a Romanian national study of 13- and 14-year-olds, 9% of the children reported they had been sexually violated in the family and 1% reported they had been raped by a family member.\(^{56}\)
- In the Occupied Palestinian Territory, 19% of surveyed undergraduate college students reported at least one act of sexual violence against them by an
immediate family member prior to the age of 16. A further 36.2% reported sexual abuse by a relative at least once. Males and females reported similar rates of childhood sexual abuse.\textsuperscript{57}

- In a study of university students in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China, 4.3% of males and 7.4% of females reported experiencing one or more incidents of sexual violence before the age of 17 years. Perpetrators were strangers in less than one-third of cases.\textsuperscript{58}

- An analysis of child protection files in Spain from 1997 and 1998 showed that 3.6% of abuse cases involved sexual abuse, and 96% of the perpetrators of sexual abuse were family members or relatives. Fathers and stepfathers accounted for the largest proportion of persons responsible for sexual abuse, followed by mothers and uncles or aunts.\textsuperscript{59}

- In Somalia, 20% of the children reported to one study that they knew of a sexual assault against a child in their family.\textsuperscript{60}

### Violence related to sexual behaviour and perceptions of honour

In some circumstances, girls are regarded as complicit in cases of sexual violence against them and they, rather than their abusers, are held responsible for any sexual act, forced, violent or otherwise. In some countries, a girl older than 12 can be punished severely in cases of rape and other sexual assaults if the perpetrator denies it, and there is no witness.\textsuperscript{61}

In some cultures, suspected loss of virginity of a female member of the family, including as a result of rape, is perceived as compromising family honour, and may lead to her murder by family members. In Pakistan, human rights organisations report that there were over 1,200 cases of so-called ‘honour killings’ in 2003 alone.\textsuperscript{62,63} They also occur in Jordan, India, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, the Occupied Palestinian Territory, Turkey, Iraq, and Afghanistan; and in countries with populations originally from Asia and the Middle East. UK data suggest that around 12 of these killings occur there every year.\textsuperscript{64} These deaths are thought to represent only the extreme end of a much larger problem of intimidation and violence.\textsuperscript{65}

Extreme violence may be perpetrated against girls and women who do not respond in stereotypical ways. Rejection of romantic overtures or marriage proposals, for example, may prompt a violent reaction. The proportion of acid attacks on women and girls in Bangladesh, currently estimated at around 120 every year,\textsuperscript{66} related to refusal of a relationship or marriage proposal was reported as amounting to 17% in 2003.\textsuperscript{67} Most of the girls come from poor households, and attacks often occur on the way to school or during collection of water or fuel.

### SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN INTIMATE RELATIONSHIPS AND CHILD MARRIAGE

For a large number of girls – and some boys – the first experience of sexual intercourse in adolescence is unwanted and even coerced, and a proportion of these rapes occur in the context of intimate partnerships and under-
age permanent unions or marriages. There can also be violence in the context of dating, but this type of non-formal partnership relationships between adolescent boys and girls (and between same-sex young couples) tends to occur outside the home and family context. It is therefore primarily covered in the chapter on violence against children in the community.

In many societies, a marriage or permanent union is arranged – in the case of girls, usually at or soon after puberty – by parents and family elders. Sometimes these unions are forced on children, particularly girls, and result in early marriage.

While the justifications include protection of family honour and a girl’s sexual purity, economic factors also play a role: girls may be viewed as an economic burden in poor families; dowry costs are commonly lower, and bride wealth gains are higher for younger girls; a young girl’s marriage may be arranged to secure her and her family’s economic future.

The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women provides that the marriage of a child shall have no legal effect, and that all necessary action, including legislation, shall be taken to specify a minimum age for marriage. In its 1994 General Recommendation on equality and family relations, the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) recommended that the minimum age for marriage for both boys and girls should be 18. The Committee on the Rights of the Child has echoed this proposal, and frequently recommends to States that the legal age for marriage should be raised and equalised.

Early marriage of girls has significant negative consequences on their health, development and rights. It often ends their opportunities for formal education, and results in social isolation. Young wives are regarded as having consented to sexual relations with their husbands, and become pregnant when young, before their bodies are ready. They face higher rates of problems in childbirth and maternal mortality. The same applies to child marriage.68

In addition to other risks to their health and development, girls who marry before the age of 18 face significant risk of physical, sexual and psychological violence at the hands of their husbands, with existing evidence suggesting that girls who marry young are at higher risk of violence than other women.69 Intimate partner violence against married girls is often a manifestation of unequal power relations between her and her husband, and indicative of underlying societal beliefs in the status and roles of men and women.

Prevalence of child marriage

Child marriage is common in South Asia, West Africa, and some countries in East and Southern Africa – especially Mozambique, Uganda and Ethiopia – as well as others in the Middle East, notably Yemen. In some countries – mostly in West Africa, but including Bangladesh and Nepal – about 60% of girls are married by the age of 18, and in at least 28 countries, the proportion is 30%.70 Although the majority of countries have legislation which prohibits mar-
Marriage of girls under the age of 16, and some forbid marriage under the age of 18, such laws are frequently ignored: marriages are not registered, customary or religious rules are accepted, with few cases resulting in court proceedings.

It is estimated that, globally, 82 million girls now between 10–17 years of age will marry before their 18th birthday. This includes significant numbers of girls married at much younger ages. In Nepal, for example, 7% of girls are married by the time they are 10, and 40% by the age of 15. In the Amhara region of Ethiopia, 40% of girls in rural areas are married by the age of 15, in some cases following abduction and rape in order to avoid bride price. In all such cases, the notion of consent to the marriage by either partner, especially the girl, and to the sex within it, does not apply. In settings where a girl is sent to her in-laws once agreement between the families with respect to the marriage has been made, sex within the union often begins at the age of 10 or 11, before the girl has menstruated.

Physical, sexual and psychological violence

Married girls experience a significant amount of violence from their husbands. A recent analysis of Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) data showed that spousal violence had been experienced in the previous 12 months by 4% of girls aged 15 to 19 in Cambodia, 15.4% in the Dominican Republic, 21.0% in Egypt, 25.4% in Haiti, 10.4% in India, 18.2% in Nicaragua, and 33.3% in Zambia. In these countries, younger women and women who married at the earliest ages reported the most intimate partner violence.

Physical violence against married girls by their spouses can include pushing, shaking, slapping, punching, biting, kicking, dragging, strangling, burning, and threatening/attacking with a weapon. In societies with a custom of dowry, intimate partner violence against the young bride can result from her family’s failure to pay the dowry, or her husband’s or in-laws’ dissatisfaction with the amount.

Studies of domestic violence and dowry-related harassment show that close relatives, especially members of the husband’s family, play important roles in perpetrating violence against women. Often the perpetrator is the husband, assisted by the mother-in-law. However, in some cases the husband’s relatives are the main perpetrators of violence and harassment against the young bride. A study from India revealed that, among women who reported physical violence and harassment due to dissatisfaction with the dowry, the family member who most frequently harassed was the mother-in-law (95%), followed by the husband and father-in-law (72% each), sister-in-law (49%), and brother-in-law (14%).

Many married girls experience sexual violence from their partners; they may be physically forced, or threatened into having sexual intercourse against their will, or they may have sexual intercourse because they are afraid of what their partner will do if they refuse, or they may be forced to do something sexual that they find degrading or humiliating. In societies where the cultural norm is for men to have unlimited sexual access to women upon marriage, married girls are likely to experience forced and traumatic sexual initiation.
FIGURE 3.1

Percentage of women married by the age of 18 years

Psychological violence, by spouses, against married girls includes humiliation, threats against her or someone close to her, and controlling behaviours. Where a girl flees a violent marriage and returns home, she may be rejected by her parents and beaten for inadequacy as a wife.

HARMFUL TRADITIONAL PRACTICES

In some settings, cultural traditions include practices which inflict pain and ‘disfigurement’ on children, such as scarifying, branding, or tattooing. Although the term ‘harmful traditional practices’ has been particularly associated with FGM of girls, there are many other harmful practices involving both boys and girls. In Ethiopia, a 1998 survey by the National Committee on Harmful Traditional Practices found that uvulectomy (removal of flesh from the soft palate at the back of the mouth) is carried out on 84% of children, and milk teeth extraction on 89%. These operations may be performed with unsterilised instruments, leading to potential infection.

Participants in the West and Central African consultations for the Study expressed concern that in West African countries including Mauritania, Niger and northern Mali, the desire to marry their children at a very young age incites parents to force-feed their 5–10-year-old daughters to promote their physical development, make them as plump as mature women, and therefore pleasing to men. This may have tragic consequences, including rejection by husbands who find their wives have not menstruated and cannot produce children, as well as obesity which is associated with later serious health problems: cardiovascular disease, hypertension and diabetes.

Female genital mutilation/cutting (FGM)

The term ‘harmful traditional practices’ is most frequently used to refer to female genital mutilation, or ‘cutting’ as it is described in areas where it is practised. According to a WHO estimate, between 100 and 140 million girls and women in the world have undergone some form of FGM. Girls from very young ages up to their mid or late teens undergo this form of genital excision, normally including the clitoris, as a precursor to marriage. FGM is seen as a protection of virginity, a beautification process, and in a number of cultures is regarded as an essential precondition of marriage.

There are different forms of FGM, some of which involve more radical excisions in the genital area than others. In its most extreme form (infibulation), the internal labia minora and external labia majora are cut and the exposed edges sutured together, leaving the vagina almost shut. Following the procedure, the girl’s legs are normally bound from foot to hip, immobilising her for days to enable scar tissue to form. This form of the operation is endured by 90–98% of Somali girls, usually at the age of 7 or 8 years. There are profound implications for a woman’s experience of sexual relations and maternity. Prolonged labour and stillbirth are common. After delivery, the woman is usually ‘re-sewn’.

The most reliable and extensive data on the prevalence and nature of FGM are provided...
by DHS and Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS). However, the practice varies considerably in degree of severity of mutilation, and some of the countries in which it is most frequently practised and in its severest forms have not been subject to DHS or MICS, notably Somalia and Djibouti (see Figure 3.2). Estimates from UNICEF published in 2005 suggest that in sub-Saharan Africa, Egypt and the Sudan, 3 million girls and women are subjected to FGM every year.  

The highest prevalence is in the countries in the Horn of Africa (Somalia, Ethiopia, Eritrea and Djibouti), followed by neighbouring Egypt and Sudan, East and West Africa, with some cases also occurring in other parts of the Middle East and in Asia. In many of the countries where it occurs, it is practised by certain peoples; for example in Nigeria, according to DHS data, the prevalence reaches almost 60% of girls in the southern provinces, but only 2% in the north. In a country such as Kenya, it is practised almost universally among Kenyan Somali, Masai, and some other groups, but reaches 32% in the country as a whole. In Guinea, Mali and Mauritania, recent DHS have shown that rates of FGM are as high as 71–99%, and that some girls are nowadays ‘cut’ before the age of four. There are also cases in the industrialised world among diaspora groups.

**PSYCHOLOGICAL VIOLENCE**

All physical and sexual violence involves some psychological harm; but psychological violence can also take the form of insults, name-calling, ignoring, isolation, rejection, threats, emotional indifference and belittlement – that can be detrimental to a child’s psychological development and well-being. Standard definitions are lacking, and little is known about the global extent of this form of violence against children except that it frequently accompanies other forms: a strong coexistence between psychological and physical violence against children in violent households has been established. In the violent family setting, there is constant fear and anxiety caused by the anticipation of violence; pain, humiliation and fear during its enactment; and, in older age groups, the loneliness of parental rejection, distrust, and at times self-disgust. Psychological violence may be the product of uncontrolled frustration, or it may have a similar purpose to that of corporal punishment: to cow a child into obedience and ‘retrain’ his or her unruly behaviour. Although children may know the saying “words can never hurt me,” the truth is that many children find the pain and anxiety of rejection, and the humiliation of an attack on their self-esteem, is also difficult to bear.

Psychological forms of punishment occur in every region. A study across five countries conducted by the World Studies of Abuse in the Family Environment (WorldSAFE) project indicated that shouting or screaming at children was a punishment practised by parents in all five countries (Chile, Egypt, India, the Philippines, and the USA). The incidence of cursing children or threatening them was more varied; for example, in the Philippines no mother was reported as cursing her child, but 48% threatened abandonment; in Egypt 51% cursed the child, but only 10% threatened abandonment.
The most reliable and extensive data on prevalence and nature of FGM are provided by DHS and MICS. However, the surveys do not capture the degree of severity of mutilation, which varies considerably between and within countries. In addition, some of the countries in which FGM is known to be most frequently practised in its severest forms, such as Somalia and Djibouti, have not been subject to DHS or MICS.
One type of punishment may give way to another, depending on age. A study conducted among 2,000 children aged six to 18 in Swaziland found that humiliating psychological punishment was more common against older children, and corporal punishment more common among younger ones.92

THE CONSEQUENCES OF VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN

The consequences of violence against children include both the immediate personal impacts and the damage that they carry forward into later childhood, adolescence and adult life. The violence that children experience in the context of home and family can lead to lifelong consequences for their health and development. They may lose the trust in other human beings essential to normal human development. Learning to trust from infancy onwards through attachments in the family is an essential task of childhood, and closely related to the capacity for love, empathy and the development of future relationships. At a broader level, violence can stunt the potential for personal development and achievement in life, and present heavy costs to society as a whole.

DEVELOPMENTAL CONSEQUENCES: PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL

The most apparent immediate consequences of violence to children are fatal and non-fatal injury, cognitive impairment and failure to thrive, and the psychological and emotional consequences of experiencing or witnessing painful and degrading treatment that they cannot understand and are powerless to prevent. These consequences include feelings of rejection and abandonment, impaired attachment, trauma, fear, anxiety, insecurity and shattered self-esteem. When a parent deliberately inflicts pain on a child, whether for punishment or for some other reason, part of the child’s lesson is that the parent is a source of pain to be avoided; even at two years old, physically punished children distance themselves from mothers compared to children who are not physically punished.93

Impacts and consequences are complicated by the fact that, at home, children are victimised by people they love and trust, in places where they ought to feel safe. The damage is particularly severe in the context of sexual abuse, particularly as the stigma and shame surrounding child sexual abuse in all countries usually leaves the child dealing with the harm in solitude. Loss of confidence and belief in the human beings closest to the child can instil feelings of fear, suspicion, uncertainty, and emotional isolation. He or she may never again feel safe or secure in the company of the parent or family member who perpetrated the violence.

A growing body of evidence suggests that exposure to violence or trauma alters the developing brain by interfering with normal neuro-developmental processes.94 Where family violence is acute, children may show age-related changes in behaviour and symptoms consistent with Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and depression. Physical and sexual victimisation are associated with an increased risk of suicidal thoughts and behaviour, and the more severe
violence against children in the home and family is a serious problem in itself and has been strongly associated with health risk behaviours later in life… In turn, these behaviours contribute to some of the leading causes of disease and death…. Preventing violence against children in the home and family should therefore be a public health priority.”

Dr Alexander Butchart, Editorial Board of the UN Secretary-General’s Study on Violence against Children

The violence, the higher this risk.\textsuperscript{95,96} The effects may also be influenced by how adults respond to children if they try to talk about what they have experienced. Other variables will include how long the violence has gone on, where it has taken place, and whether the child is suffering from repeated violence from the same person, or whether he or she is being ‘re-victimised’ by another perpetrator.\textsuperscript{97}

According to WHO, the negative effects to children of living in a violent household are similar across culturally and geographically diverse settings. Based on studies of women in Bangladesh, Brazil, Ethiopia, Japan, Namibia, Peru, Samoa, Thailand and the United Republic of Tanzania, children living in violent households (where the mother reported physical abuse from the father) were more likely to have behavioural problems such as bed-wetting, nightmares, and excessively aggressive behaviour or timidity, than those in non-violent households.\textsuperscript{98} The results suggest that exposure to violence in the home is a warning sign for damage to children, and care services need to factor this into prevention and response.

CONSEQUENCES OVER THE LONGER TERM

A growing body of research shows that violence perpetrated against children, or the experience of living in a household where violence against loved ones is frequently witnessed, can be a significant contributing factor in adult illness and death. Childhood experience of violence has been linked to alcohol and drug abuse, cancer, chronic lung disease, depression, and a number of other conditions including liver disease, obesity and chronic reproductive health problems.\textsuperscript{99,100,101} The links may result from harmful behaviours adopted as coping mechanisms such as smoking, drinking, substance abuse, binging or other poor dietary habits.

Violence against children can also have a lasting impact on mental health.\textsuperscript{102} A study comparing data from around the world shows that a significant proportion of adult mental disorders are connected to sexual abuse in childhood (see Table 3.1).\textsuperscript{103} Although the prevalence of abuse varied in different regions, the impacts appeared similar, with mental health effects being worse in relation to the period over which abuse continued and degree of severity.

Findings are similar regarding physical punishment and other degrading forms of treatment. Corporal punishment is a predictor of depression, unhappiness and anxiety, and feelings of hopelessness in children and youth. Even a low frequency of corporal punishment may lead to psychological distress in young people.\textsuperscript{104,105,106,107} In a group of adolescents in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China, those who had been physically punished in recent months were more likely to consume alcohol, smoke cigarettes, get into fights, be anxious and stressed, and perceive difficulties in their ability to cope with everyday problems.\textsuperscript{108} The relationship with poorer mental health continues into adulthood according to studies in Canada and the USA, which found a higher level of anxiety disorders and alcohol dependence.\textsuperscript{109}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3.1 – Global burden of mental disorder attributed to child sexual abuse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression, alcohol and drug abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide attempts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorders</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further victimisation

Experiencing violence as a young child also increases the risk of further victimisation, and an accumulation of violent experiences. This reinforces the importance of recognising and preventing violence against children as early as possible. Similarly, child sexual abuse has been clearly established as a risk factor for sexual victimisation in adulthood, and the risk is compounded when it includes intercourse and other forms of violence. The extent of continued victimisation in the home has only been assessed in a few countries where registers and databases are routinely updated. In the UK and the USA cases where violence to the child has been referred to official child protection agencies, rates of re-referral range from between 5% and 24% within a 1–4 year follow-up. In cases where a child has been referred on at least two occasions, risk rises significantly.

Family violence against children is believed to be associated with increased risk of violence in other settings. A study in the UK found that children witnessing domestic violence are also more likely to be victims of bullying, and similarly a study of elementary and middle school-aged children in Italy showed that being bullied at school was associated with witnessing parents’ violence at home, especially for girls.

Children who have been sexually abused, or extremely neglected, or who have experienced violence at home, may run away or drift into a street life which exposes them to the risk of sexual abuse or exploitation. This happens to boys as well as girls: according to a report from

---

Table 3.1 – Global burden of mental disorder attributed to child sexual abuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental Disorder</th>
<th>Percentage of Global Disease Burden Attributed to Child Sexual Abuse (CSA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression, alcohol and drug abuse</td>
<td>7–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide attempts</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorders</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Canada, almost all boys involved in prostitution there have been sexually abused at home.\textsuperscript{116}

A number of studies have focused on the intergenerational nature of violence.\textsuperscript{117} Recent data from an international study in Australia, Costa Rica, the Czech Republic, Poland and the Philippines indicates that the problem is common across cultures and regions.\textsuperscript{118} Women in all countries who have experienced physical violence from their parents in childhood are considerably more likely to report physical violence from an intimate partner as an adult, supporting the notion of a life-course perspective of violence\textsuperscript{119} (see Figure 3.3).

In the case of traditional practices, and of child marriage, there is a consistent intergenerational link in that mothers (and fathers) who regard them as mandatory customs inflict them on daughters and sons. The main predeterminant of FGM is ethnic affiliation; some ethnic groups carry out the practice in almost their entire population, whereas others living in the same area do not do so.\textsuperscript{120} Education of girls, especially to secondary level, can break the intergenerational link and reduce the prevalence of FGM.\textsuperscript{121}

**SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES**

In addition to its negative impact on a child’s rights, health and development, family violence against children has economic consequences for families and society. These include direct costs such as the cost of medical care for victims, legal and social welfare services, and the placement of child victims in care. Indirect costs include possible lasting injury or disability, psychological costs or other impacts on a victim’s quality of life; the disruption or discontinuation of education; and productivity losses in the future life of the child or young person.\textsuperscript{122} The potential financial burden is illustrated by data from a few industrialised societies. The financial costs associated with child abuse and neglect, including future lost earnings and mental health care, were estimated in the USA in 2001 at US$ 94 billion.\textsuperscript{123} In the UK, an annual cost of US$ 1.2 billion has been cited for immediate welfare and legal services alone.\textsuperscript{124}

**FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO VIOLENCE**

The risk of home and family violence arises from the interaction between the quality of family relationships, and stress or pressure upon the family from external factors or from characteristics of family members. Some factors stem from the individual characteristics of the child (e.g. stage of development, sex) and the characteristics of the parent or caregiver (e.g. mental disorders, substance abuse). Others derive from the family setting and the roles and relationships of people within it; these may enhance vulnerability, or on the contrary, may offer protection. Dysfunctional family relationships and poor parent–child interactions have a critical bearing on whether children experience violence in the home. Other factors derive from the environment, as in the case of emergency situations, but may also be related to the availability of social networks, or loss of livelihood. Of course, a negative outcome is
not inevitable; for example, where parent and sibling relationships are good, this will provide children with protection and foster resilience against external shocks.

Violence is likely to result from a combination of personal, familial, social, economic and cultural factors, and the interrelationship amongst these factors can be difficult to disentangle. Moreover, some children are exposed to several types of violence from multiple sources over many years.

**CHILD-RELATED FACTORS**

**Age:** At a very early age, the physical frailty of the infant and state of dependence on the mother or immediate carer is the key to vulnerability. Apart from the risks of injury or death from physical violence, infants are vulnerable to as a result of omission and neglect. Infants are most vulnerable in the time immediately after birth; they remain extremely vulnerable, but become decreasingly so, throughout their first year and early childhood (0 to 4 years).

**FIGURE 3.3**

Women’s experience of intimate partner violence after the age of 16 and its association with childhood victimisation

![Graph showing the percentage of women experiencing intimate partner violence by country and experience of childhood violence](image)

Sex: A child’s sex may also be a factor which raises his or her risk of victimisation. Although sexual violence is frequently directed against boys, girls are more likely to suffer such abuse. Daughters are more likely to be severely neglected in societies where son preference is pronounced, while in some societies sons are more likely to experience severe violence than daughters.

Other characteristics: Children with disabilities are at heightened risk of violence for a variety of reasons, ranging from deeply ingrained cultural prejudices to the higher emotional, physical, economic, and social demands that a child’s disability can place on his or her family. In the USA, children with physical, sensory, intellectual or mental health disabilities endure almost double the number of violent incidents compared to their non-disabled peers. Similarly, the Caribbean Regional Desk Review undertaken for the Study reported that children with disabilities were at heightened risk for all types of violence (physical, sexual, emotional and neglect), much of it in the home. In some regions disabled children are viewed as cursed; for example in West and Central African such children are likely to be exposed from birth to tacit or open neglect, and violence may be accepted or even encouraged by the family. (Violence against children with disabilities is also discussed at some length in the chapter on violence against children in care and justice systems.)

In addition to disability, certain other characteristics heighten children’s risk of experiencing violence in the home. Characteristics that hinder parent–child attachment or make a child more difficult to care for can affect susceptibility. For example children who are unwanted, born prematurely or are of low birth-weight or part of a multiple birth, and children with chronic illness or serious behavioural problems may be at increased risk of maltreatment.

FAMILY-RELATED FACTORS

Parent characteristics and socio-economic status: While violence in the home is found in all social and economic spheres, studies from a range of different settings show that low parental education levels, lack of income, and household overcrowding increase the risk of physical and psychological violence against children. Physically violent parents are also more likely to be young, single and poor. These associations are likely to be related to stress caused by poverty, unemployment and social isolation. Children living in families with these factors are most at risk when there is inadequate social support and the family is not part of a strong social network. Lack of extended family support may exacerbate existing problems.

Stress and social isolation: Studies from both industrialised and developing countries show that many of the personality and behavioural characteristics of violent parents are related to poor social functioning and diminished capacity to cope with stress. Parents with poor impulse control, low self-esteem, mental health problems, and substance abuse (alcohol and drugs) are more likely to use physical violence against their children and/or to neglect them. Parents who use violence against their children may well have experienced violence as children.
Research on the links between socio-economic conditions and violence against children suggest that efforts are needed to alter the underlying conditions that put extreme economic, social and emotional stress on families. Greater attention must be given to supporting families who live in communities characterised by high levels of unemployment, overcrowded housing, rapid population turnover and low levels of social cohesion.

**Parental loss or separation:** Orphanhood, which has increased with the HIV/AIDS pandemic, heightens vulnerability. A study by World Vision in Uganda, where an estimated 1.7 million children have been orphaned by AIDS, argues that the deliberate stigmatisation suffered by these children from guardians, teachers and relatives causes psychological harm and is itself a form of violence. Placing these orphans into the homes of extended family or local community is a common and preferred practice over institutionalisation or the phenomenon of child-headed households; however, community leaders in Uganda reported that corporal punishment was more violent and common against orphans than against other children in the household who were more closely related to adult carers or to the head of the household. In Zambia, a study by Human Rights Watch found that orphans who were taken in by extended family members were frequently subjected to sexual violence from uncles, stepfathers and cousins. With a rate of around 20% cases of HIV infection in the population, such violence can be deadly. Girls rarely attempted to disclose the abuse: they were too aware of their dependency, and
that they might be silenced or lose essential support. Orphanhood can also increase the risk of violence in community settings.

**Exposure to intimate partner violence in the home:** It is estimated that 133 to 275 million children witness violence between their parents/carers annually on a frequent basis, usually fights between parents, or between their mother and partner (see Table 3.2). Children can be psychologically and emotionally damaged by witnessing violence against another family member. Evidence from a range of studies shows that witnessing of this violence over a long period of time can severely affect a child’s well-being, personal development and social interactions both in childhood and adulthood; such children may exhibit the same behavioural and psychological disturbances as those who are directly exposed to violence (see below).

Violence against women in the home often is linked with violence against children; in the USA, inter-partner violence (also known as domestic violence) may be the most important precursor to child maltreatment fatalities. The same association has been observed in a variety of geographically and culturally distinct settings and countries: in China, Colombia, Egypt, India, Mexico, the Philippines, and South Africa a strong relationship between these two forms of violence has been found. In one study from India, inter-partner violence in the home doubled the risk of direct violence against children in the household. Children living in circumstances of inter-partner violence among parents/caregivers in their home are not only at risk of physical violence themselves, but may suffer psychological and emotional disturbances; without intervention they may go on to be future perpetrators or victims of violence.

Since they spend more time at home, children in the early years – when they are most subject to influence by external factors and liable to be more overwhelmed by fear – are at particular risk of witnessing intimate partner violence. Such children may also learn powerful lessons about aggression in interpersonal relationships which they carry with them into their future. Child development specialists suggest that hostile styles of behaviour, emotional regulation and the capacity for personal conflict resolution are shaped by parent–child and inter-parental relationships. However, not all children who grow up in violent homes suffer long-lasting consequences; given support, children have remarkable capacities for coping, and resilience in the face of violence.

**SOCIETAL AND CULTURAL FACTORS**

**Legal and policy framework:** Weak legal frameworks contribute both directly and indirectly to family violence against children. The laws of some countries still condone, either explicitly or implicitly as a result of interpretation, some level of violence against children if it is inflicted by the child’s own parents or guardians as a means of behavioural correction. Many countries lack legal protection against harmful traditional practices and child marriage, and in some countries laws against child sexual abuse apply only to men’s sexual violence against girls or may not address sexual violence against children by family members.
Laws and policies relating to access to family planning services, alcohol availability, acceptable levels of environmental toxins, access to mental health and substance abuse treatment, and access to birth, death and marriage registration, have an indirect but substantial impact on the risk of child maltreatment in homes and families. Policies regarding education, child care, parental leave, health care, unemployment and social security that leave children and families without economic and social safety nets exacerbate family stress and social isolation and contribute to higher rates of violence against children.

**Authoritarianism:** Where parent–child relationships are excessively controlling and afford a low status to children, this is likely to increase violence, particularly when coupled with the belief that corporal punishment or

### TABLE 3.2 – Estimated number of children who witness violence at home annually

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDG REGION</th>
<th>ESTIMATED NUMBER OF CHILDREN WITNESSING VIOLENCE IN THE HOME*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>40.7–88 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Asia</td>
<td>7.2–15.9 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>34.9–38.2 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-eastern Asia</td>
<td>No estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>548,000–657,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Africa</td>
<td>No estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America and the Caribbean</td>
<td>11.3–25.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Asia</td>
<td>19.8–61.4 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth of Independent States</td>
<td>900,000 to 3.6 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed countries</td>
<td>4.6–11.3 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global estimate</strong></td>
<td><strong>133–275 million</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

**Violence against Children in the Home and Family**
other humiliating forms of punishment are a necessary means of discipline. Several studies have suggested that a culture in which children are expected to submit without question to the injunctions of older family members and adults in authority contribute to children’s vulnerability.\textsuperscript{154,155} Where parents believe they ‘own’ children and have the right to do to them whatever they think best, there is resistance to State involvement in child protection. Belief in the sanctity of the family makes authorities, neighbours and members reluctant to speak up when they know children are being victimised.\textsuperscript{156,157,158,159,160} This should not be confused with authoritative parenting, which balances warmth and support with setting and enforcing clear limits on behaviour, and blends setting high standards with being responsive to the child’s needs and developing capacities.\textsuperscript{161}

**Patriarchal attitudes:** Patriarchal attitudes – particularly when they perpetrate the entrenched inferior status of women in many cultures – are also associated with increased risk of violence. In some societies, extremely violent acts may be inflicted by boys or men if the girls or women in question do not comply with their wishes. Seeking a girl’s consent in such matters as sexual relations and marriage may not be considered necessary. Girls may also be blamed for male violence against them. In these settings, male children may be exposed to violence as punishment for behaving in a manner inconsistent with stereotypical roles for men and women.

**Membership in ethnic minority or indigenous groups:** Children in ethnic minority groups are often at high risk of violence because of a confluence of other risk factors associated with the social exclusion of these groups. These include high rates of substance abuse and alcoholism, poverty, bad housing, and unemployment.

**PROTECTIVE FACTORS WITHIN THE HOME**

Just as certain factors increase the likelihood of family violence against children, other factors can reduce its likelihood. Not every family with the risk factors described above becomes a violent environment for children. Unfortunately, there has been little systematic research on protective factors and they are not well understood. Where research has been conducted, the focus is on identifying factors that mediate the impact of violence once it has occurred, for example those that might protect a victim from developing long-term mental disorders or that seem to be associated with breaking the cycle of violence. Factors that appear through common sense and research to facilitate resilience include higher levels of paternal care during childhood,\textsuperscript{162} fewer associations with substance-abusing peers, or peers engaged in criminal activity,\textsuperscript{163} a warm and supportive relationship with a non-offending parent,\textsuperscript{164} and lower levels of violence-related stress.\textsuperscript{165}

Little is known about what prevents families from becoming violent. A few studies have shown that communities with strong social cohesion, thriving social networks and neighbourhood connections have a strong protective effect and can even lessen the risk of violence when other family risk factors are pres-
Based on current understanding of the risk factors for violence and the evidence of prevention strategies that are effective, it is clear that families can be a powerful source of protection and support for children. Good parenting, strong attachment between parents and children, and positive non-violent relationships with children are clear protective factors. This highlights the importance of providing support to families to encourage these factors to flourish, especially families situated in communities with low levels of social cohesion.

RESPONSES TO VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN IN THE HOME AND FAMILY

Under the CRC and other human rights treaties, States have an obligation to provide a comprehensive and multi-sectoral response to all forms of violence against children in families. These should comprise policies and services for both prevention of violence and protection to assist child victims.

CHILD SAFETY: A PIONEERING MODEL IN JORDAN

The Jordan River Foundation (JRF) was set up in 1997, with the support of Her Majesty Queen Rania Al-Abdullah, to promote the protection of Jordanian children, strengthen the family unit, and enhance positive child–parent relationships and healthy family dynamics. The Foundation has established itself as a pioneer in building an Arab child safety model.

The Child Safety Programme carried out under the JRF umbrella provides awareness, prevention and intervention services in relation to the sensitive issue of child abuse, by addressing legal, medical, psychological educational and social needs of the child in an integrated manner. The programme is unique to Jordan and the Arab world, and has pioneered the opening up of a complex issue and bringing it to the awareness of the Jordanian public and decision-makers.

The Child Safety Centre – Dar Al-Aman – set up by the Foundation is the first therapeutic centre in the Arab world for rehabilitating abused children and their families. Children and families attending the centre are able to gain from a variety of services and education programmes. Mothers are assisted with child care techniques, fathers familiarised with alternative means of discipline, young people instructed in conflict resolution and basic life skills, and children empowered with techniques for self-protection.
To date, States’ responses have focused primarily on child protection services or alternative systems of care, rather than on prevention. Prevention and protection strategies should be developed in tandem, balanced within the framework of an overall strategy which considers issues of social policy; legal reform; programmes and services for prevention and care; and strategies to bring about changes in attitudes and behaviours.

**LEGAL REFORM**

Fewer than 20 countries have reformed their laws to prohibit physical chastisement in the family, but more have committed themselves to doing so as the Study has progressed. All States have laws making assault a criminal offence and many Constitutions prohibit cruel, inhuman or degrading punishment; many have laws which prohibit cruelty, maltreatment or ‘abuse’ of children. But these laws are not interpreted as prohibiting all violence against children, and in many States legislation contains justifications or defences for corporal punishment. Most countries prohibit incest, rape and other sexual assaults; many also specify a minimum age of sexual consent and of marriage, although this is often below the age of 18. Most countries where FGM is practised now have laws against it. However, laws on violence against children are not effectively implemented in many places because of the strength of traditional attitudes and in some cases because of the existence of religious or customary legal systems.

**Laws on corporal punishment and other forms of cruel or degrading punishment**

Laws on criminal assault, as has been noted by the Committee on the Rights of the Child, are seldom interpreted as prohibiting physical chastisement, corporal punishment and all other forms of cruel or degrading punishment of children in the family. In over 70 countries, the English common-law defence of ‘reasonable’ or ‘moderate’ chastisement of children has remained following periods of colonisation. In order to prohibit all corporal punishment, any such defences must be removed and prohibition of corporal punishment and other forms of cruel or degrading punishment made explicit.

Between 1996 and 2006, the Committee on the Rights of the Child has recommended to 130 countries that they take steps to prohibit all corporal punishment. In 2006, the Committee adopted a General Comment – a statement of its authoritative interpretation of the CRC – on the right of the child to protection from corporal punishment and other degrading forms of punishment. The Committee emphasises that the first purpose of law reform to prohibit all corporal punishment within the family is preventive: “to prevent violence against children by changing attitudes and practice, underlining children’s right to equal protection and providing an unambiguous foundation for child protection and for the promotion of positive, non-violent and participatory forms of child-rearing.”

The Committee also emphasises that the principle of equal protection of children and adults...
from assault, including within the family, does not mean that all cases of corporal punishment of children by their parents that come to light should lead to prosecution of parents: “The *de minimis* principle – that the law does not concern itself with trivial matters – ensures that minor assaults between adults only come to court in very exceptional circumstances; the same will be true of minor assaults on children. States need to develop effective reporting and referral mechanisms. While all reports of violence against children should be appropriately investigated and their protection from significant harm assured, the aim should be to stop parents using violent or other cruel or degrading punishments through supportive and educational, not punitive, interventions.”

For legal reform to fulfil the purpose intended, advice and training will be needed for all those involved in child protection systems, including the police, prosecuting authorities and the courts. Guidance should emphasise that support for the family and for constructive and non-violent upbringing is vital, and that any question of separating a child from his or her family must fully respect the best interests of the child.

**Other areas for legal change**

Some countries have introduced measures to criminalise abuse by intimate partners; measures which broaden the definition of rape have been introduced, thus dispelling the notion that violence among intimates is a private matter, thereby helping to shift social norms.

However, legal change does not guarantee social change where it is not backed up by public and professional education. Laws passed to reflect CRC obligations which are not linked to widespread public education and which clash with cultural norms and accepted practices may be systematically ignored. Combating harmful traditional practices such as FGM, for example, cannot be achieved by legal change alone, even though legal systems should and must condemn them. Legal change must be accompanied by education programmes directed at officials, parents and children.

In some cases, legislation exists but is insufficient; imprecise or insensitive implementation of it can compound children’s victimisation rather than relieve it. Some existing legislation is so inadequate that it penalises child victims instead of family perpetrators; in these circumstances, it may actually reinforce the possibility of violence against children. In many settings in Africa, Asia and the Middle East, when a young girl below the age of consent or marital union has been raped and become pregnant, marriage to the rapist can be imposed upon her by the courts and her parents.

Legislation against so-called ‘honour killings’ may impose more lenient sentences than on other homicides, or perpetrators may be exonerated by traditional justice systems; and children sold into prostitution may bear the brunt of social disapproval or be treated as criminals.

Preventing violence against children in the home and family setting requires legal reform to reach beyond laws directly concerned with violence. To achieve large-scale reductions in violence against children, legal and policy frameworks must address the underlying risk
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factors and strengthen protective factors. Factors such as alcohol availability, family planning services, pre- and post-natal care, social security, mental health and substance abuse treatment, birth, death and marriage registration, and levels of environmental toxins are just a few examples of important factors that are sensitive to legal and policy reform.

PREVENTION STRATEGIES

What many do not realise, but which research continues to show, is that a variety of interventions can prevent violence: violence against children in the home and family setting can be reduced significantly by the implementation of laws, policies and programmes which strengthen and support families, and that address the underlying community and societal factors that allow violence to thrive.

To maximise effectiveness, prevention strategies should be based on the best available scientific evidence, aim to reduce factors contributing to risk and strengthen protective factors, include mechanisms for evaluating the impact of the strategy, and be carried out within a broader framework for addressing violence against children. Promising strategies to prevent violence against children in the home and family context are many and varied, ranging from programmes with a direct impact, such as parenting training, to policies with a more indirect impact, such as those governing alcohol availability or access to family planning services.

THE SWEDISH EXPERIENCE WITH PROHIBITION OF CORPORAL PUNISHMENT

Sweden was the first State to prohibit all corporal punishment. In 1957, a provision was removed from the Criminal Code which excused parents who caused minor injuries in the course of ‘discipline’. In 1979, Sweden explicitly prohibited corporal punishment in its Parenthood and Guardianship Code: “Children … may not be subjected to corporal punishment or any other humiliating treatment.”

Sweden’s experience shows that when progressive law reform is linked to comprehensive public education, substantial changes in attitude and reductions in violence against children can be achieved within decades. In 2000, a parliamentary committee enquired into the experiences of parents and children with corporal punishment since the ban. The data indicate that its use has decreased dramatically, particularly in relation to beating children with fists or with an implement, or ‘spanking’ them. In national parental studies in 1980, 51% of parents said that they had used corporal punishment during the previous year; 20 years later, in 2000, this figure had decreased to 8%. 174
Support for parents and families

Maternal and child health services

Services for reproductive and maternal and child health are the first line of action to reduce neglect and violence against children from their earliest moments of life. These services not only provide the possibility of preventing unwanted pregnancies and improving access to prenatal, post-natal and early childhood health care, but can also help strengthen early attachment and reduce the risk of parental violence against young children. Most countries provide maternity services and some have home visitation programmes for newborns by health or community workers/volunteers. Therefore, the early identification of parents who need support can be achieved without stigma or labelling by the routine checks on mothers and children through maternity services, promoting safe pregnancy and childbirth, and through home visits by health workers. These give an opportunity to provide parent education, and to direct resources to ‘high priority’ families by identifying known risk factors and offering additional services.

Home visitation and parent education programmes

Programmes focusing on family functioning, particularly on family management, problem-solving, and parenting practices, have existed for several decades. There is strong and consistent evidence showing them to be effective in reducing home and family violence against children, as well as other negative child health and development outcomes. The most successful programmes address both the internal dynamics of the family and the family’s capacity for dealing with external demands. Caregiver education can also pre-empt the evolution of poor parent-child relationships, and provide a context in which to teach parents non-violent methods of discipline. The earlier these programmes are delivered in the child’s life and the longer their duration, the greater the benefits.

Home visitation involves health professionals, social workers or trained volunteers in the assessment of infants and young children’s needs and their parents’ capacity to meet those needs, given the family’s current social and economic situation. Personalised home visits aim to provide emotional support and training to promote positive parental knowledge, skills and behaviour, and to a certain extent to assess
the family. Home visits also offer an opportunity to link a family with other community services as needed.

In the USA, the value of home visits by nurses to young first-time mothers in socio-economic difficulty, for the first two years of the child’s life, were evident 15 years later. In a randomised trial, the benefits to the visited families included a significant reduction in child abuse and neglect, as well as reductions in maternal alcohol/drug problems. Current evidence indicates that the most successful home visitation programmes focus on families with an elevated risk of violence against the child, and begin in pregnancy and continue to at least the second year of the child’s life, actively promote positive health behaviours, support the family in stress management, and address a range of issues that are important to the family. Programmes should be flexible in order to adjust to the changing needs of families.

Parenting programmes are increasingly being implemented in middle- and low-income countries. For example, at the instigation of the All China Women’s Federation, over 200,000 Chinese communities organised ‘Parents’ Schools’ to help people adapt to parenting in the one-child family. In Eastern Europe, the Republic of Moldova is mainstreaming parent education in the primary health care system. Health workers are trained to provide parents with the knowledge and skills needed to meet the survival, growth, development and protection needs of their young children, and also to know when and where to go for specialised services. The initiative started in 2002; already it is clear that family doctors and nurses who attended the training programme are more likely to engage in parent education. This initiative includes a specific focus on protecting children from all forms of violence, including physical punishment and other humiliating forms of discipline.

In developing countries, parenting courses are offered by community-based parents’ centres. For example, services offered by The Parent Centre in Cape Town, South Africa include the following:

- Parent groups for mothers and babies, mothers and toddlers, and single parents
- Post-natal depression support
- Training on effective discipline for toddlers
- Training for parents of under-5s, under-12s, and teenagers
- Counselling for parents and caregivers
• Home visitation specifically to prevent family violence against children
• Training for professionals and para-professionals who work with children.

**Early education and child care programmes**

Many families need help in providing not only basic care but also stimulation and education for their children. Early Childhood Care and Development (ECCD) programmes are designed to achieve both of these objectives, and there is evidence that they can be effective in reducing the factors that engender violence in the home. In the UK, for example, a review of day-care programmes for pre-school children of economically disadvantaged parents found that the effects on the mothers’ interaction with their children were positive, and that the mothers’ gains in education or employment were beneficial for their families. Long-term benefits to children included improved behavioural development and school achievement, higher levels of employment, lower teenage pregnancy rates, higher socio-economic status, and decreased criminal behaviour.\(^{187}\)

**TRIPLE P: POSITIVE PARENTING PROGRAMME**

Since the risk factors that shape the risk of family violence occur at several levels, some of the most effective prevention strategies involve interventions at more than one level. An example is the Positive Parenting Programme (Triple P) originally devised in Australia, and now also used in Canada, Germany, the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China, New Zealand, Singapore, Switzerland, the USA and the UK. Triple P has been shown to be effective in promoting positive parenting behaviour and is likely to reduce the risk of violence against children. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is currently funding an outcome evaluation study to assess the impact of Triple P on child maltreatment.\(^{184}\)

Level 1 of the programme is aimed at the whole population. For selected parents, two further levels offer consultation sessions in primary care settings such as health centres. For parents in difficulty, with mental health problems or where there may be a high risk of violence in the family, more intense parent training programmes are available, with 8–10 sessions (level 4) or 10–16 sessions (level 5).

The core principles of Triple P are:
- Safe engaging environment for the child
- Responsive learning environment for the child
- Assertive (non-aggressive) and consistent discipline from the parent
- Reasonable expectations of the child
- Parent taking care of self.\(^{185}\)
Support for families of children with disabilities

There is little research on the effectiveness of programmes aimed at reducing family violence against children born with disabilities. However, the Expert Consultation on Children with Disabilities held for the Study noted that promising approaches from various parts of the world include community-based rehabilitation and early stimulation programmes, either at centres or through home visits.

Providing short-term respite care for parents of children with disabilities can reduce stress on the family as a whole, but also act as a preventive strategy against violence. Support mechanisms that allow parents to take a break from child care, organised through religious bodies, NGOs, or through a State agency, may help prevent violence against disabled children.\textsuperscript{188}

Programmes for and with children

Life-skills-based education, which enables children to recognise and avoid risky situations, has produced promising outcomes in a number of school- and community-based settings. This type of intervention usually teaches children about appropriate and inappropriate touching, saying ‘no’ to an adult when they feel uncomfortable, and who they can talk to if they experience violence. While some programmes have improved children’s knowledge and skills regarding threatening situations, longer-term evaluations are not generally available. Such programmes work best as part of a more comprehensive strategy, rather than as stand-alone programmes. (See the chapter on violence against children in schools and educational settings.)

In a number of countries, stimulated by the child rights movement, children’s and adolescents’

---

**FATHERING**

Since 2003, Save the Children Sweden in South and Central Asia has included working with men and boys in its regional strategy, in the belief that many males are uncomfortable with constructs of masculinity which tolerate violence against women and children. Working to support alternative constructs with men and boys as partners is now being explored in the region. Workshops on working with men and boys have been conducted to enlist them in efforts to reduce violence against girls, boys, women and other men. Input has been sought from the White Ribbon Campaign, the longest-standing organisational effort among men to reject violence against women, which today has a network in 47 countries throughout the world, including South Africa, South Asia, New Zealand, Tonga, Brazil, Germany, and the Nordic countries. Country-based workshops have also been held, and in Bangladesh, a non-governmental organisation (NGO) network on the issue has been formed. Increasing the focus on the socialisation of boys is now seen as the challenge.\textsuperscript{186}
own organisations have developed and become active during the past decade. These organisations have enabled many of their members to gain confidence, articulate their problems, and in solidarity with others, undertake actions designed to reduce acts of violence that have either been threatened or carried out against themselves or other children. Some, such as the Girls’ Advisory Committees in Ethiopia, target specific problems such as child marriage (see box on next page). Although there is evidence that these school-based programmes can reduce the risk of childhood sexual victimisation in the community, it is not clear whether this embraces family-related sexual abuse.\textsuperscript{189} Child participation activities based on schools and community settings need to be supported, as peer groups can have a major role to play in helping identify at-risk children and undertaking proactive initiatives.

**Breaking the silence**

One of the cornerstones of any strategic response must be to break down the silence in which most children endure episodes of physical, psychological or sexual violence at home. Consultations and reviews repeatedly demonstrate that children – however much they fear and dislike the violence they experience – do not feel they have any place to make their feelings known, or they may even consider that such feelings are ‘legitimate’. Many feel shame or blame themselves, while others stay silent for fear of provoking further violence, or insensitive interventions which could make their overall situation worse.

Within the general trend to lay more emphasis on child consultation as an integral component of programme planning and interventions with children, child-friendly methodologies for consultation and action-research have been developed. These, coupled with counselling and communications skills, have also been used to enable children to open up to adults they regard as safe about their intimate and painful experiences. In some small-scale examples, notably in India and Brazil, children who have been trafficked by their families and have few trusting relationships with adults have organised themselves to provide mutual support and avoid further exposure.\textsuperscript{191} Child helplines are gradually becoming more common: as already noted, discussion in confidence with a counsellor by phone allows some children to report what is happening to them and seek help. Helplines or hotlines have been set up by various NGOs in order to help children escape from abusive situations; they are used in the Philippines and Cambodia by children experiencing violence as domestic helpers in the homes of those who are not their parents.\textsuperscript{192} (See the chapter on violence against children in the community.)

**Social policy**

Strong social policy is essential for supporting families and enabling them to thrive despite economic, social and psychological stress. Improvements in these areas address some of the major risk factors for family violence against children and should therefore lead to reductions in the rate of child maltreatment. Relevant policies include support for employment, minimum wages, rural livelihoods, equitable land reform, equitable compensation in case
of forced displacement, women’s income generation, and equitable access to facilities such as water supplies, roads and paths, transport systems, drainage, and sanitation. Other social policies with a proven positive effect on family life include access to social protection systems, such as social security benefits for people who suffer from disabilities or who care for children with disabilities; unemployment benefits; health insurance or free care for the indigent; income or food supplementation for those in extreme need. (Social policy interventions are discussed in greater detail in the chapter on violence against children in the community.)

**Other strategies**

Although the direct impact of these interventions on violence against children has not been well researched, general health initiatives at the community level can reduce levels of violence.

---

**GIRLS’ ADVISORY COMMITTEES: A CHILD-LED ACTIVITY IN RURAL ETHIOPIA**

Primary schools are the one location in rural Ethiopia that bring together girls (and boys) who might be vulnerable to forced early marriage. The creation of Girls’ Advisory Committees (GAC) is an innovation in Ethiopian primary schools aimed at preventing child marriage and other forms of gender discrimination.

The Girls’ Advisory Committee is not a club, but a school committee linked to the Parent–Teacher Association. GACs work to create a more positive environment for children at home and at school, by awareness-raising and other means. They vary in composition, but include male and female students, sometimes a community member, and a female teacher as advisor. The student members act as links between families in the community and the school, reporting on upcoming child marriages, abductions, teasing, harassment, and extended absence of girls from school.

Where an impending marriage of a young girl is reported, the GAC visits the parents to attempt to dissuade them. If they refuse to listen, the GAC asks the parents to come to the school. The teachers then ask the parents to cancel the marriage, explaining that it is illegal. This is normally successful. Mothers are reported as saying they are glad that their daughter has escaped the life they were forced into, but they would not be able to protest the marriage without the backup of the school.

This example of child-led activity illustrates the necessity of an integrated approach, whereby children’s efforts are backed up by authority figures such as schoolteachers, and the law.
For example, environmental health initiatives that remove lead and other environmental toxins from communities can lead to less physical violence against children by reducing the rate of foetal brain damage and subsequent cognitive disorders such as Attention Deficit Disorder (ADD) and hyperactivity, thereby decreasing the number of children with high-risk characteristics. Similarly, limiting access to alcohol, for example through controlling the number of alcohol outlets or raising prices, may help prevent child maltreatment. Similar efforts in developing countries could reduce alcohol-related violence against children, although these measures should be considered carefully, as they might prompt people who drink to switch to cheaper and less regulated home-brewed alternatives.

Although few formal evaluations have been conducted, other promising interventions include providing shelters and crisis centres for battered women and their children, training health care workers to identify and work with adults who have experienced violence in childhood, as well as strengthening the linkages between mental health services, substance abuse treatment and child protection services.

**INTERVENING WHEN VIOLENCE BECOMES KNOWN**

When violence against children is suspected or disclosed, action must be taken to protect the children at risk. The content and legislative foundation of child protection services vary from country to country and often include mechanisms for reporting, referral, investigation and follow-up. Ideally, legal measures should be implemented in tandem with health and social support approaches. Support and assistance without adequate protection can endanger the child’s well-being and development; but a legal focus on investigation and protection with insufficient follow-up and parallel treatment can lead to severe and lasting damage both to the child and to the family.

Research is urgently needed to identify effective support, help and treatment-oriented approaches to child protection and how they might be implemented in both high- and low-resource settings. Although rooted in human rights and a clear framework of legislation, child protection systems operating at community level need to evolve in consultation with communities. While aiming for acceptance and trust, child protection workers must be made fully accountable within the context of the overall system and its accountabilities.

**Detection of violence against children in the family**

The potential for damage to the child increases with increasing frequency and severity of victimisation over time. It is therefore important to identify violence as early as possible and intervene to stop it. Health professionals have an important role in child protection because, except in very remote rural areas, infants and small children are usually taken to the health centre on a routine basis. In countries with social service networks, they may also be seen occasionally or on a regular basis by social workers.
These occasions and contacts provide an opportunity to detect violence against children that parents and caregivers may try to disguise as unintentional injury or illness. Given the pressure on health-clinic staff, they need training and capacity-building, as well as improved facilities. Since detection is not always straightforward, standardised guidelines and tools to assist professionals with assessments are essential. Training health workers to detect and manage violence against children appears particularly promising for pre-verbal infants who cannot describe what has happened, and for all cases where detection depends upon observation rather than a first-person account.

In many developing country settings, community-based mechanisms are being established for monitoring violence in the home and the need for child protection. Most of these are in experimental stages, and structured evaluation is required before clear conclusions can be drawn. For example, in the Philippines, UNICEF has supported the establishment of 6,500 barangay (village) councils for the protection of children. The Councils set up a database and monitoring system on children, including those who are at risk or who are victims of exploitation and violence. In the United Republic of Tanzania, an organisation called Kivulini whose primary target is to reduce physical, emotional and sexual violence in the home, works closely with leaders at the lowest structure of local Government – ward executive officers and street leaders. Street leaders are elected by community members, and have a right of access to people’s homes.

Treatment for victims of violence

Children who have experienced family violence have a wide range of treatment needs. Health workers need to be trained to detect cases of violence against a child, and the procedures to follow in documentation and reporting, as well as treatment and follow-up. In some cases, the collection of forensic specimens may be required; whenever possible, this should be done at the same time as the physical examination. Trained professionals are needed for interpretation of injuries, forensic examinations and forensic interviewing of children. Victims of sexual violence should be given preventive prophylaxis for sexually transmitted infections, including HIV/AIDS, as appropriate. Health workers have a responsibility to prioritise the child’s physical health and to refer the child for psychosocial support services and social welfare or child protection services. Cases of violence detected outside the health sector should be referred to a health worker for proper assessment and care.

All forms of family violence have significant impact on a child’s emotional health and development; psycho-social support is therefore crucial. A supportive, non-offending caregiver is an important facilitator of a child’s recovery. The most effective mental health interventions employ behavioural and cognitive techniques, and work with both the child and the family. Key skills for children include skills to identify, process and regulate emotion; anxiety management skills; skills to identify and alter inaccurate perceptions; and problem-solving skills. Trauma-specific cognitive behavioural
interventions appear to be particularly effective in reducing victims’ anxiety, depression, sexual concerns and symptoms of PTSD.198,199

**Reporting by professionals**

When professionals such as nurses, doctors, social workers and teachers identify a suspected case of family violence against children, they may be required by law to report their suspicions to the authorities, or expected to do so irrespective of legal obligation. To be effective, reporting structures must always be matched with equally well-developed structures for protection, support and treatment for children and families. Countries with mandatory reporting laws should consider systems reforms that allow children and families access to confidential services where they can receive support on a voluntary basis.

Mandatory reporting can establish an adversarial relationship between families and child protection authorities, and may even deter families from seeking formal support. However, the reluctance of professionals and the general public in most parts of the world to report violence in the home suggests that without mandatory reporting laws applying at least to defined groups of professionals, large numbers of children in need of protection will never be identified and given the protection they need. Whatever approach is chosen, it should present itself as a help-oriented service offering public health and social support rather than as being primarily punitive. Some experts urge that children and their representatives should have access both to services which they know have an obligation to report violence and take action (usually social services, law enforcement), and also to services that are confidential and will not take action except with the agreement of the child unless the child is perceived as being at risk of death or serious injury.

**Intervention in the best interests of the child**

Once a child has been identified as being in danger of family violence, a coordinated response is needed to guarantee the protection of the child. Assessment of the child and the child’s family requires input and participation from service providers in different sectors who have had contact with the child and/or family. To minimise the risk that a child will ‘fall through the cracks’ of a system, the various sectors with responsibilities for child protection must share information about individual cases of family violence against children, as already noted. But there must also be clear lines of responsibility for taking action, and accountability for failures in protection.

Some middle-income countries are experimenting with innovative ways of building protective environments for children in local communities. In Serbia, Mobile Outreach Teams for Child Protection were initially developed in four municipalities in 2001 with the cooperation of governmental social work centres and NGO mobile teams. In Montenegro, pilot Operational Multidisciplinary Teams were formed in 2003, with technical assistance from UNICEF, to provide teams of professionals who would identify cases involving violence and neglect and respond in a coordinated way. Various protocols were adopted on collaborative working, on communication with the media, and on interviewing child victims of
The Child Protection Unit (CPU) of the Philippines General Hospital uses a multi-sectoral approach towards comprehensive medical and psychosocial services for maltreated children and their families. The aim is to prevent further maltreatment and to start the process of healing. In 2005, the CPU cared for 972 new cases of maltreated children, 81% of whom had been sexually abused.

From the first point of contact through a long follow-up, the CPU provides quality care using a multisectoral approach which coordinates the actions of the health, legal and social sectors through CPU’s case management system. The CPU provides legal and police services, judicial hearings, medical services, guidance and support to the child and next of kin, as well as therapy or referral to other specialised medical services, when necessary. The CPU also provides other social services to very poor families, including grants for the child’s school-related costs and interest-free loans for livelihood assistance. Parenting classes help parents manage their expectations of their children, help them to better understand their children’s behaviour, and adjust their methods of discipline accordingly.

Each child has a CPU case manager to coordinate all services received by the child and the family, and to facilitate and monitor child safety placement, legal assistance and mental health care. Case managers work with the children and families for as long as is necessary.

Child protection service agencies may investigate and try to substantiate reports of suspected violence. If the reports are verified, then the staff of the child protection services choose the appropriate course of action. Such decisions are often difficult, since a balance has to be found between various potentially competing demands – such as the need to protect the child and the wish to keep a family intact. The least detrimental alternative to the child and the least intrusive alternative for the family should be employed, as long as the child’s safety can be assured. Consideration must be given to the concerns and desires of the child in all decisions about interventions, taking into account the context of the child’s developmental stage, emotional health, and...
the healthy or unhealthy bonds between the child and other family members.

When alternative care is necessary

Removing a child from the family should be a last-resort intervention. Ideally, services should be available to support those parents who are failing to cope with the demands of parenting. Only when the child appears to be at immediate risk of significant harm, the parent is assessed as not responding to other interventions, or appears unable to change within the developmental time frame of the child, should long-term alternatives (i.e. long-term fostering or adoption) be considered.

A child who is separated from the family environment for his or her own best interest is entitled to special protection and assistance; furthermore, States are obliged to ensure some suitable form of alternative care for a child in these circumstances, giving due regard to maintaining continuity in a child’s upbringing and to the child’s ethnic, religious, cultural and linguistic background (CRC article 20).

Alternative care can be provided both formally – through foster-care placement (kafalāh care under Islamic law), and adoption – and informally, such as the placement of a child with the extended family. Three principles should guide decisions about alternative forms of care for children:

- Family-based solutions are generally preferable to institutional placements
- Sustainable solutions aimed at permanency in the best interests of the child, but including regular review, should take precedence over temporary solutions
- National solutions are generally preferable to those involving another country (e.g. international adoption).

All forms of alternative care involve risk for the child, including risk of further violence, exploitation and other violations of the child’s rights. It is therefore important that States register and regulate all forms of alternative care, with continuous monitoring of children’s placement and treatment, and with the full participation of the child. (See also the chapter on violence against children in care and justice systems.)

ADVOCACY AND PUBLIC EDUCATION

Violence against children in the home and family receives little media and research attention worldwide compared to issues such as commercial sexual exploitation of children, or child labour. The media play a central role in shaping opinions and influencing social norms that can also affect behaviour. Violence against children in the home and family should be brought into the public sphere in every region; space must be created to discuss the issues and to find solutions. Without raising awareness, it will be difficult to achieve large-scale and lasting prevention, and behavioural change.

An important development of recent years, and one promoted by the Study process, has been the involvement of children themselves in research, and in advocacy based on such research. Children pointed out in Regional Consultations that they normally had no opportunity to articulate...
their views and feelings about the violence they had experienced at home. In all regions, NGOs have begun to undertake participatory research into violence against children in the family, in which boys and girls are involved not only as respondents but as co-researchers. Besides giving children the opportunity to talk about the punitive behaviour of parents and other caregivers, such exercises challenge the silence surrounding family violence, and contribute to the understanding of the nature and dimensions of child abuse and its effects. These exercises are of primary importance in providing a basis for awareness-raising campaigns and workshops.203,204

At every Regional Consultation, adults and children alike expressed the urgent need for advocacy strategies to change cultural norms in order to end violence against children. Outcome documents from the consultations and thematic working groups emphasised that advocacy should target policy-makers, parents, and children, and that advocacy on the following themes would help prevent family violence against children:

- Children’s rights, including their right to protection from all forms of violence
- Harmful consequences of corporal punishment and other forms of cruel or degrading punishment, and the need for parents to develop positive, non-violent relationships with their children and ways of child-rearing
- Breaking the culture of silence around sexual violence in the family
- Addressing traditional stigma and prejudicial beliefs concerning inability to reduce the vulnerability of disabled children to violence
- Harmful traditional practices
- Gender-based violence
- The role of men and boys in preventing violence
- The effects of HIV on the stigmatisation of children and their increased vulnerability to violence.

Children and adolescents have a very important part to play in advocacy on issues that concern them. (See the chapter on violence against children in the community.)

Eliminating harmful traditional practices

Efforts to eliminate harmful traditional practices have illustrated the importance of intervening at multiple levels – parents and families will find it hard to change their behaviour if the norms and behaviour in the wider community do not change. Bringing an end to FGM requires clear prohibition, education and awareness-raising within families and communities, and community mobilisation (see box). Triggering changes in community knowledge, beliefs, attitudes and practices is the key to success.205 This requires an advocacy strategy in which religious and community leaders, health professionals and a variety of actors participate; persuading individual parents or mothers is not sufficient. Where social standing and eligibility for marriage are dependent on girls having undergone FGM, mothers are unlikely on an individual basis to refuse the operation for their daughters, however terrible the experience was in their own case. The decision needs to be made by a community as a collective, and that community needs to know

“I think child abuse happens a lot more than we think it does. Kids get beaten at home and are afraid to tell anyone. It’s hard to stop something that happens behind closed doors.”

Child, 12, North America

XIII
TOSTAN’S APPROACH

In November 2005, representatives of 70 villages in Senegal’s northeastern region of Matam participated in a public declaration that they were ending the practice of FGM and forced child marriage. Matam is a very conservative region, where a few years ago these subjects could not even have been discussed. Thousands of villagers from up to 300 km away witnessed the ceremony. Dignitaries, officials and the media were also in attendance. Rural women, adolescents, chiefs, religious leaders and Government officials pledged their commitment. This declaration, the 19th of its kind in Senegal, is the final stage in a programme of public education and advocacy at village level.

This programme was undertaken by the Tostan Community Empowerment Programme, in a drive for the collective abandonment of FGM and early marriage throughout the country. Since 1997, 1,628 communities have committed themselves to abandoning these practices. The Tostan strategy consists of the formation of village committees, setting up classes on women’s health and rights at village level, and social mobilisation by class members. At the end of the programme, a public declaration is made at a major ceremony. This is seen as a vital part of the process, since it marks collective social endorsement of change.

An evaluation by the Population Council in 2004 found that Tostan’s programme significantly affected the knowledge and beliefs of the people who participated in the classes, and of those in their circles. For example, the proportion of girls aged 10 and under who had not been cut increased from 46% to 60% among intervention participant families, but remained unchanged at 48% in the comparison group. Approval of FGM and intent to cut girls decreased significantly, and the intention to cut among participating women fell from nearly 75% at baseline to less than 25%. Another encouraging finding was that knowledge about human rights increased significantly among study participants. The proportion of women who were aware of their rights to health, education, and a healthy environment increased from 11% to 94%, while knowledge among men increased from 41% to 92%.^208
that other communities are similarly abandoning the custom (see box).

Child marriage requires similar efforts to change social and cultural norms. Up to now the number of advocacy initiatives to influence and reduce child marriages directly have been very few. The tendency has been to regard the custom as susceptible to the wider enrolment of girls in education, and to the legislative enforcement of marriage laws. However, more concerted attention to women’s and girls’ rights in marriage has led in new directions. Some programmes specifically directed at child marriage reduction are now underway, and targeted advocacy against the practice is beginning.\textsuperscript{206} For example, a campaign against child marriage has recently been launched by civil society organisations in Yemen, on the basis of research undertaken by the University of Sana’a.\textsuperscript{207}

Many other practices which cause violence and harm to children need the benefit of exposure and campaigning as part of the efforts to prevent them. These include the sale of children into sexual or other work; the stigmatisation of disabled children, children without families, or children orphaned by HIV/AIDS; child victims of sexual abuse; children accused of sorcery; children who have been dedicated by their parents to priests and shrines.

\begin{center}
\textbf{IMPROVING INFORMATION FOR POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND ACTION}
\end{center}

Accurate and reliable data on the magnitude and consequences of family violence against children are essential to evidence-based advocacy, policy development, resource allocation and programme implementation. The lack of data has been a constant refrain throughout the Study, and nowhere has this been more acute than in the home and family context, particularly because of the already described inhibitions to reporting, but also because of the lack of investment in scientific research on the topic. On the one hand, no effective systems of response can be developed without proper data; on the other, the development of programmatic responses to children suffering violence cannot await the development of systematic data-gathering systems where country or State capacities in this context are limited or under-resourced.

Ongoing data collection and analysis of officially reported cases can be useful for identifying trends in service utilisation and, in some instances, prevalence. However, as these systems rely only on cases brought to the attention of the authorities, and given that children most often suffer family violence without telling anyone, surveillance systems based on official records will always underestimate the extent of the problem. Surveillance of officially reported cases must be supplemented by population-based surveys that document exposure to childhood violence and its lifelong consequences. Similarly, true understanding of fatal violence against children can only be gained through comprehensive death registration, investigation and reporting systems (see box).

Small qualitative studies and studies using convenience sampling – of families referred to social services, for example – are important for documenting the problem of violence against children and how to manage it. However, to begin to understand fully the patterns of family
violence against children, studies that survey a large subset of the general population and which are repeated over time are necessary.

Confidential interview studies with children, parents and other close carers can also contribute to understanding all forms of violence in the home and family. There must, of course, be ethical safeguards to ensure the necessary protection of the children involved. Retrospective studies, interviewing young adults about their childhood experiences, are also valuable, but disclose nothing about what is happening to children now, and may distort understanding of experiences in early childhood when some forms of violence are most common.

One of the important purposes of data collection, especially in countries and regions where home and family violence is denied or not publicly debated, is advocacy. Policy-makers need to be persuaded that violence against children is more prevalent than they believe or care to admit, and that responses are urgently needed. Efforts by NGOs and international support agencies to collect information and publish analyses of children facing violence are often the first step towards enabling a culturally or politically sensitive issue to emerge, become locally owned up to, and taken up.

### CHILD FATALITY REVIEW TEAMS (CFRT)

Most children who die from violence are young. About 40% are infants and 80% are under six. The most common cause of death is head trauma, followed by blunt force trauma to the body. It is sometimes difficult to detect how a child has died when he or she is reported as having had ‘a fall’.

The first Child Fatality Review Team (CFRT) was formed in Los Angeles in 1978, sponsored by the Los Angeles County Interagency Council on Child Abuse and Neglect (ICAN). Members included the coroner, police, social services, courts, health and public health workers. ICAN later became the National Center for Fatality Review (NCFR) and other teams followed, some adding teachers, mental health workers and occasionally community members. The team meets to discuss cases of young child death where medical evidence is inconclusive; thus different types of evidence come together, and a mystery can conceivably be solved.

By 2001, an estimated 1,000 teams existed in Australia, Canada, New Zealand and the USA. The Philippines recently added a hospital-based model that may better fit developing countries. An international working network has begun connecting ICAN with contacts and start-up programmes in China, Estonia, Iceland, the Islamic Republic of Iran, Japan, Jordan, Lebanon, the Netherlands and the UK.\(^{209}\)
IMPROVING THE KNOWLEDGE BASE IN INDIA - THE NATIONAL STUDY ON CHILD ABUSE

India has taken a proactive approach to the issue of child protection. Initiated by the Department of Women and Child Development in 2005, the National Study on Child Abuse involved an enormous network around the country. One of the first major activities undertaken was a National Level Consultation on Child Abuse, held in New Delhi in April 2005, to discuss various issues related to project formulation, including defining the concept of child abuse and methodology for the project, developing instruments for data collection and identifying the various categories of respondents. This Consultation involved experts from all over India and from various disciplines to exchange views on the common theme of child abuse. The experts included academics, social workers, activists, NGO representatives, teachers, researchers, police, judiciary, representatives from funding agencies like UNICEF, Save the Children, USAID, US Agency, Plan International, Catholic Relief Services, SARI Equity, etc.

The sample size of 17,500 included children (n=12500), young adults (n=2500), and other stakeholders (n= 2500). The child respondents included children living on the streets, working children, children in schools, children in institutional care, and children in family groups not attending school. Part of the methodology involved focus group discussions with children in the context of children’s workshops, through which all indicators of various forms of abuse were elicited, and confidentiality and ethical considerations taken into account.

From its inception, the project emerged as an advocacy and awareness opportunity, which was extremely useful from the standpoint of a country where child abuse was known to exist, and yet so little about the issue was discussed publicly. The participation of so many experts had a multiplier effect, in that it increased awareness and more open discussion about the previously neglected issue of child abuse ensued. Analysis of results are expected at the end of 2006.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are guided by the human rights obligations of Governments under the CRC and other instruments, and are also based on evidence from research and existing practice. They recognise that, while the primary role in children’s upbringing is accorded to the family, Governments have obligations to ensure that in all places – including in the home – children are protected from actions constituting violence against them, and that there is an effective response when violence occurs. In addition, Governments are required to provide appropriate support and assistance to parents.
Prioritise prevention

1. **Ensure that comprehensive systems to prevent violence and protect children are implemented at scale**, in ways that respect the whole child and their family, their dignity and privacy, and the developmental needs of girls and boys. Governments should ensure that response systems should be coordinated, aimed at prevention and early intervention, linked to integrated services that extend across sectors – legal, education, justice, social, health, employment and other necessary services. Respect for the views of the child in all matters and decisions which affect them should be assured. Governments have the obligation to develop evidence-based standards to facilitate effective and sensitive service delivery for children in all parts of the country.

Societal level

2. **Assess the impact of public policies on children and their families.** Governments should conduct social impact assessments which give particular attention to the potential impact of public policies on violence against children – especially discrimination, social and economic stress, and other risk factors relevant to family violence against children. The results should be used to prioritise economic and social safety nets which directly benefit families.

3. **Increase economic and social safety nets for families.** These should include family support centres which can provide assistance, including that provided in emergency situations; and they should help to develop supportive networks through providing quality child care facilities and pre-school enrichment programmes; and through respite programmes for families facing especially difficult circumstances; and also by giving attention to underlying factors such as education, housing, employment, and social policies and opportunities.

4. **Implement evidence-based advocacy programmes on violence prevention.** At the society and community level, Governments should support strategies that aim to raise awareness of child rights, and promote change in social and cultural norms, gender equity/equality, and non-discrimination. Such programmes should target Government sector workers, including police and justice system staff, educators, health workers, and the private sector, as well as parents and the general public. Governments have the obligation to initiate and support awareness campaigns that promote non-violent relationships and communication with children, as well as the positive involvement of men and boys in family life.

Legal measures

5. **Develop an explicit framework of law and policy in which all forms of violence against children within the family are prohibited and rejected.** Governments have the obligation to prohibit and eliminate all forms of violence against children in the home as well as in other settings. This includes all harmful tradi-
tional practices, sexual violence, and all corporal punishment, in accordance with the CRC and other human rights instruments (see the Committee’s General Comment on corporal punishment, No. 8, June 2006). Clear guidance and training should ensure that the law is implemented sensitively, in line with the best interests of the child. Legal reform should be linked with advocacy and awareness-raising activities to promote positive, non-violent relationships with children.

6. **Ensure that family courts and other parts of the justice system are sensitive to the needs of children and their families.** Governments should ensure that child victims of family violence are not re-victimised during the justice process, nor subjected to extended or drawn-out legal processes. Child victims should be treated in a caring and sensitive manner throughout the justice process, taking into account their personal situation and immediate needs, age, gender, disability and level of maturity, and fully respecting their physical, mental and moral integrity.

In particular, Governments should ensure that investigations, law enforcement, prosecution and judicial processes take into account the special needs of the child, bearing in mind the Guidelines on Justice for Child Victims and Witnesses of Crime (ECOSOC Resolution 2005/20). In this regard, the child should be accompanied by a trusted adult throughout his or her involvement in the justice process, if it is in his or her best interests; the child’s identity and privacy should be protected; confidentiality should be respected; and the child should not be subjected to excessive interviews, statements, hearings and unnecessary contact with the judicial process.

Consideration should be given to the use of pre-recorded video and other testimonial aids, such as the use of screens or closed circuit televisions, as well as to eliminating unnecessary contacts with the alleged perpetrator, or their defence. In particular, if compatible with the legal system and with due respect for the rights of the defence, professionals should ensure that the child victim of violence is protected from being unnecessarily cross-examined, that the general public and the media are excluded from the courtroom during the child’s testimony, and that guardians *ad litem* are available to protect the child’s legal interests. Speedy trials should also be ensured, unless delays are in the child’s best interests.

**Strengthen coordinated responses**

7. **Provide pre-natal and post-natal care, and home visitation programmes for optimising early childhood development.** These measures should be aimed at building on the strengths of the family and the community to promote healthy child development, and the early detection and support of families with problems. Governments should ensure that such programmes include information on the importance of attachment and the physical, emotional, and cognitive development
of infants and young children as well as attention to cultural factors.

8. **Implement culturally-appropriate and gender-sensitive parenting programmes and programmes that support families to provide a violence-free home.** Governments should ensure that important components are included in these programmes such as: the importance of attachment bonds between parents and their children, and increasing understanding of the physical, psychological, sexual, and cognitive development of infants, children and young people in the context of social and cultural factors; expanding child-rearing and parenting skills for fathers and mothers, including promoting non-violent relationships and non-violent forms of discipline, problem-solving skills, and the management of family conflicts; addressing gender stereotypes, and emphasising the involvement of men and boys in family life. Governments must develop such programmes in compliance with human rights norms, and also with reference to scientific evidence regarding the effectiveness thereof.

9. **Protect especially vulnerable children in the family, and address gender issues.** Governments should ensure a focus in all research, prevention, and response initiatives dealing with the family, on the situation and risks of children who are especially vulnerable to violence; for example, children with disabilities, refugee and other displaced children, children from minority groups, children without parental care, and children affected by HIV/AIDS. Special efforts are required to understand and respond to the differing risks which may be faced by girls and boys, and to pay attention to the concept of masculinity and gender stereotypes on violence experienced by girls and boys.

**Build capacity**

10. **Build capacity among those who work with children and their families.** Governments should ensure that professionals and non-professionals who work with and around children and their families receive adequate training and ongoing capacity building which includes basic information on children’s rights and the law, violence against children, its prevention, early detection and response, non-violent conflict management, and children’s rights. In addition, workers must have a clear understanding of the physical, sexual, emotional and cognitive development of children and young people, and the links between gender and violence. Specific skills in communicating with and involving children in the decisions affecting them should also be promoted.

**Build information systems**

11. **Implement civil registration universally, including the registration of births, deaths, and marriages.** Governments should ensure free and accessible civil registration with free certification, and should remove penalties for late registration. The process must be advocated widely, and facilitated and implemented in coopera-
tion with local government, hospitals, professional and traditional birth attendants, police, religious and community leaders, and other partners in order to ensure universal uptake.

12. **Develop a national research agenda on family violence against children.** Governments should put in place a set of national priorities for research that can supplement information systems with in-depth qualitative and quantitative research. Guided by international indicators and standards, Governments and their partners should strengthen information systems through improved surveillance of reported cases of family violence against children, and also through population-based research which includes estimates of the prevalence of childhood victimisation. Risk and protective factors related to violence can also be assessed by retrospective studies of childhood, and by interviewing young adults.

Data should be disaggregated to make visible the scale and scope of the experiences of girls and boys of different ages that are related to violence and overcoming it, their situations, and their risk and protective factors. Such efforts should include confidential interviews with the children themselves, with special attention given to vulnerable groups and their families, as well as to parents and other caregivers and adults, and appropriate ethical safeguards should be put in place. The information gathered should be shared widely to inform public policy and related action.
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INTRODUCTION

Children spend more time in the care of adults in preschools, schools, vocational training centres and other places of learning than they do anywhere else outside of their homes. Like parents, the adults who oversee, manage and staff these places have a duty to provide safe and nurturing environments that support and promote children’s education and development. They also have a duty to make sure such development prepares children for life as responsible adults, guided by values of non-violence, gender equality, non-discrimination, tolerance and mutual respect. These are the values that Governments embrace when they ratify the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) and other international human rights conventions, along with obligations to protect children from all forms of violence, in schools and elsewhere, including providing a clear framework of law prohibiting and deterring all forms of violence, and taking all other necessary measures to prevent violence.

Violence in schools can be prevented and must not be tolerated. The reality for many millions of children is that schools (used hereafter as the generic term for all education settings for children) expose them to violence and, in so doing, children are denied of their rights, including to education. In all of the Regional Consultations conducted for the Study, and in many of the reports received, children attested to the impact of violence on their ability to get to and from school, to learn effectively while in school, and to remain in school long enough to reap the benefits of education.1,2,3

The public image of violence in schools has been coloured by the media’s focus on extreme events, such as school shootings; the targeting of schools for attacks and mass kidnappings. However, such events are very rare. More common are forms of violence that go unreported and may be so tolerated and perhaps so actively condoned by the public and by official policy and law that they are not deemed worthy of study, discussion or debate.

Where the social and physical environment of the community is hostile, the school environment is unlikely to be spared. The levels and patterns of violence in schools often reflect the levels and patterns of violence in countries, communities and families. These, in turn, reflect prevailing political and socio-economic conditions, social attitudes, cultural traditions and values, and laws and law enforcement. Where it is legal, considered acceptable and perhaps even commendable for men to control women, and the wealthy or privileged to control the poor and disadvantaged, and parents to control children through violence and the threat of violence, then it is likely to be legal, considered acceptable and perhaps even commendable for both adults and children to use similar methods in schools.4,5,6 By being victims, perpetrators and witnesses of violence, children learn that violence is an acceptable way for the strong and aggressive to get what they want from the comparatively weak, passive or peaceful.
Schools are uniquely placed to break the patterns of violence by giving children, their parents and communities the knowledge and skills to communicate, negotiate and resolve conflicts in more constructive ways. However, patterns of violence are often entrenched in school culture, sometimes as a matter of policy supported and promoted by certain theories about childhood development and learning. Whether perpetrated by adults or children, almost all violence in schools reflects a ‘hidden curriculum’ that promotes gender inequality and stereotyping. For example, boys taunt each other about their lack of masculinity and harass girls with verbal and physical gestures that are sexual in nature. Corporal punishment of boys is more frequent and harsh than corporal punishment of girls. Sexual aggression by male teachers and boys is often dismissed as ‘just boys being boys’, while girls are blamed for ‘asking for it’. The implicit messages are that males should be tough, generally and sexually assertive and ready for life in a rough-and-tumble world but females should be passive, sheltered, and unassertive, particularly sexually. These stereotypes often make schools unsafe and uncomfortable for girls and are prominent among the reasons why, in some countries girls, particularly during adolescence, are less likely to attend school than adolescent boys.  

Ensuring access to quality education for all children is integral to the Millennium Development Goals, and the related efforts detailed in the Dakar Framework for Action. To do this, schools must provide a safe and welcoming environment for girls and boys, which is free of the threat of violence (see box).

### Education for All and the Millennium Development Goals

In April 2000, the World Education Forum in Dakar, Senegal, adopted the Dakar Framework for Action to achieve six Education for All (EFA) goals. In September 2000, the Millennium Declaration established two of the EFA goals as being two of the eight Millennium Development Goals. Goal 2 states that, by 2015, all children should have access to free and compulsory primary education of good quality. Goal 3 is to, by 2005, achieve gender equality in primary and secondary education and, by 2015, achieve gender equality in all levels of education. These two goals constitute a specific timetable for achieving “the right of the child to education … progressively and on the basis of equal opportunity” required by the CRC.
Reflecting article 13 of the International Covenant on Economic and Social Rights which enshrines the right of everyone to education, article 28 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) recognises “the right of the child to education … with a view to achieving this right progressively and on the basis of equal opportunity.” The first paragraph of article 28 lists States parties’ obligations, which include making primary education compulsory and free for all, encouraging the development of different forms of secondary education that will be free or financially assisted in case of need, and making higher education accessible to all on the basis of their capacity. Article 28 (2) states that: “States parties shall take all appropriate measures to ensure that school discipline is administered in a manner consistent with the child’s human dignity and in conformity with the present Convention.” Conformity with the CRC requires, for example, protecting the child against “all forms of physical or mental violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, maltreatment or exploitation, including sexual abuse” (article 19) and from “cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment” (article 37).

Article 29 of the CRC addresses the aims of the child’s education. Paragraph 29 (1.b) calls for “development of respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms” and paragraph 29 (1.d) calls for “preparation of the child for responsible life in a free society, in the spirit of understanding, peace, tolerance, equality of sexes, and friendship among all peoples, ethnic, national and religious groups and persons of indigenous origin.” In 2001, the Committee on the Rights of the Child issued General Comment No. 1 on the aims of education (CRC/GC/2001/1), emphasising that the education process itself should be based on and promote the rights guaranteed by the Convention. This means that States parties should take measures to ensure that all schools respect, for example, the child’s rights to non-discrimination (article 2), to freedom of expression (article 13), and to protection from all forms of sexual abuse and exploitation (article 34). States must also ensure that children are fully protected from exposure to bullying and other forms of violence by other students. The Committee has noted that failure to protect students from such forms of violence could deny children their right to education (articles 28 and 29).
“I have seen the harsh behaviour of teachers in schools and colleges. Every day there are severe punishments by teachers, so we remain very afraid in class. The teacher often makes a student stand up in class, scolds him with ugly words and teases him for being naughty or for not learning the lessons. It is very shameful as well as painful.”

Boy, 17, South and Central Asia, 2005

HUMAN RIGHTS INSTRUMENTS

General Comment No. 1 also states that: “…Children do not lose their human rights by virtue of passing through the school gates. Thus, for example, education must be provided in a way that respects the inherent dignity of the child, enables the child to express his or her views freely in accordance with article 12(1) and to participate in school life. Education must also be provided in a way that respects the strict limits on discipline reflected in article 28(2) and promotes non-violence in school. The Committee has repeatedly made clear in its concluding observations that the use of corporal punishment does not respect the inherent dignity of the child nor the strict limits on school discipline…”17

The Committee has reflected this interpretation in its concluding observations on States parties’ reports under the CRC, recommending that they should prohibit all corporal punishment. In June 2006 the Committee adopted its General Comment No. 8 on the right of the child to protection from corporal punishment and other cruel or degrading forms of punishment (articles 19, 28(2) and 37, inter alia; CRC/C/GC/8). The Committee states that the purpose of the General Comment is “to highlight the obligation of all States parties to move quickly to prohibit and eliminate all corporal punishment and all other cruel or degrading forms of punishment of children and to outline the legislative and other awareness-raising and educational measures that States must take.”

The Committee comments: “Addressing the widespread acceptance or tolerance of corporal punishment of children and eliminating it, in the family, schools and other settings, is not only an obligation of States parties under the CRC. It is also a key strategy for reducing and preventing all forms of violence in societies.”18
BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

Historically, many cultures have had hierarchical social structures where those higher up have controlled those lower down through violence and threat of violence. These structures and practices have extended to families and the relations between men and women and between parents and their children. They have also extended to schools and the relations between school staff and children.

In both families and schools, corporal punishment and other forms of cruel or degrading punishment have been widely favoured methods of ‘discipline’ perceived as ‘taming’ the unruly child, training the presumptuous child to take his or her ‘proper place’ in the social order, and hardening the unseasoned child to the difficult, brutal and abrasive world. In Europe and North America, the idea of universal education, paid for by the State or subsidised by the State, to equip children for the working world, for their civic and family responsibilities and for their personal fulfilment did not emerge until the mid-19th century, when the industrial revolution was well advanced. From then until well into the 20th century, beating, humiliation and isolation were routinely used as methods of teaching and discipline.

Since the 1990s, the global economy and the economies of many nations have been experiencing unprecedented rates of expansion. The industrialised nations have moved into an era where knowledge-based industries are predominant and where economies benefit from all the workers they can get, if those workers are well-educated and flexible, ready to absorb new knowledge, adjust to new technology, move from job to job and place to place, and work with people of many different backgrounds. It is now widely recognised that everyone loses when people are excluded on the basis of gender, race, religious belief and other factors unrelated to their capability and potential. It is also widely recognised that the free-enquiry-and-personal-growth model is one that best serves everyone’s interests and that it requires safe and nurturing school environments.
There are still countries where many leaders, educators and parents believe that education which teaches children to question and think for themselves brings children into conflict with the established customs upon which the family and community have been based for generations.\textsuperscript{19}

Change in disciplinary practices in schools has been especially slow in some countries where resources for education are severely stretched at the same time as education systems are being asked to absorb ever-increasing numbers of school-goers. Laws are gradually improving\textsuperscript{20} but even where laws ban corporal punishment they are not always effectively enforced and often not initially supported by prevailing social attitudes. Prohibition of corporal punishment needs to be accompanied by effective initial and in-service training in behaviour management and school organisation which respect children’s rights.

**NATURE AND EXTENT OF THE PROBLEM**

While the status of every country’s progress toward abolition of corporal punishment in homes, schools and penal systems has been mapped, research and analysis pertaining to other forms of violence in schools is not nearly so far advanced.\textsuperscript{21} Most studies have looked at one or, at most, two forms of school violence and not at the multiple dimensions of that violence and the links between school violence and phenomena outside of schools, such as violence in families and society as a whole.

---

*The teacher slapped the students that skipped his lesson because they wanted to go to the library to do some research. The noise was really loud in the corridor. I witnessed the scene and was scared. I still cannot forget the sound of the slaps on their face.*

Student, 16, Indian Ocean subregion, 2006

**FORMS OF VIOLENCE IN SCHOOLS**

The forms of violence found in schools are both physical and psychological, and usually occur together. Forms perpetrated by teachers and other school staff, with or without the overt or tacit approval of education ministries and other authorities that oversee schools include corporal punishment and other cruel and humiliating forms of punishment or treatment, sexual and gender-based violence, and bullying.

Forms of violence perpetrated by children include bullying, sexual and gender-based violence, schoolyard fighting, gang violence, and assault with weapons. Technology provides a new medium for bullying using the Internet and mobile phones, and has given rise to new terms such as ‘cyber-bully’ and ‘cyber-bullying’.

**Physical and psychological punishment**

The Committee on the Rights of the Child defines ‘corporal’ or ‘physical’ punishment as any punishment in which physical force is used and intended to cause some degree of pain or discomfort, however light. Most involves hitting (‘smacking’, ‘slapping’, ‘spanking’) children, with the hand or with an implement. But it can also involve, for example, kicking, shaking or throwing children, scratching, pinching, biting, pulling hair or boxing ears, forcing children to stay in uncomfortable positions, burning, scalding or forced ingestion (for example, washing children’s mouths out with soap or forcing them to swallow hot spices).\textsuperscript{22}
In the view of the Committee, corporal punishment is invariably degrading. In addition to the physical aspects defined above, there are many other non-physical forms of punishment which are also cruel and degrading and thus incompatible with the CRC. These include, for example, punishment which belittles, humiliates, denigrates, scapegoats, threatens, scares or ridicules the child. Corporate punishment, and other forms of cruel or degrading punishment used by school heads and teachers, were frequently brought to the attention of the Study by children during all nine Regional Consultations. The Children’s Consultation in Slovenia headed its list of messages to be taken forward to the Europe and Central Asia Regional Consultation with: “That there should be a prohibition of every kind of violence that happens in schools in every country and … schools should be happy places in which children are eager to learn.” Similar messages came from children all over the world.

The key set of studies on corporal punishment and a series of regional reports developed for the Study demonstrate a clear trend away from corporal punishment in schools in all regions, most notably in Europe. Of the 223 States and dependent territories tracked by the Global Initiative to End All Corporal Punishment of Children, 106 now have laws banning corporal punishment in all schools and another seven have laws banning it in some parts of the country, for example where provinces of a federal State have their own laws, or in some schools, such as only those funded by the State. However, laws banning corporal punishment are often not effectively enforced, even in countries such as China where they have been in place for many years. In Cameroon, a 1998 law bans corporal punishment in schools but a study covering four of Cameroon’s provinces, published two years after the ban, found teachers made no secret of using it for “cheekiness, disobedience and academic mistakes” and 97% of students reported that they had been physically punished. The consequences of non-enforcement can be serious.

Other forms of cruel or degrading punishment, not involving physical violence, have been much less studied. The Children’s Consultations informing the Study revealed that other forms of humiliation are very much on the minds of children and lodged in the minds of many adults with painful memories of how they or their classmates were humiliated by the words and actions of school heads and teachers.

Cases have been reported of the use of corporal punishment for reasons entirely beyond a child’s control, such as failure of parents to pay school fees, and also for academic failure or to correct misbehaviour. In many situations, it is not easy to disentangle these two motivations. Studies in Egypt, Lesotho and Togo, and from Indian Ocean Island nations show that corporal punishment is widely used to punish unsatisfactory academic performance, as elsewhere. Studies of seven Middle Eastern and North African countries reported that one-third of students said they had been caned because their class or school had not done well on examinations. Collective punishment for unsatisfactory performance of a whole class or whole school was also commonly reported.
Links to discrimination and gender-based violence

There is evidence to suggest that corporal punishment in schools is sometimes administered with greater severity or frequency to children from groups that are subject to stigma and discrimination in the whole of society. In India, the 1998 Public Report on Basic Education (PROBE) found that higher caste teachers were inclined to humiliate children from Dalit (‘untouchables’, or the lowest of the four castes) and other lower castes by labelling them as dull and incapable of being educated. In the 23 states of the USA where corporal punishment in schools is still lawful, African-American children are more often victims than others. Refugee children in Angola, Zambia and South Africa also feel singled out for corporal punishment.

In general, boys experience more frequent and more severe corporal punishment than girls but girls are far from immune. Surveys have found that in Egypt, 80% of schoolboys and 67% of schoolgirls had experienced corporal punishment in schools; in Barbados, 95% of interviewed boys and 92% of interviewed girls said they had experienced caning or flogging in school. A survey covering 3,577 students in six provinces of China found that 17.5% had experienced one or more forms of corporal punishment by teachers before they were 16 years old; 15% had been hit, kicked or otherwise punished without the use of an object; 7% had been beaten with an object; 0.4% had been locked up in a small place, or tied up with ropes or chains; 0.1% had been choked, burned or stabbed. The percentage of male students (26.9%) who had experienced one or more of those forms was more than 2.5 times the percentage of female students (10.1%) who had done so.

School heads and teachers may apply corporal punishment and other forms of cruel or degrading punishment in different ways, according to the sex of the child, and by so doing convey messages about what is expected of children and adults of each sex. In Botswana, for example, no male teacher but the head teacher can administer corporal punishment to a girl; and in primary schools, boys can be beaten on the buttocks but girls only on the backs of their calves and palms of their hands. Boys and girls may also be punished for different misdemeanours so that, for example, a boy might be punished for failure to perform an athletic feat in a physical education class whereas a girl might be punished for rowdy and ‘unladylike behaviour’ that might be forgiven in a boy. The fact that there are explicit or implicit gender policies applying to corporal punishment suggests that any strategies to eliminate corporal punishment should address gender differences, too.

Sexual and gender-based violence

Gender-based violence stems from gender inequality, stereotypes and socially imposed roles. Sexual violence, including sexual harassment towards girls may be motivated by the desire to punish or humiliate girls because of their sex or sexuality, or by sexual interest and bravado. It also serves to intimidate, humiliate and diminish girls. This is demonstrated by
the widespread practice of blaming girls who are victims of rape, and that where gender discrimination is an unquestioned norm, blaming girls may extend to almost any kind of sexual harassment, assault or exploitation.

Studies suggest that sexual harassment of schoolgirls is common throughout the world, to varying degrees by teachers themselves as well as by students, and that it may be particularly common and extreme in places where other forms of school violence are also prevalent. Teachers often see the sexual harassment among students – most often girls – as a normal part of school life, and therefore ignore it. Under these circumstances it is difficult for students to report it. In the Middle East, sexual harassment of girls is not commonly reported, perhaps because girls are commonly separated from boys in schools, or also perhaps because girls are reluctant to speak out.

Until recently, there was almost no public discussion of sexual abuse of schoolgirls in Japan and victims rarely came forward. Their shame was profound and they knew that if they talked about what had happened, their reputations would have been tainted for the rest of their lives. Similar attitudes are still common in many countries. A study in Ethiopia, for example, found that students attributed the sexual harassment of girls to the way the girls dressed and not to boys’ attitudes toward girls. In West and Central Africa, teachers justified sexual exploitation of female students by saying that their clothes and behaviour were provocative, and that the teachers were far from home and in sexual need.

In Europe and North America, revelation of the widespread sexual abuse of boys by male teachers (often clerics) in church-run schools has only occurred since the 1990s in the presence of better protections against, and systems of, reporting abuse – often decades after the abuse took place. Previously, children who were sexually assaulted or exploited by teachers were too ashamed to tell anyone what had happened, knowing that their stories would not be believed or that, if believed, they would be blamed for attracting the sexual attention of other males. A recent study found that nearly 4,400 priests (4% of all priests ministering during that period) had been accused of sexually abusing nearly 10,700 children, in acts that took place between 1950 and 2002, and that the vast majority of the children were boys.

Harmful cultural stereotypes that demean children because of their sex or their known or suspected sexuality create environments in which children can be abused with impunity, including by adults in positions of trust and authority such as clerics in religious schools. In 2004, Pakistan’s Minister of State for Religious Affairs stunned the nation by reporting that, so far that year, 500 complaints of sexual abuse by clerics in religious schools had been registered and that, in the previous year, 2,000 complaints had been registered, although there had been no successful prosecutions so far.
**HIV and sexual violence**

In sub-Saharan African countries, the average rate of HIV prevalence among girls and young women 15 to 24 years old is now three times higher than the average rate among boys and young men of that age. Sexual violence is increasingly recognised, although still under-studied, as an important factor in these increases.

An analysis of data from the Global School-Based Student Health Survey (GSHS) found that in Namibia, 19% of both boys and girls answered ‘yes’ when asked if they had “ever been physically forced to have sex.” In Swaziland, 9% of boys and 10% of girls said ‘yes’; in Uganda, 13% of boys and 25% of girls; in Zambia, 30% of boys and 31% of girls; in Zimbabwe, 11% of boys and 14% of girls. In 1999, research based on a sample of 10,000 schoolgirls in Kenya found that one-third were sexually active and that, of those, 40% said their first encounter was forced, usually by a male student.

More recent research in Burkina Faso, Ghana, Malawi, and Uganda found, however, that forced sex and vulnerability to HIV infection were more prevalent among married adolescents than among unmarried adolescents. In many sub-Saharan African countries, the majority of adolescent girls are not in school and between one-quarter and one-half of them are married, often to much older men. In Ethiopia, girls often see attendance at school as a way to avoid early and unwanted marriage.

Forced sex is a risk factor for HIV/AIDS. This is a growing concern in the context of schools in Eastern and Southern Africa (as in other regions), the Regional Consultation held for the Study identified sexual harassment and abuse by students and teachers, usually male, against female students as major problems. Participants of the Consultation attested to cases of teachers promising higher grades in exchange for sex with girls, and also that girls who become pregnant as a result of sexual abuse by teachers or students are often expelled from school. In some countries, marriage of a pregnant girl to her abuser may absolve him of legal responsibility, increasing the risks of forced marriage.

A study conducted by UNICEF found that such sexual abuse was common in all countries of West and Central Africa, and that Ministries of Education were aware of it and considered it to be one of the main reasons why girls drop out of school. A Human Rights Watch study found that sexual harassment and abuse of girls by teachers and students in South African schools was widespread and that girls were raped in school toilets, empty classrooms, dormitories and hostels. In a recent survey in Ghana, 6% of schoolgirls said teachers had blackmailed them, threatening to give them lower grades if they refused to have sexual relations. Two-thirds of them had not reported the incidents due to feelings of shame, advice that they should be tolerant, and their belief that no action would be taken against the culprits. A small percentage of boys had experienced sexual harassment, too. Of the boys, 24% admitted they had participated in rape, including gang rape. Of the girls, 14% said they had been raped by boys close to them.
Elsewhere, more general studies into sexual abuse have found that teachers are among those who sexually coerce or abuse children and young people. In one such study, 6% of more than 2,000 college students in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China said they had been abused before they turned 17. Eleven was the average age at which the abuse had occurred and teachers were the abusers in 7% of all cases, although family members or family friends were more commonly identified as abusers. A UNICEF study covering Nepal found that 9% of children had experienced severe sexual abuse (kissing of sensitive parts, oral sex and penetration), and that 18% of the perpetrators were teachers. In a submission to this Study, the International Rescue Committee reported that sexual abuse against girls is a significant problem in refugee schools where the teachers are male. The Regional Consultation in Latin America reported that girls in the Dominican Republic, Honduras, Guatemala, Mexico and Panama Nicaragua experience sexual coercion from teachers, sometimes with threats that their grades will suffer if they do not cooperate.

On the positive side, the Regional Consultation in Eastern and Southern Africa found that countries are responding with changes in law, policy and practice. In South Africa and Zambia, for example, ‘defilement’ of under-age girls is treated as a serious offence by courts of law, and may result in sentences of up to life imprisonment, while pregnant girls are given a leave of absence from school.

**Bullying**

Since the 1970s, there has been growing recognition of the threat posed by bullying in schools to children’s well-being, and a increasing body of literature examining its causes, prevalence and impacts on both victims and perpetrators. Although bullying is a world-wide problem, the literature pertains mostly to the industrialised world. Emerging from Scandinavia in the 1970s and then from the UK, Japan, Australia, and the USA, this body of literature has analysed the characteristics of bullies and victims, and the range of personal and social risk factors that contribute to bullying. It has also broadened the definition of bullying to include more subtle and complex forms of psychological violence, and extended analysis beyond examining the characteristics of bullies and victims to looking at their upbringing and their family and social environments, including school environments. Bullying is also distinguished from other forms of violence because it represents a pattern of behaviour rather than an isolated event. The literature also reveals how almost all bullying is sexual or gender-based. This has changed the way bullying is perceived, so that responses also target the pattern.

**Bullying that targets the child’s sex or sexuality**

Teachers and other children commonly put pressure on children to make them conform to cultural values and social attitudes that define what it means to be ‘masculine’ or ‘feminine’. A widespread method is to use words suggesting that a boy is acting like a girl or may be gay, and that a girl is acting like a boy or may be lesbian. Such words may be used jokingly, but nevertheless convey the message that it would be very bad or wrong if it were true. Such jibes
may be used maliciously, to punish or bully children because they are ‘too effeminate’, ‘too masculine’, or known or suspected to be gay or lesbian, or else just different in other disapproved ways.

When boys call girls ‘sluts’, ‘lesbians’ or similar terms that question girls’ sexual morals or sexuality, they may be expressing resentment of girls in general or anger, frustration or jealousy. A study in South Africa found that girls who complained of being sexually harassed or abused by male students were often called lesbians. Similarly, boys may be called gay if they show too much respect for girls and do not participate in other boys’ sexual harassment of girls.

Bullying of known, suspected or alleged gay and lesbian students can take the form of taunts, obscene notes or graffiti, unwelcome sexual advances, and mock rapes and can lead to brutal physical attacks. Though such bullying is known to be common in many countries, most of the literature on the subject pertains to Europe and North America. In many countries, homosexual activity is a criminal offence or, at least, highly stigmatised with the result that bullying and other forms of violence towards these groups receives little official attention, and are driven underground

The extent of bullying
The 2001/02 Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) survey in developed and transitional countries in Central and Eastern Europe found that 35% of schoolchildren said they had been bullied within the past two months, with the percentage ranging from 15% in Sweden to 64% in Lithuania (see Figure 4.1). Recent surveys done in developing countries as part of the ongoing GSHS found similarly wide-ranging percentages of children saying that they had been bullied within the past 30 days (see Figure 4.2). The differing ‘past two months’ and ‘past 30 days’ time-frames mean that the findings are not strictly comparable but even where time-frames are the same, country comparisons should be made with caution because the reported incidence of bullying tends to increase as specialists in education and childhood development make the public more aware of the issue.

The 2001/02 HBSC survey found that bullying decreased as children grew older and that, while similar percentages of boys and girls said they had been bullied, more boys admitted to bullying others. While bullying within sex groups is common, when it occurs across sex groups girls are much more likely to be bullied by boys than vice versa. Recent studies suggest that around half of all children involved in bullying are both victims and perpetrators, and that they are the most troubled of all children involved in bullying.

Bullying is just beginning to emerge as an issue in the Philippines, Thailand and other countries of the East Asia and the Pacific region. In a survey in the Lao People’s Democratic Republic, 98% of girls and 100% of boys said they had witnessed bullying in schools and, while the precise nature or seriousness of the bullying was not clear, the victims were...
mainly girls or children from ethnic minorities. A study among primary school students in the fourth grade in the Republic of Korea concluded that bullying is common in schools and arises from social conditions and bullies’ emotional problems. Reports from South Asia indicated severe discrimination in the classroom, amounting to abuse and exclusion, against children from minorities or low castes. A consultation on violence in schools held through UNICEF’s Voices of Youth forum also confirmed the widespread experience of bullying in schools in the Middle East and North Africa, and led to calls from children to stop it.

The most common forms of bullying are verbal and, if left unchecked, verbal bullying can lead to extreme violence. Some of the recent impetus for studies of bullying came from the notorious school shootings in the USA and Canada during the late 1990s. One investigation found that an inability to cope with social ridicule and personal rejection may have fuelled those outbursts. A study in Israel found that bullies’ feelings of suffering, humiliation and anger often explain why they move from verbal to physical violence.

The Internet and mobile phones have provided new opportunities for bullying through e-mails, online chat lines, personal web pages, text messages, and transmission of images. A survey of students in Canada found that boys confessed to cyber-bullying more often than girls, and were also more frequently the victims of cyber-bullying. Unique aspects of cyber-bullying are that it allows perpetrators to remain anonymous, it allows for quick distribution and replication of messages, and it can turn masses of children into bystanders or witnesses of non-physical bullying of a highly malicious nature as perpetrators hide behind their anonymity.

How schools fail to discourage bullying
Strong leadership, an ethos of caring, and clear and consistently enforced policies can reduce the incidence and severity of violence in schools of all kinds and even prevent it from happening. Unfortunately, most schools apply quick-fix solutions or deal with the problem superficially. They may expel perpetrators rather than attempt to change their behaviour, which only transfers the problem to another school or the wider community. They may include anti-violence material in non-compulsory courses or ad hoc lessons, but it is usually insufficient to impart the knowledge and skills teachers and students need to understand and prevent violence.

School bullying usually occurs when no teachers are present and when student bystanders neither intervene nor inform teachers or other school staff. A Canadian study found that in 57% of cases where bystanders intervened the bullying stopped. North American children participating in Children’s Forums held as part of this Study suggested turning bystanders into defenders through peer support schemes.

Fighting, physical assault, and gangs
Fighting generally involves conflict generally involves two or more people where it is not easy to make distinctions between perpetrators and
The percentage of children aged 11, 13 and 15 years who reported having been bullied within the past couple of months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Males</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>5630</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>2919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>3442</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>4038</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>4440</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>3979</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>4652</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland</td>
<td>832</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>8026</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>10556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>5582</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>4331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>4956</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>4955</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>8103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>6351</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>14122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>4230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>4646</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>4353</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Yugoslav Rep. of Macedonia</td>
<td>4145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>4384</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>5785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>5336</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>3788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>4145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>3936</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>4970</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>3828</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 4.2

The percentage of children aged 13–15 who had been bullied at least once within the last 30 days

Source: Analysis provided to the Study by the Global School-Based Student Health Survey: The World Health Organization (http://www.who.int/chp/gshs) for surveys conducted in 2003-5.
violence against children in schools and educational settings. Bullying can lead to fighting, with or without weapons. Physical assault can occur as a separate phenomenon, as in the case of an attack by one person on another driven by inflamed feelings of anger or jealousy. It may also be driven by general feelings of rage, frustration or humiliation unprovoked by anything the victim may have done, as in the case of violent sexual assault and random shootings.

Analysis of data from the 2001/02 HBSC survey revealed that anywhere from 25% of school-aged children in Finland to 49% of school-aged children in Lithuania had engaged in physical fights during the past 12 months and that physical fights were far more common among boys than girls (see Figure 4.3). Analysis of data from more recent surveys done as part of the GSHS suggests that, in developing countries, fighting is more common, and girls from developing countries are more likely to participate in it than girls in developed and transitional countries (see Figure 4.3).

Boys tend to engage in physical fighting and assault against each other as they seek to live up to stereotypes of males as being powerful and strong. Students at a Kenyan university were asked to record their ‘Memories of Childhood Violence’. What they recalled most vividly was violence by teachers, but they described bullying and fighting among children in ways that suggested they were barely worthy of recollection and just accepted parts of school culture.

**Homicide and serious injury**

Homicide and assault resulting in serious physical injury— is comparatively rare in schools, and accounts for only a tiny proportion of criminal violence in the whole of society. Media attention to extreme events such as knifings or shootings in schools has created a distorted impression of the prevalence of such violence, but it has also prompted enquiry into the connections between violence in schools and criminal violence by juveniles and adults outside schools.

The testimony of children, parents, teachers and others during the Children’s Forums and Regional Consultations held as part of this Study suggests that extreme violence in schools needs to be studied more thoroughly. A study in Jamaica found that 61% of students had witnessed acts of violence at school, 29% of those acts had caused injuries, and that many children felt unsafe in schools. In Jamaica, the homicide rate was 55 per 100,000 in 2004, and 25% of those arrested for all violent crimes were school-aged children, mainly boys. Most of those crimes took place away from schools; however, a separate study has concluded that crimes that did occur in schools were due to factors in wider Jamaican society, suggesting the need for comprehensive solutions.

**Weapons in schools**

A recent nationwide study in the USA found that from 3% to 10% of students carried weapons on school property, while 12% to 25% carried weapons outside school. The same study found that 13% of students had been involved in fights on school property at least once in the previous year and 33% had been involved in fights outside school. The study found that 5% of all students had stayed away
The percentage of children aged 11, 13 and 15 years old who reported having been in a physical fight within the last 12 months


**Source: Analysis provided to the Study by the Global School-Based Student Health Survey: The World Health Organization (http://www.who.int/chp/gshs or http://www.cdc.gov/gshs) for surveys conducted in 2003-5. Surveyed students aged 11, 13 and 15.
from school for at least one of the previous 30 days because they were worried about their safety.\textsuperscript{93} In the USA, some research has suggested that in schools where boys are carrying weapons, girls are also more likely to carry weapons.\textsuperscript{94}

Studies from Canada suggest weapon-carrying in schools is as common in Canada as it is in the USA.\textsuperscript{95} An analysis of school suspensions in Nova Scotia found that around half were due to carrying a weapon. Whether weapon-carrying is a rising or decreasing phenomenon in North American schools is a subject of debate. The same is true of Western European schools, though evidence suggests that physical violence of all kinds has remained fairly constant.\textsuperscript{96} In other regions, weapons are often associated with gang violence.

The development of peer groups is a natural part of school life, but gangs also develop in the school environment. These groups are distinguished from other peer groups by more formal structures and rituals. Gang violence in schools would appear to be most prevalent in places where violence in the whole of society is common. Participants in the Caribbean Regional Consultation for this Study reported that gangs, gang violence outside schools and gang violence in schools have all grown in parallel. These participants reported that gang violence in schools includes severe beatings, stabbings and shootings, and tends to be more severe than other forms of violence in schools because it is associated with trafficking of illicit drugs.\textsuperscript{97,98} Participants in the Latin American Regional Consultation reported similar parallel growth in gang violence in and outside schools.\textsuperscript{99} (See the chapter on violence against children in the community.)

**IMPACTS OF VIOLENCE AT SCHOOL**

This section outlines the possible impacts of violence at school. However, it is important to note that these consequences are not inevitable. In fact, they are largely preventable and can be significantly reduced by effective interventions, which are discussed in subsequent sections.

**HEALTH IMPACTS**

Violence in school can have a physical impact, cause psychological distress, permanent physical disability and long-term physical or mental ill-health. Physical impacts are the most obvious and may include mild or serious wounds, bruises, fractures, and deaths by homicide or suicide. Sexual assault may lead to unwanted and early pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections, including HIV/AIDS.\textsuperscript{100} The psychological impacts may include immediate impairment of emotional development and long-term mental distress and ill-health, which can contribute to physical ill-health as well.

A number of studies have shown correlations between corporal punishment and poor mental health.\textsuperscript{101} While most have focused on corporal punishment within families, some have focused on corporal punishment in schools. One European study on personal histories of depressed children found that corporal punishment in schools was the strongest past pre-
It is now recognised that peer violence among school-children also has significant impacts on both physical and mental health, especially if that violence is repeated or severe, and if victims lack adequate support.

A study of bullying in 28 European countries found that physical symptoms of being bullied included headache, stomachache, backache, and dizziness, while psychological symptoms included bad temper and feeling nervous, lonely and helpless. The same study found that, according to children’s own reports, the more often they had been bullied, the more symptoms of ill-health they had. This ‘dose–response’ relationship was similar in boys and girls.

An analysis of data from 30 industrialised and transitional countries covered by the HBSC study found that children who said they were bullies or the victims of bullying were significantly less likely than other children to say that they enjoyed ‘excellent health’ and a ‘very satisfied life’ (see Figure 4.4). Children who said they were both bullies and victims of bullying were the least likely of all to say they enjoyed either of these things.

**SOCIAL IMPACTS**

Studies from many different countries confirm that the social impacts of corporal punishment and all other forms of violence against children at school are invariably negative. A recent study in Cameroon, for example, found that corporal punishment in home and school is likely to block the development of social skills. Victims of corporal punishment are likely to become passive and overly cautious, and to fear free expression of their ideas and feelings while, at the same time, they may become perpetrators of psychological violence.
Some research suggests that children who are physically punished are less likely than other children to internalise moral values. They are less inclined to resist temptation, to engage in altruistic behaviour, to empathise with others or to exercise moral judgement of any kind. They are more inclined to engage in disorderly and aggressive conduct such as hitting their siblings, parents, schoolmates and boyfriends or girlfriends. And they may become adults who use corporal punishment against their own children, and so pass on the habits of violence.

North American and European studies suggest that school bullying, whether the children are victims or perpetrators or both, can be a predictor of future anti-social and criminal behaviour, including intimate partner violence, involvement in fights and self-destructive behaviour such as smoking and drinking to excess.

**EDUCATIONAL IMPACTS**

In the Regional Consultations for this Study, physical and psychological punishment, verbal abuse, bullying and sexual violence in schools were repeatedly reported as reasons for absenteeism, dropping-out and lack of motivation for academic achievement. In a Save the Children submission to the Study, children from Bangladesh said that physical and cruel or degrading punishment affected their school performance and that they valued kind and comforting teachers who explained rather than drilled. The educational impacts of bullying have been less well researched than other psychological and social impacts, but it is known that both victims and perpetrators tend to get lower marks than other children. There also appears to be a relationship between bullying, absence of bonding with other children, and absenteeism.

An analysis of data collected by the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) focused on eighth and ninth grade maths and science students in 49 countries, and found that in schools where there was a heavy emphasis on competition and large gaps between high and low scorers, students were more likely to engage in violence against each other. Another analysis of the same data found no strong relationship between this violence in schools and the patterns of violence or lack of social integration in the wider society.

A number of studies in South Asia indicate that violence at school, notably corporal punishment, leads to students dropping out of school. A study in Nepal, where harsh corporal punishment is routine, found that 14% of school dropouts can be attributed to fear of teachers. A Save the Children submission to this Study found that children in South Asian countries were unanimous in their opinion that corporal punishment is a major reason why children drop out of school. They also said that regular beatings result in a loss of interest in studies, and a drop in academic performance.

Studies have found that, in South Africa, victims of sexual violence are greeted with such hostility after they report the violence that they leave schools for periods of time, change schools or quit schools entirely, while the teachers or students accused of abusing them remain in place. In most African, Asian and
Caribbean countries, pregnancy resulting from sexual assault and coercion often forces girls to quit school and miss out on opportunities for education and compromises their future.

**FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO VIOLENCE**

**RISK FACTORS**

Risk factors make it more likely that a child will be a victim or perpetrator of violence in schools, while protective factors make it less likely. Both individual and external characteristics (including beyond the school), are relevant to increasing or decreasing the likelihood that a child will be involved in school violence or seriously harmed by it when it occurs.124,125,126

Research on risk factors for violence against children specific to schools is lacking for the full range of international contexts, and tends to focus on peer violence. In general, research from mainly industrialised countries suggests that influences tend to change with developmental stage: for example, the influence of family is stronger for young children, while the influence of peers is stronger for adolescents.127 Consistently emerging from research as significant risk factors specific to schools are poor academic performance, high absenteeism, leaving school early, and unstructured free time.128,129 In addition, many of the factors identified in research about other aspects of life are also likely to be relevant to schools – for example, pro-violence attitudes, risk-taking, weak social ties, affiliation with anti-social peers, poor parent-child relationships, drug abuse, harsh, lax or inconsistent discipline, or poor parental monitoring.

A study in the USA interviewed 1,467 children from 12 to 17 years old about experiences of victimisation over time. The study suggested that some children may be ‘poly-victims’ (victims of different types of violence), who reported, for example, corporal punishment by parents, sexual abuse by a relative, physical assault by a peer, and bullying by peers in school. Persistence of poly-victimisation was associated with the child scoring high on anger and aggression scales, family problems, and having experienced recent life adversities. Having more friends was associated with decreased levels of violence.130

Violence in schools tends to be less about isolated incidents and more about patterns of violence. Without proper systems in place, these patterns become normalised and can escalate. No single factor or combination of factors protects children from violence. Risk factors do not operate in isolation, and their impact can be mediated by protective factors. The concept of resilience involves a number of protective factors, and has emerged in the literature as important in preventing violence and a number of other risk behaviours.

**RESILIENCE AND OTHER PROTECTIVE FACTORS**

A child’s resilience can be defined as the child’s capacity “to cope successfully with everyday challenges including life transitions, times of cumulative stress and significant adversity or risk. Typically, resilient children are recog-
nised by their high self-esteem, internal locus of control, optimism and clear aspirations, achievement and goal-orientation, reflection and problem-solving capacity, healthy communication patterns, and the capacity to seek out mentoring adult relationships.”

Schools can play a critical role in building children’s resilience and feelings of well-being, which have also been linked to the reduced likelihood of being victimised. Adults and peers in children’s families and communities begin building, or failing to build, children’s resilience from birth. Good parenting in stable family units is critical. The power of early parent–child bonds established within warm and supportive family relationships, along with high levels of parental care during early childhood, are important in building resilience. But even when families or communities fail, schools can compensate, especially when they provide strong support from the early years. Schools can also provide bridges between children and their families and communities, helping families and communities to understand how they affect children and to acquire the skills to become more supportive.

Studies from Australia suggest the importance of comprehensive and whole of school approaches in reducing risk factors and increasing protective factors simultaneously. Support from teachers, parents and other adults has been identified as important, as well as having supportive peers and belonging to supportive pro-social groups. Such a whole of school approach has also shown improvements in student mental health. Many of the protective factors identified in other settings are also relevant to the school environment, although research across international contexts is lacking. For example, relationships with caring and mentoring adults appear to promote resilience through modeling of pro-social behaviour, providing guidance, and offering protection – all of which good teachers do on a daily basis. Having the perception that clear sanctions will follow transgressions at school is also protective, underlining the importance of having clear codes of conduct and making sure they are enforced. In addition, schools can promote the development of strong peer group and social bonds to build resilience, as well as build empathy, conflict management skills and critical thinking.

Many of the protective factors identified in other settings are also relevant to the school environment, although research across international contexts is lacking. For example, relationships with caring and mentoring adults appear to promote resilience through modeling of pro-social behaviour, providing guidance, and offering protection – all of which good teachers do on a daily basis. Having the perception that clear sanctions will follow transgressions at school is also protective, underlining the importance of having clear codes of conduct and making sure they are enforced. In addition, schools can promote the development of strong peer group and social bonds to build resilience, as well as build empathy, conflict management skills and critical thinking.

**SYSTEMATIC RESPONSE TO PATTERNS OF VIOLENCE**

While support from their families and peers is critical to making children less vulnerable to violence in schools, an increasing body of research confirms that the systematic attention to the behaviour of the school heads, teachers and other school staff is also critical. If they engage in abusive behaviour and show disrespect for the rights, comfort and safety of others, then children will follow their example. Many North American studies have found a direct correlation between their lack of firm intervention and the prevalence of violence among children. A study in Yemen found the same correlation. Studies in Botswana and Ghana have found that when teachers tolerate sex segregation and tension between the

“If they [kids] are beaten at home, they are going to beat, that is, if their parents ill-treat them or don’t talk to them, kids will beat others because they are beaten. They are going to drag with them what they see at home. This is the basis of violence.”

Adolescent girls, Latin America, 2005
sexes, they help to sustain cultures of bullying and sexual and gender-based violence.\footnote{141}

In the Americas, South Africa and places of violent civil conflict such as Nepal and Sierra Leone, however, much of the violence among children in schools enters from the violent world surrounding the schools. Social upheaval and displacement combined with low academic achievement may lead to students becoming violent. In the Occupied Palestinian Territory and Algeria, boys who transfer from other schools and repeat grades are more prone to violence than their peers.\footnote{142}

There is evidence to suggest that fierce peer competition, gender-based violence and gang violence within schools are all, to some extent, related to the stresses that go with modernisation and industrialisation. These phenomena are all more commonly reported from the urban areas of industrialised or rapidly industrialising countries than from rural areas of less developed countries. Country responses to questionnaires distributed to Governments by this Study indicate that countries in rapidly industrialising regions, such as East Asia, are just beginning to become concerned about bullying and other forms of violence among children.\footnote{143}

**CHILDREN WHO ARE VULNERABLE TO VIOLENCE**

Children’s vulnerability to violence changes as they grow older. During infancy and young childhood the child’s cognitive ability is less developed and activity level is high, so training to avoid danger and self-harm or to behave in a manner acceptable to adults is more likely to be administered by threats, slaps and other physical means.\footnote{144} As early as pre-school age, children develop attitudes to others, including discriminatory attitudes, and these tend to become more pronounced during primary school, perhaps evolving into bullying (or being victimised). There may also be gender-based violence of a verbal nature at early ages, and it may evolve until, towards or after puberty, it becomes threats of physical violence. Simon’s story illustrates how each child’s experience of violence is unique but changes over the years (see box).

Individual characteristics of the child can also increase vulnerability. According to North American researchers, children with disabilities and learning difficulties are often targeted for exclusion, discrimination and bullying.\footnote{145} Children with speech defects or whose movements are affected by conditions such as multiple dystrophy are also frequent targets of bullying. Much of the evidence, however, derives from schools for children with special needs. Schools with explicit policies of integration and inclusion tend to focus more on reducing stigma, discrimination and bullying of these children, although conclusive evidence is not yet available.\footnote{146} Data from developing countries are sparse, but a study from South Asia found that children with disabilities are sometimes referred to by their disability as if it were their name.\footnote{147} Studies covering seven countries in the Middle East and North Africa found that children with learning difficulties were at high risk of being both the victims and perpetrators of bullying inside and outside schools.\footnote{148}
DAY-CARE CENTRE: “I HATED THE GIRLS AND USED TO TEASE THEM.”

“My mother enrolled me in a nursery school. Immediately I became rational, I preoccupied my mind with constructive things, (like) building my own toy cars as I had learnt from other children. I hated the girls and used to tease them. On seeing me, they would run away because I could beat one for no reason. A Catholic sister came to our nursery to teach. She introduced common games like hiding and seeking, songs in which we played together with the girls. Surprisingly, I came to love all the girls and even sang songs praising their names and comparing them to roses.”

MIDDLE PRIMARY SCHOOL: “WE ALWAYS ANTICIPATED BEING BEATEN FOR THE SLIGHTEST ERROR.”

“Sometimes we were beaten for having dirty collars on our shirts, (or) long nails. The punishment was very severe. (It is impossible for) the shirt of an active standard 4, 5, 6 student to be sparkling white at 4 p.m. unless the boy/girl is sick. My maths teacher in class eight made us kneel on a Saturday for 2 hours for failing a sum. We could be told to kneel on pebbles.”

UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOL: “STUDENTS HAD TO SPEAK ENGLISH ALL THE TIME TO AVOID TEACHERS’ WRATH.”

“In my fourth year in my primary school, there was a declaration that all students of upper level (4–8) had to be speaking English. On speaking mother tongue, one’s name was written down by the class prefect and the list of those who spoke Kiswahili or mother tongue forwarded to teachers. A meeting was called and those appearing on the list punished by receiving six strokes of the cane for class 4 pupils, 7 for class 5 and 10 for class 6 to 8. The teachers stood in a row and each could whip you with all his might. Surprisingly, no female teacher could join the male teachers nor be around. Tears could be shed and all forms of struggle and screams. There was nothing but fear and hatred.”
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‘Outsider’ children, including those who are refugees or from indigenous minorities, are especially likely to be excluded, discriminated against and bullied. Evidence from Australia suggests that Aboriginal students are more likely to be recipients of verbal abuse from teachers and from non-Aboriginal peers than the others. In Botswana, research by authorities has found that the dropout rates of Basarwa (or San) children are unusually high, and that they drop out largely because of prejudice and bullying, though also because the corporal punishment meted out in schools is alien to their culture. Children from nomadic communities may miss formal schooling altogether or else be excluded, discriminated against, or bullied.

In South Asia, children from official castes and indigenous tribes suffer from exclusion, discrimination and bullying in schools. In Latin America, as elsewhere, indigenous children are frequently banned from wearing traditional clothing and hairstyles. In industrialised countries, children of minorities or those who wear faith-related garments, such as a hijab or burka, also face discrimination by State or educational authorities. Such discrimination may be called a form of psychological violence insofar as it conveys the message that children are somehow less worthy because they are different, and that what makes them different must be suppressed.

Orphaned children are more likely to drop out of school or to repeat grades, and the contrast between the attendance of orphans and non-orphans is greatest in countries where attendance is already low. In Africa, children orphaned or otherwise affected by HIV and AIDS may suffer stigma in school while they are also shouldering extra burdens of grief, poverty and sibling care. A recent Human Rights Watch report into the impact of HIV and AIDS on affected children’s access to education in Kenya, South Africa, and Uganda, documents how stigma in school leads to taunting, and makes it difficult for children to communicate with their teachers about illness in the family. Within the context of silence and shame that surrounds HIV infection, the fear of stigma, discrimination and possibly violence can lead to HIV-infected and affected children withdrawing from school or being excluded, as reported not only in Southern Africa but elsewhere, including Bolivia, El Salvador, Ghana, Haiti, India and Nepal.

The special situation of girls

In many places, there are local schools for young children, but children have to leave home for boarding schools, or to live with relatives for the higher primary grades and secondary school. This is often the case in regions where populations are scattered through rural areas, perhaps in mountains or other hard-to-access locations. Parents fear that their girls may be assaulted on the way there or back, on the road, or in crowded buses. A World Education study in Peru found that as the distance a girl travels to school increases, so does her chance of being molested. The risks of sexual harassment, rape and unintended pregnancy keep many Peruvian girls home and increase absenteeism, grade repetition and dropout.
The EFA campaign has shone a spotlight on the fact that girls still have less access to schooling than boys in most developing countries, that the discrepancy increases sharply after the primary grades, and that there is a strong correlation between low levels of education for girls and women and low levels of national development. 

In some societies with low levels of girls’ education, the seclusion of girls at home after puberty is still common, and the same is true of early marriage, for example, in many parts of South Asia and the Middle East. Even where seclusion is not practised, research shows that parents fear for their girls’ sexual safety in school. In sub-Saharan Africa, this fear is exacerbated by fear of HIV infection. In 2001, a Human Rights Watch study on gender-based violence in schools found alarming levels of sexual violence against schoolgirls, and a frightening degree of tolerance and collusion by teachers. Such evidence all too often results in pressure on girls to leave school.

**RESPONSES TO VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN IN SCHOOLS AND EDUCATIONAL SETTINGS**

In the many children’s forums, Regional Consultations and submissions that contributed to this Study, clear messages came from the world’s children:

- They want the violence to stop.
- They want teachers and other school staff to give them firm guidance while accepting them for whom they are, respecting their rights and helping them to express themselves constructively and to develop their full potential.
- They want teachers and other school staff to help them get along with each other and to develop the habits of mutual respect and empathy that will see them through lives of constructive citizenship.
They want their parents and other adults outside schools to play constructive roles in their education, promoting and supporting violence-free schools and giving them violence-free homes and communities.\textsuperscript{164}

The many contributions to this Study have also shown that there is good reason for hope that the dreams of these children can and will be realised, if only countries will commit themselves to accelerating and sustaining their progress toward violence-free schools. There is now widespread and rapidly growing awareness of violence in schools and of the harm it is doing to children and to all of society. Many countries in all regions are taking action to counter that violence, and there is mounting evidence to suggest which approaches work best.

Unfortunately, there are no simple or single strategy solutions. To be effective, it is important that approaches address overall prevention, for example through life skills-based education, as
violence against children in schools and educational settings as well as early intervention when problems arise, and safe and supervised activities and facilities for children and young people.\textsuperscript{165}

This Study has concluded that the most effective approaches to countering violence in schools are tailored to the unique circumstances of the schools in question, but that they also have key elements in common. Specifically, they are based on recognition that all children have equal rights to education in settings that are free of violence, and that one of the functions of education is to produce adults imbued with the non-violent values and practices.

The overall approach can be called ‘rights-based’ and ‘child-friendly’. It is consistent with the CRC, other international conventions on

\textbf{THE UNITED NATIONS GIRLS’ EDUCATION INITIATIVE (UNGEI) ROLE IN PROMOTING GIRLS’ PARTICIPATION}

In 2000, UN Secretary-General Kofi Annan showed his strong commitment to girls’ education by launching the United Nations Girls’ Education Initiative (UNGEI), a partnership for girls’ education and gender equality. It is emerging as an effective strategy for the prevention of violence against girls. In countries where UNGEI is established, partners work together to strengthen interventions that promote girls’ access to quality education. Interventions which include those that accelerate and encourage the participation of girls and boys in their own empowerment. For example, the Girls’ Education Movement (GEM) operates in Botswana, Lesotho, Kenya, Uganda, South Africa, the United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia and Zimbabwe as an important aspect of UNGEI. When GEM was launched in 2001, it was conceptualised as a pan-African education initiative through which girls would become leaders in the transformation of Africa and agents in the decision-making processes concerning their educational chances. In Uganda, GEM is very active in making sure that orphans and other vulnerable children access school, and work with local authorities and traditional leaders to address the issue of early marriage. In Botswana, GEM has done a baseline study on safety in schools which is being edited for publication. In South Africa, GEM works through Public–Private Partnerships to support the education of girls in mathematics, science and technology and, sponsored by UNICEF, has a number of different activities for ensuring that the voices of girls and young women are heard, particularly in relation to policy-making. Girls’ Parliaments, sponsored by GEM, in conjunction with the National Department of Education in South Africa, have offered girls the opportunity to contribute to policy-making around issues of sexual violence in schools. The first Girls’ Parliament in South Africa took place in 2003. It is worth noting that GEM in South Africa has also developed posters addressing gender violence, and a chat line for girls to speak out.\textsuperscript{168}
human rights and the EFA goals, and widely endorsed by international organisations. Most importantly, it answers children and young adults around the world who say they want the violence to stop.

The basic principles of a rights-based child-friendly school are that it should be:

1. **Proactively inclusive.** The school seeks out and enables the participation of all children of both sexes, and especially those who are different ethnically, culturally, linguistically, socio-economically and in their abilities or disabilities.

2. ** Academically effective and relevant.** It meets children's needs for life and livelihood knowledge, attitudes and skills.

3. **Gender-sensitive.** It creates environments that foster gender equality, and it meets the needs for knowledge, attitudes and skills that ensure gender equality.

4. **Healthy and protective.** It promotes and protects children's emotional, psychological and physical well-being by providing a healthy and protective educational climate.

5. **Engaged with the family and the community.** It seeks out and enables the participation of children's families and the community in the development and implementation of all aspects of school policies and programmes, including those designed to protect children from harm and to teach children to appreciate the rights of other children to the same protection.

**LAWS AND LAW ENFORCEMENT**

International conventions, regional agreements and national laws that address corporal punishment, sexual harassment and assault, and other forms of violence are essential steps in the movement toward violence-free schools. Experience has shown, however, that many countries are slow to meet their international and regional obligations and slow to enforce their own laws.

Since the UN General Assembly adopted the CRC in 1989, a substantial number of countries have taken steps to ban corporal punishment in schools, even though they have often been lax in enforcing their laws. High-level courts in many regions and countries including Namibia (Supreme Court, 1991), South Africa (Constitutional Court, 2000) and in the courts of various parts of federal countries – for example, Delhi, India (Delhi High Court, 2000), and Canada (Supreme Court, 2004) – have condemned corporal punishment in schools and required its prohibition.

The Committee on the Rights of the Child notes in its recent General Comment No. 8: “Where, despite prohibition and positive education and training programmes, cases of corporal punishment come to light outside the family home – in schools, other institutions and forms of alternative care for example – prosecution may be a reasonable response.” The threat to the perpetrator of other disciplinary action or dismissal should also act as a clear deterrent. It is essential that the prohibition of all corporal punishment and other cruel or degrading punishment, and the sanctions that may be imposed if it is inflicted,
should be well disseminated to children and to all those working with or for children in all settings. Monitoring disciplinary systems and the treatment of children must be part of the sustained supervision of all institutions and placements. Children and their representatives in all such placements should have immediate and confidential access to child-sensitive advice, advocacy and complaints procedures and ultimately to courts where necessary, and legal assistance. In institutions, there should be a requirement to report and to review any violent incidents.

Many countries have laws or, at least, policies that prevent sexual harassment in the workplace and these often apply to educational workplaces, such as schools, and may serve to protect both teachers and students. In South Africa, for example, the Promotion of Equality and Prevention of Unfair Discrimination Act 4 (2000), defines harassment as “unwanted conduct which is persistent or serious and demeans, humiliates or creates a hostile or intimidating environment or is calculated to induce submission ... and which is related to sex, gender or sexual orientation.” The Government of South Africa has taken steps to make the investigation of alleged rapes more sensitive to the concerns of victims and, therefore, more likely to result in successful prosecutions. In addition, South Africa’s Department of Education has

**SOUTH AFRICA’S GUIDELINES TO STOPPING TEACHERS FROM SEXUALLY ABUSING STUDENTS**

In 2000, South Africa’s Department of Education issued guidelines noting the prevalence of sexual abuse of students by teachers and the consequent high risk of HIV transmission. The guidelines explain the law and the consequences of violating the law:

- Educators must not have sexual relations with learners. It is against the law, even if the learner consents. Such action transgresses the code of conduct for educators, who are in a position of trust.
- Strict disciplinary action will be taken against any educator who has sex with a learner.
- Sex that is demanded by an educator without consent is rape, which is a serious crime, and the educator will be charged. If an educator has sex with a girl or boy who is under 16 years, he or she will be charged with statutory rape and may face a penalty of life imprisonment.
- If you are aware of a colleague who is having sexual relations with a learner, you must report them to the principal or higher educational authorities, and if the boy or girl is under 16, to the police. If you do not do so you may be charged with being an accessory to rape.
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In 2000, South Africa’s Department of Education issued guidelines noting the prevalence of sexual abuse of students by teachers and the consequent high risk of HIV transmission. The guidelines explain the law and the consequences of violating the law:

– Educators must not have sexual relations with learners. It is against the law, even if the learner consents. Such action transgresses the code of conduct for educators, who are in a position of trust.

– Strict disciplinary action will be taken against any educator who has sex with a learner.

– Sex that is demanded by an educator without consent is rape, which is a serious crime, and the educator will be charged. If an educator has sex with a girl or boy who is under 16 years, he or she will be charged with statutory rape and may face a penalty of life imprisonment.

– If you are aware of a colleague who is having sexual relations with a learner, you must report them to the principal or higher educational authorities, and if the boy or girl is under 16, to the police. If you do not do so you may be charged with being an accessory to rape.

TWO COUNTRIES WHERE NATIONAL COMMITTEES DEVELOP AND ENFORCE ANTI-VIOLENCE MEASURES

The Republic of Korea’s Act on the Prevention of School Violence requires that a new plan for preventing school violence be drawn up every five years. A National Committee has responsibility for coordinating and monitoring implementation of the plan and for overseeing the five-year reviews and updates. Every school is required to hold regular sessions to review their contributions to the implementation of the plan, and to recommend whatever actions may be called for within the school or beyond.

In Cyprus, the Ministry of Education requires all schools to establish committees to address needs for “prevention and confrontation of violence in the family and school environment.” These committees are empowered to receive and investigate complaints of violence before passing them on to the appropriate authorities.

Under-reporting of all forms of violence is a chronic problem in most countries. Reasons include lack of confidence in the capacity of authorities to intervene in constructive ways, and fear of repercussions to victims and their families and even to perpetrators, since so much violence occurs within circles of people who know each other.

Government responses to the questionnaire sent out as part of the Study show that a few countries have reformed laws and improved law enforcement to address school violence in more holistic ways, as part of broader efforts to promote and support rights-based child-friendly education for all children.

RIGHTS-BASED SCHOOL POLICIES AND PROGRAMMES

A large number and variety of policies and programmes to counter school-based violence have been introduced in all regions of the world. Only a few of these have been rigorously evaluated – and mostly in industrialised countries – but the results are encouraging, and show that effective solutions exist, although they are not widely implemented.

UNESCO has found promising anti-school-violence programmes in eight countries – Australia, France, Israel, Japan, Northern Ireland, Norway, South Africa and the USA. These programmes are well-regarded by administrators, teachers, students and parents and show early indications of being effective in reducing violence in schools. A small body of research evaluating these programmes indicates that they not only reduce violence, but help students
develop better social skills, higher self-esteem and a greater sense of personal control over their lives, and also help students attain higher levels of academic achievement.\textsuperscript{175}

During the past 10 years, profound concern about violence in North American schools has led to considerable research and experimentation with different interventions, and there is now a large body of literature documenting and evaluating different approaches.\textsuperscript{176,177} As a result, there is now evidence of approaches that work in Canada and the USA. Similar research and experimentation is underway in many other countries and, where there are evaluations, they are demonstrating that these countries are finding approaches that work, too. There is no reason to doubt that, with the will and resources (often minimal), any country, community or school can find effective ways of reducing violence in schools.

The following discussion focuses on particular areas of intervention and provides examples of promising practices from all regions of the world.

**LEADERSHIP AND POLICY DEVELOPMENT**

Policies to tackle school violence should recognise that schools are, above all, places of learning and can play an important role in equalising power and eliminating abuses of power. Schools can be guided by the highest human rights standards in everything they do, and use alternative, non-violent methods of communication, negotiation and conflict resolution.

A growing body of research has considered schools as social systems and sought to identify parts of the systems that might be changed to reduce violence. The conclusion has been that effective school leaders (e.g. the heads of education authorities and of individual schools) can go a long way toward improving the quality of school life by working with the other stakeholders to develop and implement policies governing the conduct and discipline of teachers and students and building community confidence in schools.\textsuperscript{178,179} A study of schools in Botswana and Ghana found that the most common feature of safe and high-achieving schools is strong management.\textsuperscript{180}

A review of programmes to address violence in Latin American and Caribbean schools pointed to the importance of building positive attitudes and behaviours from as early an age as possible, sustaining the effort to build those values right through school, and doing this through such means as giving students opportunities to participate in making the decisions that shape their school environments.\textsuperscript{181} Ensuring such continuity calls for action by authorities that oversee all schools, whether at national, district or local level.

A longitudinal study in Norway has found a causal link between good classroom management techniques and reduced peer violence.\textsuperscript{182} In addition, the curriculum should promote the values of social equality, tolerance toward diversity and non-violent means of resolving conflicts.

What happens outside the classroom is also critical. Education authorities should pro-
vide guidance and support to school heads and teachers, helping them shape the climate outside the classroom by changing the way in which the school is managed. Codes of conduct should be developed and enforced through processes that give a voice to every stakeholder, for example through student councils and parents’ associations. The climate thus created within the school can spill over into the wider community when, for example, students carry home the values and habits they learn in school and then carry them through their lives, into their workplaces, relationships, and so on.

**SUPPORTING SCHOOL STAFF**

There are almost 43 million teachers at the primary and secondary school levels around the world, in addition to an unknown number of teachers in pre-schools and other educational settings, and their potential to act as role models is immense.\(^{183}\) Teachers are among any country’s most important agents of social development and change, since they make essential contributions to the emotional and cognitive development of current generations of children and thus to future generations of mature and responsible citizens. Even when children are subject to violence in their homes and communities, teachers can provide them with models of non-violence from a very early age.

Good teacher recruitment and training should be at the very root of any country’s long-term and comprehensive strategy to reduce violence, not just in schools but in the whole of society. In reality, however, many countries undervalue teachers, pay little attention to recruiting the best candidates, and then offer them insufficient pre- and in-service training, low salaries and poor working conditions, often in overcrowded and ill-equipped schools and classrooms. In most countries, teachers are already overburdened, but they are under increasing pressure to take on more responsibility for addressing violence in their schools, though they often lack the training and expertise for this added responsibility. If, despite all this, schools attract good teachers, they then have difficulty retaining them.

All of these factors should be taken into account when assessing needs to change the way teaching candidates are recruited, trained, and supported. Teachers cannot carry the whole burden, so any assessment of their needs should look at ways in which education authorities, school heads and other school staff, parents and communities may be undermining teachers’ work, and at ways in which they could be doing more to support it.

Most Governments that responded to the Study questionnaire\(^{184}\) reported that they, NGOs or partnerships between the two were supporting teacher training programmes that addressed violence. However, this training was often not continuous, systematic and sustainable. Most of the training programmes addressed prevention and protection; some addressed rehabilitation of victims or offenders; only a few countries mentioned redress (Gambia, Nigeria, the Seychelles, Singapore, Switzerland, and Thailand), penalties (Cameroon, Singapore, Sri Lanka, Switzerland, and Thailand), methods for educating students in violence prevention.

“If teachers talk badly to us, if they do not take care about what they say and do not respect us, how do you expect us to respect them? They are not good role models for us.”

Student, Indian Ocean subregion, 2006\(^{14}\)
and protection (Bulgaria, Estonia, Indonesia, the Netherlands), or methods for integrating human rights and non-violence into the school curriculum (Brazil and the Seychelles). While these efforts are all moving in the right direction, clearly more radical and sustained improvements in teacher training for non-violence are needed.

IN CROATIA, A CAMPAIGN FOR ‘SAFE AND ENABLING’ SCHOOLS ACHIEVES EARLY RESULTS

In the spring of 2004, after broad consultations with Government ministries, civil society organisations and others, UNICEF launched a five-phase campaign ‘For a Safe and Enabling School Environment’ in Croatia. Before the launch, a national survey covering students, parents and teachers established baseline data on violence in schools. The campaign was scheduled to last for 18 months. After 12 months, an evaluation found that it was surpassing expectations.

More than 4,500 teachers, twice the number targeted, had received training in non-violent methods of teaching, discipline and intervention in violence among children. The campaign had covered 121 schools including more than 60,000 children, 20% more than targeted, and this had been achieved with 15% less than the projected budget. More than 92% of Croatian citizens had become aware of the campaign, while more than 80% of funding was now coming from individual donors and corporations within Croatia. Most importantly, there had been significant decreases in some forms of violence and increased awareness of others. For example, children and teachers were better able to recognise all forms of bullying and better prepared to stop it. More than 80% of students knew the rules against school violence, and knew of an adult they could turn to for help.

There were, however, challenges ahead. Teachers felt they were more competent to deal with violence but, while students felt safer, they still did not consider that schools provided them with enough protection against violence. The evaluation concluded that there was need for more parent involvement and for the strengthening of peer support for non-violence among students.185

THE PARTICIPATION OF CHILDREN

Involving children in developing and implementing programmes is important in building personal knowledge, attitudes and skills, ensuring programme relevance and ownership, and ultimately in improving programme outcomes.186,187 A study by the United Kingdom Department for International Development
Violence against children in schools and educational settings covering Bangladesh, Kenya, Nepal, Sri Lanka, Uganda, and Zambia found that teaching environments that put children at the centre of the learning experience, so that teachers listen to their concerns and needs, are more likely to address violence effectively than other schools.

Another international study by World Vision found that, in communities where children participate in discussing and addressing violence, discussion is more open and more likely to result in specific strategies.

Save the Children has had a number of first-hand experiences working with partners in the establishment and support of child-led organisations and initiatives whereby children hold adult decision-makers and caregivers accountable, and work with them on addressing school violence. Research in the USA suggests that the best initiatives tend to be those in which students and teachers work together on developing and implementing strategies to make schools safe for everyone. Peer mediation and peer counselling, for example, are more effective when students and teachers work together in developing and assessing appropriate mediation and counselling methods.

Exploratory studies and experience in all regions have identified benefits, some unexpected, of involving children in the development and implementation of programmes to address violence in schools. Children can provide a comprehensive snapshot of their experience of violence in their schools, thus giving staff information they need to take action. Involving children serves as effective intervention in itself, in that it helps to heal children through the disclosure of experiences and sharing of feelings, and it teaches the staff about children’s experiences and feelings, and this in turn changes staff attitudes and behaviours.

**WORKING WITH PARENTS AND COMMUNITIES**

Many countries have a tradition of involving parents and communities in school life. In North America, there is a long tradition of Parent–Teachers’ Associations (PTAs). Typically, these have branches in every public school within a community, plus an umbrella PTA covering the whole community, and they enable parents’ rights to monitor schools and intervene as they see fit. In the USA, the Safe
Schools Coalition of Washington was set up out of concern about violence against gays and lesbians in Seattle schools, but it and similar Safe Schools Coalitions in a number of other states now serve as public–private partnerships through which many Government organisations and NGOs collaborate to address violence and other safety issues in schools.196 Many other countries have similar organisations through which parents and community-based organisations address a wide range of issues in schools, in collaboration with education authorities, school heads and teachers.197

Elsewhere in this section, a number of examples are given of ways in which parents and communities get involved in addressing the full range of violence issues in schools. Where such involvement is not already taking place, there may be existing mechanisms for securing that involvement. In Lesotho, the United Republic of Tanzania and a number of other sub-Saharan African countries, for example, there are now local committees that involve a broad range of stakeholders in addressing the full range of issues related to HIV and AIDS. Mexico City, Nepal, and Kenya provide examples of what major cities or whole countries can do to involve parents and communities (see boxes).

**IN MEXICO CITY – ‘COMBATING VIOLENCE: EDUCATION FOR PEACE’ PROJECT**

In Mexico City, social inequalities, poverty and other factors have contributed to increasing violence in homes, schools and the whole city, and school violence has been linked to high rates of early dropout. Currently, more than 1,500 of the city’s schools and 450,000 of the city’s students are participating in a project called “Combating Violence: Education for Peace – For Me, You, and the Entire World.” The project consists of training workshops that build the capacity of school administrators, teachers, students and parents to resolve conflicts in a non-violent manner. Follow-up in schools aims to ensure that the lessons have been absorbed and put into practice, and to provide support to individuals charged with the responsibility for continuing to build the capacity of each school’s population to resolve conflicts peacefully. The project owes its launch and success to leadership and enthusiastic support from the Secretary of Education and, mostly importantly, from school heads. The hope, now, is that the project will become a permanent programme after the city’s next general elections.198
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IN NEPAL – GIRLS TAKING ACTION TO END SEXUAL HARASSMENT

In Surkhet, Nepal, Save the Children has supported girls as they educate men and boys and make their schools and community safer. Boys and male adults (including education officials and village leaders) were unaware that girls perceived their ‘innocent teasing’ as sexual harassment and discrimination until the girls mapped the places where they felt unsafe. By examining and discussing the maps, males were able to recognise that these were the places where girls were regularly subject to such teasing. In addition, the girls have developed networks throughout the village and district, with links to girls’ groups in other villages, local police, teachers’ and women’s groups, and the district child welfare committee.¹⁹⁹

IN KENYA – ‘BE A CHAMPION FOR CHILDREN’ CAMPAIGN

In the spring of 2006, a campaign to stop violence against children was launched in Kenya, with support from UNICEF. The campaign called upon families, schools, faith-based organisations, the private sector, the mass media and all other elements of Kenyan society to collaborate (with financial and other support) in efforts to ensure that every home, school and community in the nation was committed to stopping violence against children. The campaign aims to rally everyone against violence and to empower them with information about what they can do to help create environments in which all Kenyan children can reach their potential. It has also raised funds to support:

- A core package of child protection services for the most vulnerable communities.
- Hotlines where both children and adult victims of violence can call for help.
- Safe houses for those who need to escape violence in their homes.
- Training for counsellors to help victims and also to help families and other perpetrators of violence break their patterns of violent behaviour.
- Training for teachers, health workers and police in how to reduce violence and intervene when it occurs.
- School-based programmes and youth programmes to reduce violence.
- Publicity to raise awareness and mobilise ever greater commitment.²⁰⁰
CONSTRUCTIVE CHILD DISCIPLINE

The rights-based, child-centred approach requires that there be codes of conduct accepted by all members of the school community, establishing moral and social reference points and emphasising the values that underpin them, including the values inherent in the CRC and in other human rights conventions. Discipline should aim at positive reinforcement, constructive criticism, clear guidance and instruction. Except in extreme cases it should not promote measures that may impede a child’s cognitive and emotional development.

Recent research on disciplinary regimes has made a distinction between what are termed pro-active and reactive regimes. Those that are pro-active focus on prevention, and are generally based on careful research into what works, and they establish a legal framework, clear policies, clear rules of conduct and mechanisms for enforcement. Those that are reactive are often based on ill-informed ‘blanket statements’ or assertions, and call for ‘zero tolerance’ and harsh punishments. They often involve suspending or expelling children, which only displaces the problem to another school or to the wider community while doing little to help the child. Such measures contravene a child’s right to education. Firm but fair discipline can correct unacceptable behaviour without resorting to violence. The consensus among leading educationalists is that reactive regimes are ineffective in the long term. In this context, UNESCO has outlined basic principles which should govern school discipline of children which emphasise constructive criticism, clear guidance and instruction as well as the principles of the CRC.

BULLYING: PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION

With its Olweus Bullying Prevention Programme, Norway has been a pioneer in efforts to stop bullying in schools. Monitoring of four cohorts of 600–700 elementary school students each found that, within two years, the programme had reduced bullying by 50% or more. It also had reduced rates of truancy, theft, vandalism and other antisocial behaviour, and the reductions were consistent across genders and grades. The Olweus approach has been tried and proven to work in several other countries, including Australia, Germany and the USA. It has not been tried in non-industrialised countries, mainly because bullying has not risen to prominence as a matter of public concern in these countries.

The Olweus approach has achieved a considerable degree of success and also identified important issues requiring ongoing attention, such as, the need to build the commitment of stakeholders, to sustain leadership over time, and to monitor and understand motivations and interlinking causes of violence while addressing all forms of violence at school. Introducing a human rights framework into bullying prevention programmes can also meet these challenges.

For children who are at high risk of bullying, whether as victims or offenders, it may be desirable to provide intensive one-on-one strategies that involve home visits, counselling and skills development for both children and parents.
The whole school climate should welcome girls and all children who may be considered different because of their known, suspected or alleged sexuality. Schools should be safe and comfortable places, and all children encouraged to take full advantage of all opportunities for cognitive and emotional development. To do this, sexual and gender-based underpinnings of violence must be addressed directly, providing children with an understanding of the issues, attitudes, and a grasp of the skills to avoid it or cope with violence when it occurs.

The concern that sexual coercion and abuse put girls and young women at extremely high risk of HIV infection has given rise to many different responses globally, especially in Southern Africa. Some of the initiatives by international NGOs extend beyond schools. For example, ActionAid’s ‘Stepping Stones’ training programme aims to prevent HIV transmission and, in doing so, addresses related sexual and gender-based violence in schools and educational settings.
gender-based violence in hundreds of communities in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean. Parallel workshops are held for males and females in order to provide safe and supportive environments in which both can feel comfortable talking about sensitive issues, but participants from male and female workshops also come together periodically to share concerns and insights. Themes include “why do we behave as we do” and “how can we change.” Results have included safer sexual behaviour, reduced domestic violence, more sharing of household tasks by men, improved communications between couples and parents and children, especially about sensitive issues that pertain to sexual health.

In Ethiopia, communities have taken the initiative in establishing Girls’ Education Advisory Committees (GEACs) that address the whole problem of providing girls with access to education of a high standard. GEACs have established Girls’ Clubs that serve as safe places for girls to talk, encourage them to report problems about harassment and abuse. Other GEAC initiatives include disciplinary committees to hold teachers accountable, ‘police’ to protect girls on their way to and from school; constructing separate latrines for girls; insisting on female teachers in schools; training boys and girls on how to treat each other respectfully; providing counselling for girls in safe places; and enlisting religious and clan leaders to stop abductions and child marriages. As a result, in one primary school the dropout rate for girls fell from 57% to 19%.

**CURRICULUM: WHAT SHOULD BE TAUGHT AND HOW?**

The curriculum, teaching methods and the whole school climate should teach, illustrate and reinforce the principles of gender equality, human rights and non-violence and the skills to apply these principles in day-to-day life. They should also serve to increase children’s resilience, so they can cope with violence and recover when it occurs.

Traditionally, school curriculum has been very ‘content’ focussed (what), with less attention paid to learning skills and processes (how), such as inquiry, problem-solving, and decision-making. There is increasing recognition that, in a rapidly changing world, it is necessary to teach children the how of constructive human behaviour so that they can protect themselves from harm, and take action to avoid harm to others. This kind of education is often called ‘life skills-based education’.

Life skills-based education should be part of a package that includes education on the principles of gender equality, human rights and non-violence and how and why these principles have evolved and can be put into practice. This may involve dealing with highly personal and sensitive issues relating to the unique characteristics of each child, that child’s family background, religious and cultural traditions, and subjects that were once considered taboo in discussions involving children. Dealing with such issues requires development not only of the curriculum but of appropriate teaching methods. This involves going beyond traditional rote learning to encouraging children to ask ques-
tions, including of their teachers, to relate their own experiences, and to express their own feelings and ideas as part of the learning process. For example, in South Africa, the Curriculum 2005 programme emphasises flexible teaching methods that encourage and accommodate children’s active participation in learning experiences and helps them develop critical thinking skills.213

From 1998 to 2004, the Special Rapporteur on the Right to Education collaborated with UNESCO to produce the Manual on Rights-based Education: Global Human Rights Requirements Made Simple.214 It states that the child has both a right to education and rights in education. This means that schools have to protect the rights of their students, and also teach them how to respect the rights of others. The rights-based approach to education makes education the very foundation of the long-term campaign against violence of all kinds, including violence against children. Children raised in schools free of violence and taught to respect the rights of everyone to live in violence-free environments are the best hope for a violence-free future.

In the USA, for example, an NGO called The Committee for Children has developed three sets of curricula – Second Step, Steps to Respect and Talking about Touching – designed to give children the knowledge and skills necessary to prevent bullying, sexual abuse and other forms of violence. These sets aim to help children stand up for themselves, talk rather than fight, and think about issues from others’ perspectives. A 1997 assessment of the impacts of Second Step in more than 10,000 elementary schools in Canada and the USA found that it had decreased aggressive behaviour and increased positive social behaviour in classrooms, playgrounds and cafeterias.215

Some sensitive issues might best be addressed in a wider context. When asked their opinion about how best to address sexual abuse, for example, children in Canada, Columbia, Bangladesh, Brazil, Mozambique, Nepal, Nicaragua, Romania, Rwanda, South Africa, Spain, Syria, and Uganda said that the subject of sexual abuse should be raised in the context of learning about children’s rights and child protection, rather than singled out on its own.216,217 A feature of effective life skills-based education is that the teaching and learning methods are interactive and allow children to share their ideas. Effective life skills education often involves children in addressing real issues in their own schools and contributing to the development of policies and programmes.

**Creating Safe and Welcoming Physical Spaces**

A number of studies have asked children to map danger or safety zones in their schools and school playgrounds and these have pinpointed areas where girls feel unsafe, such as areas where boys congregate or where male teachers who sexually harass them have their classrooms.218,219,220 A study of a high school in Durban, South Africa, found that its spaces were extremely gendered. There were many private spaces for boys, where they were left alone and transgressions such as smoking were tolerated, and for male teachers, who declared
their spaces strictly off limits to female teachers and girls. The only private spaces for girls were the toilets, and their privacy was compromised by missing doors. Female teachers found it difficult to find spaces that were not policed, or intruded upon, by males.\textsuperscript{221}

Where a school is not welcoming or visually appealing, it is more difficult to build staff morale and help children develop a positive outlook towards learning. Improving schools does not necessarily require significant expenditure of money, and can be done also as an extra-curricular activity involving school staff, students, parents and others in the community. In low-income areas of rural India, for example, staff and students have worked together to redecorate classrooms and develop school gardens using the simplest of materials at hand, though they have found that this works best when schools are secured and protected from vandalism.\textsuperscript{222}

**RESEARCH AND EVALUATION**

All functioning education systems have mechanism that gather data, down to the individual school level, and many have regular inspections that provide additional opportunities for gathering data. The quantity and quality of this data vary widely, however, and rarely provide sufficient basis for making even the most approximate estimates of the prevalence of different forms of violence in schools and how the prevalence may be increasing or decreasing over time. One reason for this is that most schools have no staff trained in data collection and analysis, and the same is true of most local and district school authorities and some national school authorities. Since such data are essential in order to evaluate interventions and whether they are contributing to reductions in violence, it is highly recommended that district school authorities develop their capacity to collect, analyse and report data for monitoring and evaluation purposes.

Agreed standards, universally accepted definitions and classifications of different forms of violence in schools are needed, but local issues should be integrated into these as well. There are models for such definitions and classifications, including the *International Classification of External Causes of Injury*.\textsuperscript{223} There are also *Injury Surveillance Guidelines* that would help any education authority at national, district or at school level, to develop its own definitions and classifications and, also, simple forms and mechanisms for gathering, analysing and reporting data.\textsuperscript{224}

The most widely applied instruments for gathering global and national data on violence in schools are the GSHS,\textsuperscript{225} covering an increasing number of developing countries, and the HBSC study, covering mostly industrialised countries and some transitional countries.\textsuperscript{226} Other existing instruments are Demographic and Health Surveys and similar surveys periodically undertaken by ministries of health (and other sectors) in order to determine, for example, the prevalence of HIV infection and behaviour that may contribute to infection.

Monitoring and evaluation will help to identify which interventions work best and how interventions might be improved. Also needed
are more in-depth studies into particular forms of violence and the links between different forms of violence, their risk and protective factors, and the effectiveness of intervention programmes.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Education is a key agent of change capable of breaking the cycle of violence, not just against children but among adults, too. It can encourage children to learn self-respect, respect for others and how to express their feelings and negotiate for what they want without resort to physical or psychological violence.

The following recommendations are intended to support Governments, education authorities, school heads, teachers, students, parents and communities as they seek to create non-violent schools. The recommendations are guided by the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, and informed by Regional Consultations for the Study, expert inputs, public submissions, and an evidence-based understanding of the causes of violence and its prevention, including its virtually universal link with gender. The recommendations are designed to ensure that education methods, curricula, programmes and services uphold the norms established by the CRC, and that their design and implementation are consistent with social and cultural diversity, as well as economic and practical realities.

Prioritise prevention

1. Ensure universal access to violence-free learning environments, where the rights of all children are respected and promoted. Consistent with the global call for ‘Education for All’ (i.e. EFA), Governments must ensure that primary and secondary schools are rights-based, and offer safe and healthy, gender-sensitive, inclu-
Violence against children in schools and educational settings for girls and boys. Promoting non-violence in and beyond the school should be a clear goal with policies and well-publicised procedures for enforcement.

2. **Prohibit violence in schools.** Governments have the obligation to explicitly prohibit violence against children by law, and to ensure the implementation of related policies and procedures at the school level – specifically putting a stop to corporal punishment and other humiliating or degrading treatment, bullying and other sexual and gender-based violence.

3. **Prevent violence in schools with specific programmes which address the whole school environment.** Governments should implement violence prevention programmes comprehensively across the education setting for all staff and students, while being sensitive to the special needs of vulnerable children.

4. **Prioritise attention to gender issues and their links with violence.** Governments must acknowledge the pervasive impact of entrenched gender stereotypes on the nature of violence in and around schools. Male students, staff and community members, must be actively encouraged as strategic partners and allies; and along with female students, staff and community members, must be provided with opportunities to increase their understanding of how to stop gender discrimination and its violent manifestations.

5. **Give special attention to vulnerable groups.** Governments must implement specific strategies to ensure that the special needs of vulnerable children are addressed, and that discrimination in particular is stopped. Staff must understand and be able to systematically respond to the situation and particular risks experienced by minority groups, children with disabilities, children without parental care or affected by HIV, or children reintegrating into school communities such as refugee and displaced children, or former child soldiers.

6. **Provide safe physical spaces.** Governments should ensure that safe physical spaces are provided to ensure that both girls and boys have equal access to facilities and can participate fully in school life. Schools must have adequate toilet facilities for girls and boys. All facilities must be clean and safe, accessible by girls and boys, and free of negative interference from the community.

**Build capacity**

7. **Establish and implement codes of conduct reflecting child rights principles.** Clear codes of conduct reflecting child rights principles, which are harmonised with the law, should be established and promoted widely for all staff, students and their families and communities. It is the Government’s responsibility to put in place mechanisms and protocols to ensure that schools have trained and trusted adults, within or independent of the school, to whom students can safely and confidentially report incidents of violence and receive advice.
8. **Ensure that school heads and teachers use non-violent teaching and learning strategies and disciplinary measures.** Governments should ensure that teaching and learning strategies and disciplinary measures are used that are not based on fear, threat, humiliation or physical force. All school staff should be trained and supported in the use of non-violent and respectful classroom management strategies, as well as specific skills to prevent patterns of bullying and other gender-based violence and to respond to it effectively.

9. **Listen to students and encourage participation.** Governments and their partners should actively promote and support the involvement of students in the design, development, implementation, and monitoring of policies and programmes, including through access to confidential complaints or reporting mechanisms. Participatory, gender-sensitive, and inclusive school management structures should be promoted and students should be equipped with the necessary skills and given opportunities to be involved, with special attention given to the participation of vulnerable children.

**Strengthen knowledge and skills for non-violence**

10. **Revise the curriculum to model non-violence and gender equity.** Governments should ensure that the curriculum, textbooks and teaching methods promote child rights, support diversity and indigenous knowledge, and emphasise tolerance, respect, equity, non-discrimination, and non-violent conflict resolution.

11. **Implement life skills education to enable students to build personal skills.** Governments should ensure that rights-based life skills programmes for non-violence should be promoted in the curriculum through subjects such as peace education, citizenship education, anti-bullying, human rights education, and conflict resolution and mediation; with emphasis placed on child rights and positive values such as diversity and tolerance, and on skills such as problem-solving, social and effective communication, in order to enable girls and boys to overcome entrenched gender biases and to prevent and deal with violence and harassment, including sexual harassment.

12. **Promote school–community partnerships, and present schools as a resource to the community.** Governments should acknowledge the school as a community resource and facilitate closer school–community linkages to address violence in and around schools, involving students, staff, parents and other partners such as police, health services, social services, faith-based groups, community recreation groups, and cultural groups.

**Build information systems**

13. **Strengthen data collection systems on all forms of violence against girls and boys.** Data collected should ensure that the views of students and potential stu-
violence against children in schools and educational settings. Students are considered along with those of teachers, parents, and the wider community, with a special focus on the experiences of vulnerable children. Governments should ensure that the information yielded should be disaggregated by age and sex at a minimum, and should be incorporated into existing education management information systems established at local, district and national levels.

14. Develop a national research agenda on violence in and around schools. Governments should put in place a set of national priorities for research that can supplement data collection systems (described above) with in-depth qualitative and quantitative research that is ethical and child-centred. Data must be disaggregated to make visible the scale and scope of the experiences of girls and boys of different ages related to violence and overcoming it, their situations, and to make their risk and protective factors more evident.
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PAKISTAN, 2006. (Left-right) Raz Mohammed, 10; Farooq, 8; and Musa, 12, sit on a pile of garbage in Quetta, capital of the southern province of Balochistan. They live on the streets and scavenge for food and items to sell.
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INTRODUCTION

Of all the settings where children are exposed to violence, the workplace is among the most difficult to address. According to international instruments and most national laws, children under a certain age (usually 15 but sometimes lower; see Table 6.1) should not be in the workplace at all, let alone in a workplace where they may be subject to violence. Yet well over 200 million children and adolescents do work, at ages both below and above the minimum legal age,¹ and many suffer ill-treatment, physical and psychological violence, verbal or sexual abuse. Violence is a neglected aspect of debates on child labour; there are little data available, especially for child workers in the informal economy where the majority are to be found. Although specific studies are few, a growing body of information from organisations and from children themselves presents a convincing picture of an acute global problem. Violence towards working children has only remained ‘invisible’ because the direct question is rarely posed: for example, participants in the Study’s South Asia consultation noted that data are systematically collected on violence against female and other workers, but child workers are ignored. They also commented that values and perceptions have served to minimise and even undermine the issue of workplace violence against children – a comment that applies equally elsewhere.

Addressing violence has also been largely absent from explicit efforts to eliminate child labour, or to reduce its harmful and negative aspects for those young people who are working legally. This is surprising, given that the image of child labour derives from the brutalities suffered by children in 19th century factories and mines.² Overt or implied violence was integral to the view of workplace abuse of children informing early campaigns against child labour. The effects on children’s physical growth and well-being were among the most potent arguments for its elimination.

The most obvious response to violence against children in the workplace is to remove them from it. However, it is important to recognise that for many children this is not an easy option. Programmes to remove children from violent workplaces have to ensure that the reasons they are there – economic, social and cultural – are simultaneously addressed, or they will simply return to them. Unless programmes engage with child workers and their families and seek to change attitudes, expectations and prospects, they are not likely to remove children permanently from an exploitative working life.³,⁴,⁵,⁶

It is also important not to further violate the well-being of children who work by penalising or criminalising them for circumstances beyond their control (see also the chapters on violence against children in the community and in care and justice institutions).⁷ The reduction of violence against children in the workplace is not achieved by inflicting official violence on them, and deepening the stigmatisation they already endure. Young workers have themselves made a contribution, through Study Consultations, to an understanding of
the violence they suffer. They report losing their self-esteem through being denigrated in the workplace, and feel similarly denigrated when their work is treated as something evil to be abolished, ignoring their sincere attempts to contribute to family survival.⁸

Despite 150 years of experience in responding to exploitative and abusive child labour and the significant progress made in recent years in finding ways to remove children from the worst forms of child labour, experience in responding specifically to violence in working children’s lives is limited. This chapter looks at existing information and programmes in an attempt to open a new window on the working child phenomenon.

| **HUMAN RIGHTS INSTRUMENTS** |

Standards to regulate child labour were the very earliest international instruments put in place concerning child protection. A Minimum Age (Industry) Convention was first adopted in 1919 by the newly formed International Labour Organization (ILO), at a time when the employment of children was regarded as a major social issue in Europe and North America.⁹ The involvement of children in abusive, forced or violent types of workplace was also recognised and addressed by the Forced Labour Conventions No. 29 (1930), and No. 105 (1957); and in the 1956 Supplementary Convention on Slavery, which prohibits any practice whereby a child is given or sold by his/her parents to someone else for the purposes of labour or exploitation (article 1.d).

During the immediate post-colonisation era, the child well-being issues which mainly preoccupied international organisations and newly-independent Governments were public health and education. In 1973, the ILO adopted the Minimum Age Convention No. 138 (1973), which put under-age labour back onto the international agenda. This addressed the issue from the perspective of minimum age of employment of children and young people in different types of work with some flexibility according to countries’ different stages of development.
TABLE 6.1 – Minimum ages according to ILO Convention No. 138

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY OF WORK</th>
<th>IN GENERAL</th>
<th>WHERE THE ECONOMY AND EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES ARE INSUFFICIENTLY DEVELOPED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General minimum wage</td>
<td>Not less than the age of completion of compulsory schooling, and in any case not less than 15 years</td>
<td>Not less than 14 years for an initial period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light work</td>
<td>13 years</td>
<td>12 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous work</td>
<td>18 years (16 years under certain strict conditions)</td>
<td>18 years (16 years under certain strict conditions)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


During the 1980s, the protection of ‘children in especially difficult circumstances’, including children suffering from exploitation, came to the fore. This was due to the emphasis by NGOs on these issues, and the impetus they gave to the articulation of the 1989 UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC). Although the Convention later took in the child survival and development – or public health – agenda, the main driver was child protection; thus the vision of child rights established in the CRC fully embraced the need to protect children from exploitative work of all kinds.

**Exploitative work and the Convention on the Rights of the Child**

A specific article in the CRC is devoted to work (article 32). This recognises “the right of the child to be protected from economic exploitation and from performing any work that is likely to be hazardous or to interfere with the child’s education, or to be harmful to the child’s health or physical, mental, spiritual, moral or social development.” The article goes on to oblige States to “provide for a minimum age or minimum ages for admission to employment” and other key aspects of a regulatory regime. Other articles
that relate to the exploitation of child labour include article 34 (protection from sexual exploitation); article 35 (protection from trafficking); and article 36 (protection against all other forms of exploitation).

However, the notion of child protection in the CRC goes well beyond non-exploitation. Within its holistic framework for the upbringing, well-being and development of the child, the CRC covers a number of rights potentially at risk in cases where children work. These include article 2 (protection against discrimination); article 3 (primary consideration given to ‘the best interests of the child’); and article 8, the right to identity (working children may be denied the use of their own name). There are also rights to access to health (article 24), education (article 28), and recreation (article 29), which the working child frequently does not enjoy.

Certain CRC articles apply to specifics of the working situation, especially when a child is working away from home and is under the control of some adult other than the parents. These include article 19 (protection from all forms of physical or mental violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment); article 27 (the right to an adequate standard of living); and article 37 (protection from torture, cruel and degrading treatment and arbitrary deprivation of liberty). Finally, the rights relating to civic participation apply to working children as to others: article 12 (the right to be consulted); article 13 (the right to seek and impart information and views); and article 15 (the right to freedom of association).

Post-CRC developments


In 1999, the ILO adopted Convention No. 182, the Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention, targeting the most hazardous and exploitative situations in which children are found.
The purpose of the new Convention was to strengthen the international legal framework for action by focusing more widely than on minimum age of employment. With its passage, the international profile of activity to tackle child labour reached an unprecedented level. The concept of ‘worst forms’ helped establish global priorities, and directed attention to the impacts of work on children as well as on the type of work they perform. A ‘worst form’ is not occupationally defined internationally, but by participating countries for their own context. However, some ‘unconditional worst forms’ are identified as universally outlawed.

Taken together, Conventions Nos 138 and 182 set the boundaries of the types of work that are unacceptable under international standards. These standards express the consensus view that work which falls within the legal limits and does not interfere with the child’s health and development or prejudice their schooling can be a positive experience. Child labour proscribed under international law falls into three categories:

- Unconditional worst forms of child labour, which are internationally defined as slavery, trafficking, debt bondage and other forms of forced labour, forced recruitment of children for use in armed conflict, prostitution and pornography, and illicit activities.
- Work that jeopardises the physical, mental or moral well-being of a child, either because of its nature or because of the conditions in which it is carried out, known as ‘hazardous work’, which should be defined at the national level and prohibited for all children under 18 years; hazardous work is defined as work “which exposes children to physical, psychological or sexual abuse.”
- Work that is performed by a child who is under the minimum age specified for that kind of work, and which is thus likely to impede the child’s education and full development. The minimum working age should not be lower than the age for completing compulsory schooling, and normally at least 15 years. However, light work that is not harmful for the child and which does not hinder education may be allowed from 13 years onwards, but only for a limited number of hours.

The adoption of Convention No. 182 created a consensus among international bodies and NGOs on priorities for ending child labour, beginning with the worst forms. Additional international concern with child sexual exploitation led to two further
BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

Children have always worked. Many forms of child work are a natural part of growing up; traditionally, the child assumed domestic working responsibilities – carrying water, minding siblings, herding goats, helping parents at the loom or spindle – at the earliest practicable age. These activities fused with ‘work’ in its economic sense as the child grew older. Many children in the world today still work in family-based activities as part of the informal economy. Some of this work contains risk of violence. It may involve children taking animals to pasture far from home and being exposed to marauders; it may be associated with seasonal migration for agricultural work, when children accompany their families and work in settings where conditions are extremely tough. It may involve them leaving home for a precarious and unsafe life in town.

In some places and among certain classes, castes or ethnic groups, parents place greater value on children being employed in economic activities than going to school; this attitude is particularly likely where the quality and relevance of the available schooling is low. In settings with a strong social hierarchy, it may be normal for children from a disadvantaged background to be assigned to work for better-off families at an early age. Children in such societies are at the very bottom of the pecking order. They are seen as the property of the family or employer, and do what they are told.

THE EMERGENCE OF CHILD LABOUR AS A POLICY CONCERN

The issue of child labour has had a particular genesis as a public policy concern. Until the industrial revolution of the 19th century, work was seen as being the lot of poor children from an early age. As in much of the developing world today, children made a working contribution to the family economy. Child labour became a public issue when large numbers of children left the relatively protected environment of the family or family workshop, and became exposed to hazardous conditions in factories and mines.
Though competing interpretations have been proposed by historians for the decline of child labour in the industrialisation process of the 19th and 20th centuries, an important factor was the changing ideology regarding childhood. In 19th-century Europe, child labour began to be viewed as wrong because it violated the notion that children had a right to childhood, and that the State should protect that right. For the first time came the public assertion that children had the right not to work, and not to be exposed to dangers, exploitation and abuse in the ‘new’ – organised and industrialised – workplace.

In responding to what had become the notorious phenomenon of ‘child labour’, social reformers put in place a number of interventions which remain in the contemporary portfolio: public inquiries, minimum age legislation, compulsory education, labour inspectorates, and providing education for working children. The modern campaign has had to take into account a rather different process of industrialisation, and the fact that childhood in many developing societies is constructed differently than in westernised settings, with continuing expectations that children should help shoulder family needs and responsibilities at an early age.

THE CONTEMPORARY CAMPAIGN AGAINST CHILD LABOUR

The contemporary drive against child labour has been accompanied by international, academic and NGO attempts to enhance understanding of its dynamics and remedies – although violence as a specific issue has been neglected. The information generated enables a picture to be drawn of occupations and workplaces where violence can be assumed to be more or less likely to occur.

Global estimates indicate that the vast majority of children’s work (69%) is in the agricultural sector, followed by 22% in services and 9% in industry (see Figure 6.1).

Many child workers operate within a family setting, and the informal economy harbours most child workers across all sectors. Gender plays a significant role in determining the different types of work done by boys and girls; for example, girls predominate in domestic labour, while boys are heavily represented in mining and quarrying.

Although analysis of sending factors shows some cultural drivers, the predominant reason
why children work is ‘poverty’; this poverty may have been exacerbated by family tragedy such as the loss of parents, or other economic shock. Children below minimum working age often state that they are working because of their own or their families’ poverty, and children do more work in poorer societies.\textsuperscript{18} Sending their children to work instead of to school can be seen as part of a ‘Faustian bargain’ that poor parents make for immediate economic gain.\textsuperscript{19} Their children’s prospects in life, which depend on having an education, matter less than immediate family survival.

If a child goes out to earn because of acute family need, anxieties about personal safety will be overridden. By definition, the environments in which under-age children are sent to work are unsupportive of child rights; thus protection from physical, psychological or sexual violence (and other forms of harm) is often ignored. Since the main thrust of child labour campaigns and programmes has been to remove children from such workplaces for child developmental reasons, addressing the violence in them specifically has not up to now been a key preoccupation, except in the case of sexual exploitation and other forms of work where the occupation is itself abusive. However, this may not always be the main source of workplace violence.

\textbf{Conflict, HIV/AIDS, and economic globalisation}

Many challenges have emerged in recent years to compound the problem of child labour and workplace risk. In sub-Saharan Africa, in post-conflict countries such as the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Liberia, Rwanda, and Sierra Leone, the increased presence of children on the streets and open spaces trying to secure the wherewithal to eat is the outcome of economic devastation (see the chapter on violence against children in the community).\textsuperscript{20}

Another source of household economic ruin is the HIV/AIDS epidemic; in Eastern and Southern Africa, the loss of parents to AIDS has pushed many children into the informal economy in order to survive. In Ethiopia, the United Republic of Tanzania and Zambia, ILO/IPEC found a strong co-relation between the epidemic and the entry of orphaned children into occupations associated with violence such as domestic work, quarrying and prostitution.\textsuperscript{21} In Zambia, 47% of children (average age 15 years) selling sex for money had lost both father and mother, and 24% had lost one parent.\textsuperscript{22}

Though globalisation’s specific effects on child labour are little researched, there are strong
indications from anecdotal reports that these are widespread and mainly negative. The increasing informalisation of labour in most regions of the world has seen the growing presence of all unskilled working people, especially young female workers, in precarious, vulnerable and low-earning activities. Organisations involved with children working on the streets report that numbers are rising, although reliable statistics are few.

Economic crises, such as those facing the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) in their transition to market economies, are forcing many children into street work and sexual exploitation as a means of survival, and fuelling a growing trafficking industry that often brutalises its victims. This picture is repeated in a number of regions, notably East and South Asia and Europe. However, data specifically on violence are difficult to find.

The importance of a child-centred perspective

Since the adoption of the CRC, the importance of a rights-based child-centred perspective on the phenomenon of working children is now more widely recognised and its implications better understood. The views of children as an input to projects for the elimination of child labour have been more actively and systematically sought. This not only meets their right to participation, but has a practical purpose in that programmes which respect the views of working children and acknowledge choices they have made in their lives are more likely to attain their objectives than those where children and their families are seen as passive recipients of interventions. Greater familiarity with children’s working environments has provided a window onto the brutality and callousness many young workers face.

As a consequence of their life experience, working children often have a powerful sense of their own will and capacities; hence the existence of working children’s organisations in Africa, Asia and Latin America, which undertake programming and advocacy activity to fulfil their own agendas, including leaving exploitative and violent work. Other programmes to end child labour have helped develop groups in which working children participate, and which support their efforts to leave the workplace and gain education. The views of working children on harmful work tend to coincide with those of adults, and with those expressed in international conventions. They oppose the exploitation of children, work that inhibits education, work that involves long hours for little pay, forced labour, and work that endangers health or morals. They strongly oppose physical, sexual and psychological abuse of children in the workplace.

NATURE AND EXTENT OF THE PROBLEM

Much of what is known about violence in the organised workplace is derived from studies in industrialised countries and among adult workers, where the phenomenon appears to be on the increase. There has been a tendency to focus on physical violence. Only recently has the analytical perspective been extended to embrace verbal, psychological and sexual violence, including
sexual harassment, bullying or mobbing (ganging-up on and intimidating another worker).\textsuperscript{27}

\textbf{THE EXISTING KNOWLEDGE BASE}

Prevalence statistics on violence according to worker age group are lacking. In the regulated workplace where young workers are legally employed, safety codes and standards apply. However, these may not adequately protect young workers. They may be reluctant to report violence against them. Where employment is under-age and illegal, incidents are even less likely to be reported.

Child labour surveys may not be conducted in such a way as to elicit the reality of the violence under-aged workers experience.\textsuperscript{28} In Cambodia, in a 2002 survey of working children, only 0.5\% of the children indicated that they were exposed to mental and physical abuse. This contrasted with statements made at a 1997 workshop where the children reported widespread employer violence, including severe beatings, sexual abuse and electric shocks.\textsuperscript{29} This example illustrates how hard accurate information is to obtain.

Where children are exploited in the informal sector, the knowledge base is more severely lacking while risks are greatly enhanced. NGOs and human rights organisations have undertaken qualitative surveys among relatively small groups of child workers in ‘dangerous’ or violence-prone occupations. But child workplaces are notoriously difficult to research. The Indian Government is rare in having made an effort to identify workplace violence and abuse perpetrated on children, and comments that most of it occurs in the informal workplace where regulation is non-existent and solid data is lacking.\textsuperscript{30}

\textbf{Types of workplace violence}

The most common forms of violence against children in the workplace are:

- Physical violence, including beatings, kicking, slapping, whipping, scalding and in extreme cases, even murder;
- Psychological (emotional) violence including shouting, scolding, insults, threats, obscene language, bullying, mobbing, isolation, marginalisation, repeated discriminatory treatment;
- Sexual violence, including sexual harassment, fondling and rape.

The most frequent harm to working children’s well-being from the violence they experience appears to be low self-esteem resulting from verbal abuse, humiliation and bullying.\textsuperscript{31} Children frequently complain of the lack of respect in the way they are treated; in one study in Bangladesh, 84\% of working children complained of insults and other hurtful words.\textsuperscript{32} The violence working children experience is often systematic and part of a collective workplace culture of physical brutality, shouting, bad language, and casual violence including sexual taunts.

\textbf{Child labour statistics}

New global estimates published by the ILO in 2006 indicated that, in 2004, 218 million children were involved in child labour. Of these, more than 60\% (126 million) were deemed to
be in ‘hazardous work’. In the age group 5–14 years, 74 million children were working in hazardous situations. Between the ages 5 and 11, boys and girls tend to be equally represented in both child labour generally and hazardous labour more specifically. At older ages, boys are more involved than girls (see Figure 6.2). The overall trends show a reduction in child labour, especially in hazardous work.

Hazardous work makes up the majority of the worst forms of child labour, and is not permitted for any child under 18 years of age according to ILO Convention No. 182; nor are ‘unconditional’ worst forms of child labour, such as bonded labour, forced labour, child prostitution, or engagement in fighting forces. Some 8.4 million children are estimated to be employed in these forms. Of these, 1.2 million are victims of trafficking; 5.7 million are in forced or bonded labour; 300,000 children are involved in fighting forces; 1.8 million in prostitution and pornography; and 600,000 in illicit activities such as drug trafficking.

**FIGURE 6.2**

Children in child labour and in hazardous work by age and sex in 2004

CHILDREN IN CERTAIN HIGH-RISK WORK SETTINGS

Among workers in informal employment, certain occupations in which violence is a particular risk as a result of personal, workplace and/or environmental factors require special examination. Children whose occupations are on the street or in other ‘open’ locations are not specifically examined here, because their major risk is less often occupational than the result of being beyond the safety of a protected space. (See the chapter on violence against children in the community).

Child domestic labourers

The taking-in of children from other households to perform domestic chores has long been seen in many societies as a form of surrogacy, adoption or assisting a child from a less fortunate family. In West Africa, there is a tradition of children migrating from rural to urban areas to work for others as part of their upbringing. In most of sub-Saharan Africa, exchanges of children between couples within the extended family used to be standard forms of mutual support.

Today, such practices have become increasingly commercialised. Millions of children live in the households of others at ever further distances from home, and undertake domestic work as ‘helpers’ or employees. Although a small proportion are boys, domestic work is normally consigned to females and is the largest employment category of girls under 16 years in the world. Recruiters and traffickers in many regions supply rural girls from disadvantaged groups, depressed areas, and neighbouring countries to feed urban demand for domestic help in countries all over the world. Increasingly, this has become a form of unregulated employment and exploitation, even of servitude. This picture is confirmed by several recent studies pointing to the extreme vulnerability of child domestic labourers.

The situation of child domestic labourers is usually considered by their parents to be safe since the child may live in better accommodation than at home, may eat better, and is under the care of the employers and family members. However, the closed nature of the household, the child’s isolation and the ‘invisible’ nature of the employment puts a child at considerable risk. Children in domestic labour are at the mercy of the employer and other household members. Child domestics describe the relationship as often starting well, but later becoming intolerant and abusive. Where social stigma towards lower-status groups is entrenched, a female employer may behave with impunity, subjecting her ‘girl’ or ‘boy’ to impossible demands, extreme forms of physical punishment and serious violence. At the same time, girls in domestic labour may be preyed on sexually by the men of the household.

Violence of all kinds is common

Violent and abusive behaviour towards child domestics is much more common than realised; this form of child labour first came to the attention of child labour activists a decade ago, and in several countries has been designated a ‘worst form’ of child labour under ILO Convention No. 182. It includes extreme overwork (16-hour days are not uncommon);
beating, whipping, pulling hair, scalding with water or an iron, and denial of food. The psychological violence includes shouting, name-calling, insults, threats, and obscene language. The girls are often subjected to sexual harassment, and sometimes rape; pregnancy may also lead to their ejection onto the street by the employer. Physical health consequences can also arise from lack of food, and failure to look after injuries or treat sickness.

Consultations with children in domestic labour have revealed these high levels of violence. In the Philippines and Peru, almost all child domestic workers reported that they had suffered maltreatment consisting of physical punishment, discrimination, humiliation and sexual harassment. In Fiji, eight out of 10 domestic workers reported that their employers had sexually abused them. Research in El Salvador found that 66% of girls in domestic service reported having been abused, and that the threat of sexual advances from employers or visitors was always present. In a local study in the United Republic of Tanzania, 43% of child domestic labourers reported being beaten, insulted, denied food, fined for damages, or forced to remain out of doors. In Nepal, children frequently start work at the age of 8 or 10, and if the employer becomes annoyed with the girl and abusive towards her, she may arbitrarily transfer the girl to another household. Such children lose touch with their families entirely.

Isolation and psychological distress

One of the most painful experiences for child domestic labourers is that of being consistently treated as an inferior being; of having no friends or social peers, not being able to play even with the employer’s children. Child domestics are often referred to by a derogatory label or denied the use of their own name. In Haiti, the term ‘restavèk’ (‘stay withs’) has come to mean someone unwanted, and is often used as an insult; a restavèk girl may also be called ‘la pou sa’, or ‘there for that’, meaning available for sex. These experiences leave children with deep feelings of inferiority and chronically low self-esteem.

For girls who are dismissed or fall pregnant and are excluded from the household the street and prostitution are likely, as few other options are available. Lack of resources, lack of knowledge about how to travel or where to go, and the shame of being ‘spoiled’ prevent them from returning home. A report from the United Republic of Tanzania found that girls arriving in support centres for sexually exploited children had been thrown out of homes where they were working as domestics and had been sexually abused and ended up on the streets; some were as young as 10 years old.

Children exploited in the sex industry

The exploitation of children under 18 in prostitution, in child and adolescent pornography or sex shows, constitutes prima facie violence against them. Making children perform sexual acts or acts with sexual overtones, or providing a child to someone else to use in any sexual way, is a violation of child rights and criminalised throughout the world. Children of working age have themselves condemned this form of earning, speaking of the sex trade as a criminal activity, not to be confused with other types of work.
Although statistics relating to the number of children used in prostitution are broad estimates and all statistics concerning prostitution should be treated with caution, around one million children are thought to enter prostitution every year. A 13-country study by Save the Children suggests that child sexual exploitation is increasing, with evidence of growing criminal activities related to trafficking of children for sexual purposes, exploitation by tourists and travellers, and pornography and Internet-related crimes. In South and East Asia, around one-third of those used in prostitution are thought to be under 18; this is, a sector where youth are often in demand, and one in which girls appear to be entering at an ever younger age, often via work in bars and massage parlours. The average age of girls from Nepal entering the sex trade dropped from 14–16 years in the 1980s to 12–14 in the 1990s.

As in the case of child domestic labour, some girls enter prostitution because traffickers and recruiting agents promise golden opportunities. In West Africa, from Senegal to Nigeria, thousands of children from destitute families are reportedly sent to the Middle East each year, many ending up in prostitution. The trafficking itself may include violence. According to an investigator into the trade from Albania to Greece, children described ill-treatment such as being burned with cigarettes, slapped, insulted, and obliged to sleep outside.

Risk factors

A range of predisposing factors for child sexual exploitation have been identified: violence in the home and family, including sexual abuse from husbands of young married girls, who will not be accepted back by their parents, or expulsion from the school or workplace. The ways children enter prostitution are therefore intrinsically abusive, and include abandonment and extreme social stigma. Some children are born into the trade in brothel communities, or given to priests in ritual forms of sexual slavery, as in the case of devadasi in India and trokosi in Ghana. Disability can also be a risk factor. Some brothel proprietors in Thailand reportedly seek out girls who are deaf, calculating that they will be less able to protest or escape since they will not be able to communicate easily with customers or employers.

There is also widespread evidence from every region that many girls and boys sell sex on the street simply as a survival strategy in exchange for food, shelter or drugs. In South Africa, where 10,000–12,000 children have lost their caregivers to HIV/AIDS and are homeless and face stigma, the police estimate that 28,000 children are exploited in the sex industry; 25% of those used in prostitution in Cape Town are children.

Additional exposure to violence

The violence intrinsic to sexual exploitation is compounded by exposure to additional physical or psychological violence, to which the youngest are most vulnerable. According to an ILO/IPEC study in Vietnam, 12% of children used in prostitution said that they had been subjected to torture, beaten up by customers, or by employers/pimps for disobedience; also that they underwent repeated abor-
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“Forced and bonded labour

Children in forced and bonded labour represent two-thirds of children in the unconditional worst forms of child labour, which by a conservative estimate amount to 5.7 million children world-wide according to ILO. An unknown but significant proportion are victims of trafficking; most cases are in Asia, but the practice exists in all regions. Forced and bonded labour are classified as slavery according to the 1956 Supplementary Convention on Slavery, and although they are universally regarded as criminal, these cases are very rarely prosecuted.

The definition of forced labour comprises two basic elements: work or service exacted under the menace of a penalty, and work undertaken involuntarily. The menace or penalty can take many forms; its most extreme form involves physical violence or restraint, or even death threats addressed to the victim or relatives. There can also be a subtler form of menace, for example, threats to denounce illegal workers to the police, or tell village elders about girls forced to prostitute themselves in distant cities.

Bonded servitude

A bonded child works in conditions of servitude to pay off debts, which may have been passed down through generations. Normally, a child worker is bound to an employer or proprietor in return for a ‘loan’. In areas where the practice is common, workers are from socially inferior groups or castes, have no say over terms of any employment, and their families are excruciatingly poor. In this situation the
child is a commodity, and works in conditions tantamount to slavery.

Though bonded labour survives elsewhere, much of the problem is concentrated in South Asia. India, with its vast population, has the largest number of children in bonded labour (some estimates suggest that the figure may be as high as 15 million, mostly from the dalit or scheduled caste community). This practice has long been illegal, and since 1997, under a new Supreme Court injunction, there have been efforts to regulate it, bring perpetrators to justice, and rescue children from servitude.

These actions were inspired by strong local activism. Sector-specific surveys have found bonded child labour in small-scale mining, brick making, fish processing, gem cutting, carpet weaving, tanneries and fireworks production. Carpet-weaving manufacturers employ children in conditions of severe bondage, and coercion is often used for discipline and to curb protests. According to Human Rights Watch surveys conducted in the silk industry in Uttar Pradesh in 1996 and 2003, the level of violence suffered by children is high. They report that loom owners abuse the children on a routine basis; the children are often locked up, and their food is far from adequate.

A similar picture emerges in Pakistan. Feudal social structures give landowners power to exact labour from indebted families, and may ‘gift’ a bonded servant to another landlord. In Sindh, documentary evidence of murder, sexual assault, kidnapping and physical assault has been collected by human rights groups. In Nepal, bonded labour involves minority groups in the now outlawed kamaiya system in agriculture. A study which looked into this practice found that 30% of the children who had left their employer had done so because of ‘harassment or punishment’. In all such feudal settings, the writ of law or the assertion of rights are absent. Intimidation, physical punishment and verbal humiliation reinforce a deep sense of inferiority and powerlessness.

**Indigenous groups**

In Latin America, as elsewhere, high levels of forced labour are endured by indigenous peoples and their children, who typically also face high rates of poverty, discrimination and exclusion. People in remote areas are particularly susceptible to coercive recruitment and debt bondage due to the weak presence of the State. In remote parts of Brazil, children are cheaper to hire and considered more docile. They work in tree-logging, wood-cutting, sugar cane plantations, mining, distilleries, and coal production, all of which are dangerous. Since they have been invariably hired deceitfully, they are indebted and have no chance of returning home. The intolerable situation of children in small-scale gold mining in Madre de Dios, Peru, is well-known to human rights organisations and the authorities. Around 20% of the miners are 11–18 years old.

Vulnerability is enhanced by lack of official identity. Since they do not register births, indigenous people are invisible to national authorities and are therefore unable to denounce forced labour or seek redress. In Peru’s remote labour camps in the Amazon basin, 20,000 workers may be in forced labour, many accom-
panied by children who are expected to work for free. According to an ILO study of 19 countries in the Latin American region, nearly 10% of the total child and adolescent population aged 5 to 17 is working in worst forms of labour where violence is routine.

**Traditional forms of slavery**

Africa has the highest incidence of informal child work, and there is evidence to suggest that a higher proportion may be ‘forced’ than in other regions. However, coercion is mostly related to the importance attached to kinship and client-ship in economic relations, and to the fact that most people, especially in rural areas, do not make their living in an industrialised, monetised, or standard employer–worker economy. Distance from the modern economy is furthest in mountain, desert or semi-desert environments. Life is hard, and coping mechanisms for times of calamity well-honed. Kin and client relationships can be invoked to demand services from extended family members and those of lower status; these arrangements may be considered ‘natural’ and sanctioned by social and religious beliefs.

Abuse within or perversions of customary arrangements for the exploitation of children occurs in a few countries of Eastern Africa, such as Ethiopia; but is more extensive in the Sahelian countries where feudal forms of governance persist. Mauritania and Niger are the last countries in the world where regular slavery – direct ‘ownership’ of people, not by means of commercial trade, but by customary arrangement – continues, though nominally banned by law. These people are usually descendants of slaves, and their degree of actual ‘slavery’ is locally disputed and open to interpretation. However, a range of services can be required of slave descendants, including children, both in the household and in the fields; and they suffer severe limitations on their behaviour, rights, and entitlements.

Children in such families are the property of their masters and can be passed on from one owner to another as ‘gifts’. Girls start work very young and are at the beck and call of their masters, including sexually, and as bearers of additional slave children by designated partners. Slavery was only legislatively banned in Niger in 1999, and progress towards its elimination is slow. A survey conducted in 2004 by a local organisation established that around 43,000 men, women and children are still enslaved. Many of the 11,000 interviewees had been subjected to violence, rape, degrading treatment and threats. Humiliating punishments controlled every aspect of their lives, and prevented them from fleeing.

In African countries which have seen a collapse of governance and the rule of law, conditions arise in which forced labour can flourish, and children be abducted to provide working service of some kind. This has included sexual slavery to warlords.

**Illicit activities**

Every Regional Desk Review undertaken for the Study recorded the involvement of children in activities of a petty or serious criminal nature, mostly on the streets. The Caribbean
Regional Desk Review, for example, expresses concern about a ‘growing population’ of street children in countries like Jamaica and Haiti who become involved in illicit activities to earn money, including packaging and selling drugs, or acting as ‘look-outs’ for both police and criminals.98

In some countries of Europe and Central Asia, the growing presence of children working on the streets, and their involvement in illicit activities including petty theft, begging and prostitution has prompted similar concern.99 In Saint Petersburg, some 10–30% of working street children are involved in illicit activities such as trade in stolen goods and drug trafficking.100

In many parts of the world, police assume that children trying to earn money on the street are necessarily involved in illegal activity, adding to the violence in these children’s lives. In some countries, such children are legally defined as potentially deviant or criminalised as outcasts, and the police are entitled to arrest them.101 (Arbitrary and violent arrest for offences such as vagrancy is covered in the chapter on on violence against children in care and justice institutions.)

**Children involved in trading drugs**

The trade in drugs has become one of the most pervasive categories of illicit child labour. In this type of street-based work, violence or being on the end of violent behaviour is common, and drug trading also exposes children to risks of substance abuse and harm. A study in the two regions of Estonia where drug use among minors is common found that the majority of children involved in drug dealing or trafficking are users of cannabis, heroin or amphetamines themselves.102 Although not addicted when they start pushing drugs, they soon experiment with the products they are selling.

In Somalia, the trade in *khat*, a narcotic leaf, is openly practised and is one of the most profitable businesses in the country. Working in the *khat* trade is sought after by boys, because it is the highest-paying form of entrepreneurship.103 In the Philippines, a study found that nearly 20% of children involved in the drug trade were hurt or injured in the process, and 17% witnessed other children being physically punished.104 Reasons included losing the drugs, or failing to remit the money to the handler. About 25% of the children said that if they failed to perform well, the consequences would be beating, scolding or threats.

A study on children in the drug trade in Rio de Janeiro is one of the few extensive surveys on children in illegal activities.105 Since the 1980s, increasing numbers of children have become involved as the trade has become more open, more centred on cocaine, more established in the *favelas* (poorer city quarters), and more heavily armed. Children are drawn into the trade through social association with dealers on the street and through the lack of alternative opportunities. The rise in young people’s involvement is reflected by the dramatic rise in registered convictions (of under 18s) for drug dealing or trafficking – from 110 in 1980, to 1,584 in 2001. Inten-
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Violence against children in Places of Work rose significantly during this period (see also the chapter on violence against children in the community).  

FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO VIOLENCE

The ILO has developed a conceptual framework for analysing violence at work among the general worker population, viewing it as function of four interrelated factors:

- characteristics of the victims
- characteristics of the perpetrators
- factors in the working environment
- risks related to the environment external to the place of work.

Though not developed with child workers in mind, this framework is helpful in capturing a number of interactive features, including individual and social risk factors, the context in which certain types of work are performed, and individual and social outcomes, and workplace costs.

CHARACTERISTICS OF WORKERS AND PERPETRATORS

Children are by definition more vulnerable to violence than adult workers due to their generally small size, developmental immaturity, and state of dependence. The distance of the employer in terms of space, social and ethnic affiliation from the child’s background affects whether he or she feels responsibility for the young worker’s well-being. In addition, girls are more vulnerable to sexual violence – and children with disabilities are at elevated risk of violence. Children are also less likely than adults to physically resist violence or make complaints, making them easy targets. Young workers, whether they have attained the legal working age or not, are usually at the bottom of the workplace hierarchy.

Disability may also play a part in violence against working children. In many parts of the world, for example, there are frequent reports of children with visible disabilities (from congenital disfigurements to open wounds) being sent out to earn a living as beggars, often suffering physical violence if they do not earn enough during their ‘working day’. Such practices are not only painful, exploitative and humiliating for the children, but frequently contribute to worsening their disabilities and undermining their health.

The power relationship of employer over worker exacerbates risk; this power is absolute since the job is in the boss’s hands. Available information on recorded cases of workplace violence against children implies that most consists of violence inflicted by employers, since the majority of children work informally for ‘employers’, a term that includes anyone who has a controlling relationship over a working child. Perpetrators also include foremen, co-workers, customers, police, and criminal gangs. Vulnerability is enhanced where the working situation is isolated or illegal; children in the sex trade often fall under the control of pimps or brothel owners.

Traditional systems of apprenticeship – in the Caribbean, for example – can also be the...
context of abuse. However, apprenticeship of this kind may be the only path out of dire poverty for young workers trying to obtain skills in the informal economy. Where apprenticeship schemes exist in industrialised settings, there are also some reports of abuse. One study in France found that 16% of apprentices reported physical or verbal violence, and a European Union study in 2000 found that 2% of apprentices were victims of physical violence from fellow workers.

WORKPLACE CHARACTERISTICS

Risks related to the workplace itself vary according to whether the working activity takes place in an organised setting, such as a factory or an informal setting, such as a backroom enterprise; whether the workplace has special characteristics of remoteness or danger, such as mines, fishing, quarrying, and some types of agriculture; whether it consists of a closed environment such as a household; or whether it is part of an illegal activity, such as sexual exploitation or drug dealing. The most extreme examples of workplace violence against children occur within the worst forms of child labour. Children in unsafe working environments are at constant risk of violence. Such environments include glass factories, mining, and plantation agriculture, especially where health and safety regulations are lax or non-existent. Severe injuries can result from dangerous machinery, guns, accidents, toxic fumes and explosions. All such ill-effects affect young and growing bodies and minds more than those of adults. Reports from a range of countries of systemic workplace abuse against children include for example: inhumane conditions in the cotton industry and unsafe use of pesticides around agricultural workers, including children.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Where the environment is itself full of risk, children who work in open spaces are constantly exposed to violence. In some places, work in the service industries such as restaurants and shops may expose young workers to armed robbery or customer aggression. When working children feel constantly threatened by violence in the environment, they may also

SCAVENGING: A WORKPLACE FULL OF RISK

In many countries in Asia and Latin America, large numbers of under-age children work on garbage dumps as scavengers. In the Philippines, in Metro Manila’s Quezon City, thousands of children and their families make a living from dumpsites and live in satellite communities. In 2000, one of the garbage mountains collapsed under torrential rains, killing between 500 and 1,000 adults and children who were buried in the trash. Even on a daily basis, injury from truck manoeuvres or sharp objects is common, as is infection from rotting material.
learn violent behaviours themselves or become behaviourally disturbed, exhibiting sexual or personal aggression.  

Work on the street or in transport, shops, bars or hotels based in shanty-town areas known for their violence presents special risks to the children involved. Illicit activities such as trade in illegal goods are by their nature conducted in high-risk areas where criminal enterprises are part of the environment.

RESPONSES TO VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN IN PLACES OF WORK

Violence as a specific issue has not been given much attention by programmes concerning child labour. A global challenge that emerges from the Study, according to the Study’s Regional Consultation in the Caribbean, is to make workplace violence against children a more visible issue, and give it a higher priority with policy-makers. The Regional Consultation in South Asia similarly observed that the violence implicit in many working children’s situations has not been adequately noticed or challenged.

There is nonetheless experience in dealing with abuse and violence within many existing programmes related to child labour. Most such programmes are multi-pronged, addressing economic and cultural causes, the promotion of education and alternative occupations, social mobilisation around children’s needs and rights, and advocacy to change attitudes towards the acceptability of child labour. Within such programmes, the violence certain children experience in the workplace should be better researched and given more attention.

Appropriate responses will depend to a considerable extent on key differences concerning the nature of the work or workplace, and therefore of the violence within it. These can be grouped as follows:

- **Worst forms:** In the case where violence is an integral component of the hazardous and exploitative nature of the labour, covered under ILO Convention No. 182, children should not be in the workplace. Therefore, the principal response will be to enable them to leave it and take up a new course of life, with parental, social and State support. This requires a multi-pronged approach, including measures...
to combat poverty, promote education, and enforce labour regulations.\textsuperscript{124}

- **Work in informal settings:** Where there is no ‘employment’ in a contractual sense, and where the workplace is not easy to access or consists of streets and open spaces, children’s immediate need for protection from violence may best be addressed by programmes which provide services such as shelters and drop-in centres, non-formal education, development of life skills to resist sexual advances, peer activities such as sports and recreation, and opportunities to gain qualifications and schooling.\textsuperscript{125,126}

- **Children who are working within the law:** In cases where children and adolescents are working legally, either because they are undertaking light work, or are above the minimum age of employment, and where they face potential violence from employers or from other workers as an incidental hazard, efforts can be made to increase their protection and reduce their vulnerabilities and risks.

In the case of ‘worst forms’ of child labour, it is not possible to make a distinction between reducing violence and eliminating the working circumstances, since to focus exclusively on violence would imply that children might be left in hazardous and exploitative labour if the violence alone were stopped. No children should be employed in circumstances and occupations where they face physical, psychological or sexual abuse and suffer risks to their health, safety or morals.

However, as already observed, efforts directed at taking children out of the workplace need to be accompanied by measures which eliminate the economic and social reasons for them to work or earn, or otherwise their removal will be short-lived. Experience has shown that, where under-age children are ejected from the formal workplace without provisions in place for them to re-direct their lives, they often end up in more hazardous informal situations. This occurred in Bangladesh in the early 1990s, following the abrupt dismissal of under-age girls from the garment export industry, under the threat of a US boycott of goods made with any involvement of child labour.\textsuperscript{127} The range of interventions required therefore includes:

- Legislative and enforcement measures, in accordance with the international framework encompassed by the CRC and key ILO Conventions; establishment of workplace regulations, inspectorates, and stiff legal sanctions against the employment of under-age workers; and crack-downs on criminal activities involving children, with child rehabilitation.

- Programmatic effort with families and communities to reduce the need for and likelihood of parents sending boys and girls to work or earn, including preventive measures such as poverty reduction, family support, scholarship funds or school fee reduction, and
universal access to basic services, especially quality education.

- Interventions to respond directly to working children’s need to be removed from workplaces that expose them to violence, hazard and exploitation, targeted at a wide-ranging audience including employers, parents, community leaders and enforcement officials.
- Advocacy and social mobilisation by civil society to promote the right of children not to be treated with violence in the workplace, and for all children to have access to quality education and appropriate preparation for a future working life free from exploitation and abuse.

LAWS AND THEIR IMPLEMENTATION

International standards guide national legislative action on child labour. All but two countries have ratified the CRC and more than 80% of the world’s children now live in countries which have ratified the two key ILO Conventions. Since its adoption in 1999, Convention No. 182 has enjoyed a rapid pace of ratification, which in turn has helped to double the rate of ratifications of the Minimum Age Convention, No. 138. This reflects a strong political consensus and significant new momentum on urgent action to eliminate child labour. Many Governments have undertaken reviews of their legislation, and shown greater resolution in addressing the many issues involved.

There are still major challenges in bringing customary law and traditional codes concerning ‘work’ and ‘employment’ into conformity with international law. One important action at the national level has been determining the list of

KENYA: ADDRESSING WORK, POVERTY AND EDUCATION IN TANDEM

In recent efforts to reduce child labour, acknowledgement has been made of the difficulties faced by seriously poor families, including those affected by HIV/AIDS. Even where primary school fees have been abolished, some African families still find that they need children to supplement the family income by working instead of going to school. In an effort to address this situation, Kenya – with two million working children aged 5–17 years – has tried compensating parents for the loss of their children’s economic contribution; the money is conditional on children attending school. US$ 7–14 per month per child is given as an incentive to parents of those working in plantations, subsistence farms and fisheries, as well as for orphans and other vulnerable children.
hazardous occupations and tasks, and prohibiting them for all under 18s, as called for under ILO Convention No. 182. A recent review indicates that this has been an area of high activity; so has legislative action against trafficking and the involvement of children in prostitution and pornography. However, attention from Governments to forced and bonded labour has been more modest, and there has been little action on improving legislation against the use of children engaged in illicit activities.\textsuperscript{130}

\textbf{Labour law enforcement}

In many countries, lack of law enforcement, including bringing perpetrators to court, is a more acute problem than that of the nature of legislation on the statute book. For example, new laws were passed against bonded labour in India (1976) and Pakistan (1992), but the numbers of prosecutions and releases of bonded labourers by the courts show that neither is vigorously prosecuted. In India, the National Human Rights Commission was ordered by the Supreme Court in 1997 to oversee the enforcement of the 1976 Act, and has been able to pressure officials into freeing and rehabilitating bonded children in some areas, primarily in Uttar Pradesh’s carpet belt.\textsuperscript{131} But the Commission’s resources and power are limited, and States have been slow to fulfil their obligations. In Pakistan, where fines are far higher than in India, prosecutions have been minimal in recent years.\textsuperscript{132}

The South Asian Regional Consultation for the Study has pointed to the need for a supervisory system to ensure effective implementation of child labour laws by all entities charged with enforcement throughout the region. Similarly, the East Asia and Pacific Regional Consultation noted that in general the enforcement of child labour laws appears to lag behind other legal measures enacted to protect children.

Many impediments to the enforcement of laws exist. One is the lack of an effective and universal birth registration system, which constrains enforcement of laws on minimum age of employment.\textsuperscript{133} Another is that the labour inspectorates which helped remove children from the workplace in industrialising countries in the West have generally not proved to be as effective in the developing world.\textsuperscript{134} Although successful in some instances, most do not extend their reach beyond organised urban workplaces, where the minority of child workers are to be found. Many inspectors are overwhelmed by their caseloads, short of resources and means of travel, and poorly paid. Both employers and child workers may resort to pay-offs, and there can also be pressure from official sources not to undertake the kind of rigorous inspections which might damage the investment climate.\textsuperscript{135}

Avenues for legal redress regarding violence inflicted on working children are almost inaccessible to them, given their inferior status. Cases of serious injury, such as burning with a hot iron, and of gross abuse, may attract media attention; in which case employers of children in domestic labour, for example, are prosecuted, usually with NGO assistance. Attempts are also made by NGOs such as the South Asian Coalition on Child Servitude to gain for released Indian bonded child workers their legal entitlement to Rs. 20,000 (US$ 420) for rehabilitation; but
such attempts are few and far between. Cases of sexual abuse against working children are also rarely prosecuted. Moreover, those who champion rescued child workers in the courts often put themselves at risk from retaliation by vested interests.

There are specific situations where enforcement has been effective, with the necessary programmatic and back-up interventions in place, but these are rare. It can be done, however, in circumstances where the work is exclusive to children on the pretext of their small size, manual dexterity or need to learn a skill at a very young age (as in carpet-weaving or decorative craft). In a closed workplace or occupation, removal of the entire child workforce may be practicable.

One example is the agreement made with the United Arab Emirates (UAE) in May 2005 concerning the release and repatriation to their countries of origin of 3,000 young boys employed as camel jockeys and stable boys (see box). This initiative illustrates the complementary roles that can be played by campaigning and international organisations in bringing an end to the trafficking and employment of children in an exploitative occupation.

**Backing for law enforcement within civil society**

As noted throughout the Study, law enforcement is problematic in environments where formal legal, administrative and judicial systems have little influence over most people’s lives. This is the case in areas where child work is common. Application of the law requires popular acceptance and the cooperation of civil society in applying it. Violence is particularly difficult to outlaw; there is no guarantee in societies where interpersonal violence is commonly used in dispute resolution that a legal prohibition on a practice will be treated with respect, even by those expected to enforce it. Until social attitudes condemn violence against children absolutely and in every circumstance, the enforcement of laws against child labour may inadvertently lead to further discrimination against working children, for

---

**THE LONG STRUGGLE TO END THE USE OF BOYS AS CAMEL JOCKEYS**

The trafficking of small boys from certain countries in South Asia (Bangladesh and Pakistan), and Africa (Mauritania, Somalia and Sudan), for use as jockeys in camel races in the UAE and other Gulf States (Kuwait, Qatar and Saudi Arabia) came under protest for many years by Anti-Slavery International (ASI). A Bangladeshi NGO calculated that nearly 1,700 boys had been trafficked to the Middle East during the 1990s for this purpose, the vast majority under the age of 10. The boys were tied onto the camel’s saddles during the races, and often sustained injuries and falls. They were also frequently starved before races to reduce their weight, and suffered other forms of physical and psychological violence, including occasional sexual abuse.
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example by official persecution of the children themselves on the basis of their stigmatised social status.

Some efforts to implement child labour laws with civil society cooperation have been made. In areas where trafficking is common, community groups or community-based watch/vigilance systems have been set up; they may also report breaches of child labour legislation and non-attendance of children in school. For example, in Benin, village surveillance committees backed by the provincial administration have helped to reduce the trafficking of children, both by educating families about the dangers of trafficking, and by working with the police when a child from the village goes missing. However, a number of lessons were learned from this programme. It was necessary not to use fear-inducing messages, but to try to convince people about the harmful nature of something they currently accepted. Surveillance committees did not function well if they were seen as agents of oppression against local families and children.

The long struggle to end the use of boys as camel jockeys

ASI was unable to make significant progress until it joined forces with the International Confederation of Free Trade Unions (ICFTU) and the ILO. In 2001, the ILO persuaded the UAE to ratify Convention No. 182. This led to a ban in 2002 on the use of camel jockeys aged under 15 and lower in weight than 45 kg. Even then, progress was slow and some trafficking continued. However, in March 2005 the age limit was legally revised upwards to 16 years (later to 18), and in May 2005 UNICEF reached an agreement with the UAE Governments to facilitate the repatriation of the boys to their countries of origin over a two-year period. Within eight months well over 1,000 boys had been identified. Many began to return home to Pakistan and Bangladesh later in 2005.

The boys were initially received at special centres, from which they were to be reunited with their families if possible. If these were not able to be found, education and reintegration within the society were to be undertaken by care organisations. Other boys, including workers at the stables, were subsequently also returned to Sudan and Mauritania. In all four countries, support was provided to families and communities and permanent mechanisms set up to help prevent further trafficking or re-trafficking. The reintegration of the children was subject to monitoring with emphasis on strengthening community care and creating a supportive environment to prevent discrimination against them.
**UNIVERSAL EDUCATION AND LIFE SKILLS**

Universal access to schooling is a key component of ending child labour. There are many arguments in favour of making basic education compulsory for both boys and girls, including the requirement on the State to increase educational investment and impetus to reach the international goal of Education For All (see the chapter on violence against children in schools and educational settings). The attainment of this goal is closely related to many others, including poverty reduction, gender equality, and improved maternal and child health. Universal education also has a track record in helping end child labour. For example, in both the Indian state of Kerala and in Sri Lanka, progress towards universal education has been accompanied by the departure of some children from full-time work, despite little effort to implement child labour laws.\(^{144}\)

It is important to note that schooling and work are not mutually exclusive: going to school does not exclude all types of work at all times of day for children of working age. Children in many societies undertake part-time earning activities in order to help pay for schooling expenses, or as a useful part of their socialisation. Studies in industrialised societies confirm the long-term value to the adolescent child of steady part-time earning during secondary school as part of their preparation for future adult life.\(^{145}\) However, such working and earning opportunities must be done within boundaries that support, rather than diminish, developmental opportunities.

In many settings, however, working children do not attend school. For this reason, many programmes to end child labour focus on bringing children into school, or returning them to school, as an alternative to work. For example, the Child Workers’ Opportunities Project (CWOP), a large-scale community-based programme in three states of India used a multi-pronged strategy to enable children to leave the workplace, in which the promotion of schooling was the prominent strand (see box). In Benin, the Groupe de recherche et d’actions pour le développement humain (GRADH) works mainly with boys, some as young as seven, who have been placed by their parents or guardians with artisans such as car mechanics, carpenters, and masons. The NGO carries out surveys on artisans in collaboration with the artisans’ association, identifying the younger children and those in especially difficult working conditions. It then arranges formal apprenticeships for the older children and reinsertion of the younger children into schools, working closely with the Ministry of Labour and the ILO.\(^{146}\)

Child workers in their teens are usually very aware of the disadvantages they face if they have not attended school; if practicable, they usually want to gain education and training, and the future job advantages which these imply. Even if they continue to work, they tend to seek opportunities in which they may learn, and raise their status and prospects.\(^{147}\) But where children are totally dependent on street-based income to survive, they tend to place the need to earn at a higher level than their need for education, however much they would like to be able to read and write.\(^{148}\)
Universal attendance at school is difficult to enforce where the quality of education is poor, families and children perceive in it nothing of advantage, or teachers are verbally or physically abusive. Unless schooling is child-friendly, and adds value in terms of useful learning and qualifications, it can be difficult to attract and retain children from working situations.

Schooling itself provides an opportunity for the promotion of self-protection, non-violence and peaceful conflict resolution, as do non-formal education programmes (see chapter on violence against children in schools and educational settings). Life skills-based education in both settings, should be made accessible to working boys and girls whether or not they are able to quit work, to learn about gender equality, avoidance of coercive or oppressive situations, sexual behaviour, and the need to adopt non-violence within relationships. The reduction of gender-based violence, unsafe sexual practices, street violence and misogyny requires challenging dominant forms and perceptions of masculinity, by reaching out to boys and men.\(^{149}\)

---

**CHILD WORKERS’ OPPORTUNITIES PROJECT (CWOP), MAHARASHTRA**

This four-year programme in India supported by Save the Children Canada targeted 10,000 working children, including 4,000 in two project sites in Maharashtra. These children were identified during baseline surveys in the 94 intervention communities covered by the two local operational NGO partners; the intention was to bring an end to child labour in the communities in question.

The strategy centred on shifting children out of work and into school or training, by simultaneously addressing the reasons why they worked, and by offering them other opportunities. Working children under 14 were to be enrolled in the formal primary system, and those aged 14–18 in vocational training. Community-based non-formal education classes, to which all children identified in the survey were invited, were the starting-point. At the same time, initiatives were made to foster income-generation for women in households with working children, and build awareness in the community to render the concept of child work socially unacceptable. Village education committees were established, and communities encouraged to improve school buildings, and to demand high quality teaching and child-friendly behaviour from teachers.

Child participation was a fundamental principle in all project activities. Child groups were set up, in which working and ex-working children participated. Members took on...
The Participation of Children

One of the most important steps towards enabling children to remove themselves from exploitative work and abuse in the workplace is to facilitate their participation in programmes developed and carried out on their behalf. Participation should consist not only of systematic consultation with children and their families from the data-gathering stage through programme implementation and evaluation, but should also include enabling them to use their own considerable powers of agency. This includes organising their own programme activities, such as drives to remove children from exploitative workplaces, as well as advocacy on their behalf. Participatory activities can be used to raise awareness of the violence to which children may be subjected.

In a number of settings, NGOs have helped working children and non-working children develop their own organisations through which they seek to improve their lives, gain skills and education, enjoy sports and cultural programmes, and perform socially useful activities. Some have become sophisticated advocates: working children’s movements have formed an international network, and were represented at the UN World Summit for Children in 2002. Many such organisations provide young people with an opportunity to develop and demonstrate qualities of social responsibility, taking a lead on issues such as illegal employment of under-age workers, alcohol abuse in the community, changes in the law to provide young workers with better protection including against sexual abuse, rescuing domestic workers from abusive households, and persuading employers to give their adolescent workers time off for studies and recreation. For example, in Nicaragua the National Movement of Working

Child Workers’ Opportunities Project (CWOP), Maharashtra

such responsibilities as mentoring children new to the classroom who needed motivation to stay; and in the case of a teacher being lax, drunk, or using violence in class, report the behaviour to the village authorities. Children themselves helped transform attitudes about work and school; in one community they posterized every house in the village with the message: “No working children, every child in school.”

Over the four-year programme, 75% of the 10,000 children gained access to school or vocational training, and 3,000 children left work entirely. Project managers in Maharashtra concluded that improving educational quality and making schools more child-friendly is the most important activity, and that if more investment in basic education is made at state level, the results would be more impressive. The project’s success has subsequently influenced other projects to end child labour run by state Governments.
Children and Adolescents (NATRAS) began in 2003 to work on preventing sexual abuse of children and adolescents, especially of working children. Eighteen of NATRAS’ member organisations have participated in a variety of activities – from carrying out surveys to direct lobbying with municipal officials – aimed at reducing sexual abuse in 13 targeted municipalities and at increasing awareness of the issue within the society and the State. In all of these organisations’ activities, the role of adults is limited to being facilitators and companions to the young members.\textsuperscript{158}

The opportunities created by children’s organisations allow participants to gain confidence, self-esteem, leadership and social skills, a broader view of the world and a sense of their own potential within it. These attributes are essential in overcoming the self-denigration and deep sense of inferiority felt by many children in violent and abusive situations. These and other community groups provide a vehicle for changes in attitudes by children, families and communities that enable the children to leave work and go to school instead. In some settings, ‘poverty’ as a blanket reason for sending children to work melts away when the alternative of going to school is socially endorsed and backed by community support.\textsuperscript{159}

**ADVOCACY**

The role of advocacy in relation to workplace violence against children is two-fold. On the one hand, it is necessary to draw policy-makers’ attention to the abuse children endure and set in motion the development and implementation of appropriate policies, laws, programmes and services; on the other, it is as important to challenge the social acceptance of damaging child work by influencing popular opinion. A transformation in the attitudes and behaviours which condone the presence of children in the workplace is a pre-condition of children’s departure from it on a sustainable basis. Governments have been loathe to intervene in child labour issues unless confident of public and political support.

Children and young people are often their own best advocates and should be given a prominent role as spokespersons on their own behalf, to policy makers, employers, communities, legislators, media and the society at large. The participation of children in the Technical Sub-Council on Child Work in Honduras led to changes in the National Plan of Action, notably to include deep-sea fishing as a ‘worst form’ of labour, because of the possible harm associated with it.\textsuperscript{160} Many opportunities exist to engage children, even those in invisible occupations such as domestic labour or child prostitution, in advocacy campaigns. Children can be more effective than organisational intermediaries in addressing police, administration or justice.

Theatre, drama and role plays performed by children have been used with great success as vehicles for advocacy.\textsuperscript{161} Messages can be put across in a non-blaming way, and actors can feel free to show incidents of abuse which they would be unlikely to mention in an interview. Similarly, parents and community members who may have not understood the abuse their children are likely to experience if they send
them away to work are more able to absorb and believe that there are real reasons not to do so.\footnote{162}

Parents’ Days at school, local Children’s Day celebrations, and similar events at the national level can be used to promote awareness of the worst forms of child labour and showcase action against it. The World Day against Child Labour initiated in June 2002 has adopted a particular aspect of the worst forms of child labour to campaign against each year; for example, trafficking (2002), child domestic labour (2004), and mining and quarrying (2005).

\section*{Targeted Interventions: Contact, Rescue, and Rehabilitation}

Taking action on violence against children, particularly the worst forms of child labour, requires the integration of practical programme interventions with advocacy, and both types of action with data collection. A variety of approaches is possible, depending on the size and type of organisation undertaking the action, and the situation to which they are responding. Many NGOs, responding to an emergency need such as providing shelter for seriously abused and traumatised runaway children, start with a small-scale project such as a centre, and base their service development, data collection and advocacy on that. Where they are able to develop a sizeable range of services, they may also provide legal assistance for abused child workers to take their employers to court. Handbooks exist with ‘good practice principles’ for programme interventions in some ‘worst form’ child labour contexts such as child domestic labour.\footnote{164} These interventions largely constitute secondary prevention, responding to violence as and where it occurs.

In contrast, larger-scale programmes initiated by international organisations often include primary prevention measures to avoid violence before it takes place.

They start with a planning process: they are not in immediate contact with abused working children. A planning methodology has been developed by ILO/IPEC for ‘Time-Bound Programmes’ (TBPs) to eliminate child labour.\footnote{165}

\section*{“We’re Tired!” – A Drama for Child Domestic Workers}

A playlet entitled \textit{Sanayo} (“We’re tired” in Wolof) was written by and for girl domestic workers in Dakar, Senegal, with support from the NGO ENDA Tiers Monde. In the playlet, a \textit{patronne} (employer) takes on a house-girl, and then subjects that girl to abuse in front of her friends for failures of service, food preparation, and other tasks. The friends join in the mockery of the girl, and the \textit{patronne’s} young daughter accuses her unjustly of stealing. The girl is eventually fired, without receiving her salary. This playlet was performed at a preparatory meeting for the OAU Conference in 1993 on Assisting the African Child. It has since been staged in many locations in Dakar.\footnote{163}
TBPs comprise a package of interventions covering prevention, withdrawal, rehabilitation and future protection, with which States that have ratified Convention No. 182 can tackle ‘worst forms’ of child labour within a set timeframe. They start with data collection and other actions to create an ‘enabling environment for the elimination of the worst forms of child labour’.¹⁶⁶

El Salvador, Nepal, and the United Republic of Tanzania were the first three countries to implement TBPs, starting in 2002. Seventeen other countries have since followed suit, several more are preparing similar programmes. As an example, the United Republic of Tanzania set out to reduce the number of children involved in the worst forms of child labour by 75%, and the overall child labour participation from the current 25% to less than 10% by 2010. Mid-term evaluation found these objectives to be on course.¹⁶⁷

Contact

In spite of the hidden nature of much child labour, a variety of mechanisms can be used to make contact with child workers. These include child-to-child contact in places where young workers go at off-duty times. Such places include parks, churches, temples or mosques, evening classes, shops or markets. Several organisations, including those taking part in ILO/IPEC and UNICEF programmes, run awareness-raising sessions for local leaders, police, householders and children, in which they learn about reporting, handling cases, the need to avoid violence in removing children from abusive situations, and to retain the cooperation of employers.

Hotlines and help-lines have become a well-known technique for enabling children to report violence against themselves. Some organisations working to eliminate child labour have established hotlines for child workers to use. The Kasambahay (domestic worker) programme run by Visayan Forum in the Philippines operates hotlines to receive reports of abuse and facilitate rescue.¹⁶⁸ In Cambodia, a local NGO organises the distribution of cards with pop stars’ pictures on the front and telephone numbers and addresses of centres to contact on the reverse. These are left in places such as phone booths, bars, markets, and discos.¹⁶⁹ However, hotlines are resource-intensive: they need to be manned round the clock, by well-trained personnel, and have effective processes for involving police or emergency services.

Rescue operations

Efforts to remove children from workplace violence may require emergency action. Key steps in a process of rapid response to emergency cases include: setting up systems to receive information about abuse, including from children themselves via hotlines and drop-in centres; planning the rescue; the rescue operation; post-rescue rehabilitation; and post-rescue legal redress.¹⁷⁰ In removing children from dangerous workplaces, interventions must ensure that the situation of children is not worsened – that their physical well-being and self-respect are not further damaged by the trauma of the rescue.¹⁷¹ Many rescue operations are not properly prepared nor are the necessary follow-up support and services in place, such as health care and counselling for women and children rescued from the sex industry.¹⁷²
In early 2004, an NGO campaigning against the abuse of domestic servants (Anex-CDW) began to receive referrals from the local police station of girls who had run away from an agency called ‘Excellent Domestics’. The agency brought girls in from the countryside, housed them and found them jobs. When Anex visited the premises, they found appalling living conditions, under-age girls, and cases of workers not given any pay. They reported their findings to the Department of Labour. A few weeks later, an article in the newspaper about a girl trapped at the agency finally led to action. The agency was found to be operating illegally and closed. The girls at the premises were all traumatised and wanted to return to their homes, even when offered skills-training in town. The police and Anex found them shelter and food, and they received free tickets home. The proprietors of ‘Excellent Domestics’ were taken to court.\(^\text{173}\)

### Rehabilitation

A number of organisations’ primary activity is to remove children from child labour and reintegrate them with their families. The South Asian Coalition on Child Servitude (SACCS) has a mission to identify, liberate and rehabilitate children in servitude. In India, a number of human rights organisations attempt to gain release of, and rehabilitate children in forced and bonded labour. WAYS in Kampala, Uganda has had notable success in resettling over 300 former child domestic labourers. They regard counselling of the families in advance of resettlement and reunification as essential. Whenever possible, children should have access to services that aim to bring them closer to their family.\(^\text{174}\)

Organisations working with victims of workplace abuse maintain that it is always important to contact the family, but not to force the child to return if she or he does not want to.

---

**VIET NAM, 1999, A girl selling postcards on the street shows one of her cards to a social worker who works with street children in Ho Chi Minh City.**
This may not be in the child’s best interests if social stigma and rejection are likely to be the outcome; or the child will be again sent to work, or re-trafficked. In the case of girls rescued from the sex industry, there is likely to be heavy stigma from families and communities to whom they are returned, and they may be looked upon as carriers of HIV. Cases of re-trafficking, for example, from Nepal to India, are common.

**IMPROVED DATA COLLECTION**

Much progress has been made since the mid-1990s in developing methodologies to obtain reliable data on child labour. A major initiative to improve understanding on the hidden, illegal and often criminal abuse of children in the workplace has been through the joint development by ILO and UNICEF of a Rapid Assessment methodology.176 NGOs have played a major role in uncovering some of the worst abuses of children in the workplace. For example, Anti-Slavery International led initiatives on children in domestic labour, initially focusing on simple research methodologies for local NGOs to use as a basis for advocacy.177 Subsequently, an active network of practitioner NGOs working in the field of child domestic labour was developed, and through this, consultations were conducted with child domestics which shed much insight into the violence and abuse they suffered. Since this is a notoriously difficult group of children to reach, much can be learned from these experiences about how to contact invisible child workers and collect data with and from them.178

Much more is known about street children; as they are visible and accessible, children working in streets and public spaces have been studied in a number of settings, and even though numbers are usually estimates, a considerable body of information about them now exists. Some street child programmes now include components for monitoring, verification and tracking.179 Far fewer extended surveys have been conducted into bonded child labour in South Asia, or into traditional forms of slavery or forced labour affecting children in Africa and Latin America. Those studies that exist have mostly been conducted by NGOs and, with some notable exceptions, are relatively small-scale. Very little is known about violence within a family work setting (mostly small-scale agriculture), which is where most children work.

**Action research and monitoring**

Effort has recently been invested in research methodologies that aid in designing interventions or monitoring the impact of existing interventions in order to improve them. For example, action research on boys and girls involved in drug trafficking has been conducted in Indonesia, the Philippines, Thailand, and Brazil, with an emphasis on collecting data about these children that is of direct use in developing interventions. One study by IPEC on drug use and trafficking in Estonia illustrated the difficulties of obtaining information on the experiences of children in extreme situations, concluding: “Violence is one of the few topics which young drug users do not want to discuss with strangers. It seems that the topic
of violence is taboo, and one interview is just not enough to build up mutual trust.181

In recent years, the concept of child labour monitoring has shifted from monitoring of industries or organised workplace settings, to monitoring of the children removed from work and provided with protection services. IPEC has developed a ‘tracer’ methodology to assess long-term changes (two years and more after the completion of an intervention) that have occurred in the lives of working children as a consequence of the intervention. Impacts examined include educational status, economic well-being, employment, health, and attitudes; working children on the streets (Turkey), mining (Ecuador), domestic labour (Uganda), fishing (Indonesia) and trafficked children (Sri Lanka).182 In the informal economy, community-based monitoring is increasingly being used to provide reliable data and the tracking of working children. All these initiatives represent opportunities to identify children’s experience of physical and psychological violence, its impact and the necessary steps to be taken for redress.

BUILDING MOMENTUM FOR CHANGE

Since the mid-1990s, a worldwide movement against child labour has emerged, in which a wide range of protagonists have been active. Manifestations of the momentum behind this movement are the rapidity at which ILO Convention No. 182 has been ratified around the world, and the success of such initiatives as the Global March against Child Labour, which in 1998 brought together a network of organisations to take ongoing action against child labour. Partners in the movement include international and regional organisations, bilateral agencies, the Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU), workers’ and employers’ organisations, NGOs, the media, academics, and organisations representing working children.

An important dynamic has been the growing concern (and consumer action) over corporate social responsibility. Action has come largely through self-regulation via sectoral alliances and voluntary codes of conduct to ensure that members of supply chains in globalised manufacturing industries – garments, footballs, sports shoes, tobacco products – are not using child labour.183 Employers’ organisations in, for example, Azerbaijan, the Republic of Moldova, Malawi and Uganda, have promoted media campaigns against child labour; in Ghana, they have been involved in discussions with parliamentarians on a new draft of the Human Trafficking Bill. In Brazil, the National Confederation for Industry (CNI), in collaboration with local NGOs, has set up a prevention and rehabilitation programme for children in prostitution. In China’s Yunan Province, 74 influential employers in Kunming City have called on private sector managers to comply with child labour laws and contribute to anti-trafficking efforts, including a street advocacy campaign.184

Trade unions have a long association with efforts to reduce child labour, and are well-placed to act as watchdogs and monitors of violence and abuse within the workplace. However, few trade unions are operational within the informal economy, or in those parts of the worldwide
working scene where children facing violence are most often found. In Bulgaria, trade union centres have begun to address the worst forms of child labour and workplaces in the informal economy. In the Ukraine, the Independent Trade Union of Miners (ITUMU) has taken the lead to end child labour in 500 unregistered small-scale mines as part of an internationally brokered sector agreement involving both sides of industry. The agreement was signed on World Child Labour Day, 2005.

A long way to go

Despite increased engagement in child protection issues by the UN system and NGOs since the advent of the CRC, and the new momentum to end ‘worst forms’ of child labour since the ratification of ILO Convention No. 182, there is still a long way to go. There has been a failure to acknowledge sufficiently working children’s varied experience of violence – physical, psychological and sexual – and take it fully into account when developing plans and programmes. There is still a need give greater weight internationally and nationally to those forms and situations of work that are intrinsically hazardous and violent, especially those in remote, illicit or hidden locations. There is also a need to work with trade unions and employer organisations to improve protection for the youngest members of the regular workforce where they are facing more risks than their older peers.

Within organisations working to end child labour, an enhanced focus on the violence suffered by child workers may help build renewed momentum for change.

RECOMMENDATIONS

A wide range of measures is needed to address the problem of workplace violence against children. The issue has to be tackled as a livelihood, human rights, labour, health and safety, and law enforcement issue, starting with efforts to prevent under-age children from entering the workplace in the first place. Support for universal access to basic education and action to end children’s employment in ‘worst forms’ of labour are important preventive actions, but actions on a broader front, particularly those related to poverty and family need, are also required.

The key departure point has to be a policy of zero tolerance of violence against children who are working, whether within the law or outside it, in organised workplaces or in the informal economy. The issue has to be brought out into the open, and perpetrators of acts of violence towards children in the workplace brought to account. Children who are under working age need to be helped to leave work, receive education and training, and improve their life chances. Where they are working legally, prevention of violence should be explicitly built into the regulation and inspection process, with access of young workers to reporting systems, complaints procedures and the courts.

Legislation

1. Violence against working children should be condemned. Governments should ensure that the reality that child workers in many settings, both under- and above the minimum legal age of employ-
ment, routinely suffer violence in the workplace is brought to public attention and systematically condemned in law and in practice.

2. Relevant international legal instruments should be ratified and applied. Governments should harmonise national legislation concerning child labour with international standards, notably ILO Conventions Nos 138 and 182 and the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Legislation should specify enforcement mechanisms and impose penalties that act as an incentive to eliminate ‘worst forms’ of child labour as a first priority.

Policy and programme development

3. Develop national action plans to address child labour. Governments should create and implement plans of action to tackle the many issues connected with child labour. These plans should be integrated into national development plans and policies; this should be done with the necessary range of partners (including working children’s representatives), appropriate expertise, and resources. In order to base these plans on solid evidence, high priority should be given to building a knowledge base about violence against working children (see recommendation 14).

4. Tackle violence in the workplace generally. Governments should ensure that workplace violence is tackled as a whole, with an emphasis on the special vulnerabilities of the youngest members of the workforce. Employers, trades unions and labour authorities should promote zero tolerance towards those who victimise young workers.

5. Set up services for children to report violence and escape from it. Governments should ensure that working children should have a means of reporting violence against them to people whom they can have trust, and who can help them to leave the workplace or obtain redress. These services may include hotlines so that children can summon assistance, and if necessary be rescued and placed in emergency care.

6. Provide services for working children and those leaving the workplace. Governments should ensure that working children’s rights to education, health, and social services are fully respected. Children should be encouraged and enabled to leave work and attend school or vocational training, by transitional education programmes and other initiatives which ensure that learning is relevant, accessible and child-friendly. Life skills should be part of non-formal education for working children.

7. Mainstream the participation of working children in the creation and implementation of policies, programmes and services for their benefit. Governments should ensure that children’s right to, and capacity for, participation is respected. Working children’s views, energies, creative skills, and effectiveness in advocacy should be deployed in ways that enable policies
and programmes to end child labour to be effective and sustainable.

8. **Build the capacity for all who come into contact with working children.** Governments should ensure that the capacity of programme partners, Government agencies, trades unions, employers, police, judiciary, labour inspectorates, NGOs, community organisations, children’s groups, and researchers to address violence in the workplace is enhanced.

**Enforcement**

9. **Perpetrators of violence against children in the workplace should be brought to account.** Governments should ensure that the impunity frequently enjoyed by employers of under-age children, and the perpetration of physical, psychological and sexual violence against them, is ended by the real prospect of prosecution and meaningful penalties. Criminal sanctions against the use of children for sex, prostitution or pornography, and against recruiters and traffickers of children need to be vigorously enforced.

10. **Enforcement and judicial procedures should be child-friendly.** Governments should ensure that in the enforcement of legislation concerning the removal of children from workplaces or the prosecution of employers or co-workers, the rights of children not to suffer further violence, trauma or discrimination are respected. In addition, the principle of ‘best interests of the child’ needs to be observed in all actions involving child workers.

**Advocacy and social mobilisation**

11. **Increase public awareness of the damaging effects of child labour.** Governments should ensure that public awareness of the right of all children to be protected from all forms of violence is increased, and information about the risks of violence and its damaging impacts on working children are disseminated to parents, families and communities. Social dialogue should be encouraged around the concept of decent work, and the value of schooling underlined.

12. **Enlist the support of the private sector and civil society.** Governments should make efforts to stimulate corporate social responsibility to tackle workplace violence against children, including by the adoption of ethical guidelines in the workplace. In particular, the tourism industry and media should be encouraged to campaign against sexual exploitation and trafficking of children.

**Information and research**

13. **Collect data about violence against children in the workplace.** Given the almost total lack of data about violence against children in the workplace, Governments should ensure that all possible means of collecting such data are deployed within programmes and services and by specific studies and surveys. Especially vulnerable children should be targeted for research, notably those in home-based, street-based and illicit work.
14. **Interventions should be monitored and evaluated.** Governments should ensure that the impact of interventions is monitored over a period of time extending beyond the conclusion of any intervention, and good practice lessons widely disseminated.
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# VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN IN THE COMMUNITY

## Introduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human rights instruments</th>
<th>286</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## Background and context

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature and extent of the problem</th>
<th>287</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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<table>
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<tr>
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</tr>
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<tr>
<td>Non-fatal physical violence</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Issues of special concern

| Violence against children living on the street | 295 |
| HIV and violence in the community | 296 |
| Violence by police | 297 |
| Violence by other authority figures | 298 |
| Violence against sexual minorities | 298 |
| Violence against child refugees, returnees and internally displaced persons | 299 |
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## Factors contributing to violence
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| Situations of unrest or conflict | 307 |</p>
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INTRODUCTION

No community is free of violence. However, the risk of encountering violence, both against as well as by children, is much higher in some communities than in others. In some settings, especially those where weapons are in wide circulation, violence has today assumed frightening proportions. These are primarily urban areas in regions with high levels of poverty, economic disparity and social inequality, sometimes complicated by ongoing political or economic instability. At the same time, also in relatively wealthy and stable societies, especially where there are clear inequalities, alarming levels of violence against children have been documented.

Much of this chapter focuses on older children, particularly those in their teenage years. Children tend to experience their teenage years as a time of excitement, when they begin independent exploration both of the physical spaces of their community, and of the roles they will assume in adulthood. For some, the daily walk or bus-ride to school, or going out to shop for their family, may be their first independent exposure to the community; it may also be their first exposure to its risks of violence.

Communities everywhere are subject to stresses which have the effect of reducing the protections available to children. These include rapid urbanisation, political instability, environmental insecurity, and large-scale population movement. In some parts of the world, the AIDS epidemic has greatly increased numbers of orphaned children. Many communities have also had to cope with rising misuse of drugs, alcohol and firearms, increases in trafficking of children for labour or sexual exploitation, and growing exposure to violent and pornographic images through globalised media and new information and communications technologies.

Yet it is important to emphasise that the community can also be a source of protection and solidarity. Indeed, without the cooperation of the community – however it is defined – little can be done to stop violence against children. In many minds, the weakening of social and community cohesion in today’s world is at the heart of the violence which threatens so many young lives today. Equally, the building of child-friendly communities by supporting the delivery of basic infrastructure and services, and by offering communities help in building or rebuilding positive group values and neighbourhood solidarity, is a promising remedy.

For the purposes of this chapter, the community includes any space used or occupied by children other than homes, schools, institutions, and organised workplaces, which are the subjects of other chapters in the report. However, it must be remembered that the community is not only a physical space, but a social environment. Children are born and grow up under its framework of behaviours, attitudes, customs and beliefs and are thereby socialised through it to engage with the wider world, including learning how to deal with the network of relationships and institutions that provide the non-familial context of their lives.

The issues considered here include physical and sexual violence, assault by authority
figures such as the police, violence associated with gangs and traffickers, and the special risks faced by certain groups such as children living on the street and those living in camps for displaced persons. Also examined is violence in the mass media, including new information and communications technology.

**BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT**

A child’s vulnerability to violence in the community increases with age and maturity and increased contacts with the wider world. Homicide and violence-related injury among children occur in community settings all over the world, but are more frequent in poverty-stricken urban areas characterised by lack of employment, poor standards of housing, over-

**HUMAN RIGHTS INSTRUMENTS**

Provisions in the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment and Punishment and other treaties, in particular regional human rights treaties, apply to violence against children in the community. These address harmful traditional practices, slavery, servitude, torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment and punishment. The Palermo Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children establishes legal obligations to address trafficking, and the CRC’s Optional Protocol on the Sale of Children, Child Prostitution and Child Pornography sets out standards relating to prostitution and pornography.¹

The obligations contained in these treaties are imposed on States, and only States or their agents can commit human rights violations. State obligation for human rights violations is incurred if the State or its agents violate the terms of a treaty which the State has accepted. State obligation is also incurred if the State fails to ensure children’s rights to protection against violence by permitting such violence, or failing to take appropriate measures, or to exercise due diligence to prevent, punish, investigate or redress the harm caused by the acts of individuals, groups or entities. Therefore, where violence in the community is concerned, States are required to put in place appropriate laws, policies and programmes to prevent such violence, investigate it if it takes place, ensure that perpetrators are sanctioned and redress the harm which may result.

¹ Dr Etienne Krug, Director of the Department of Injuries and Violence Prevention, WHO
crowding and low standards of education and social amenities. Young and rapidly growing populations – particularly boys – in these areas may express frustration, anger and pent-up tension in fights and anti-social behaviour. Where firearms and other weapons are widely available, fights are more likely to lead to severe injuries and death. Violence may be more common in situations where boys are encouraged to exhibit aggressive masculinity, weapons skills, private codes of loyalty and revenge, and general risk-taking.²,³,⁴

In many places children – particularly girls – face increased exposure to violence in the community as they grow older and the domestic tasks they are required to perform take them further and further away from the household. Many children in rural Africa and Asia report feeling threatened or being attacked when they fetch water, fuel or fodder, tasks which may require walking a considerable distance in isolated areas.⁵,⁶ Older children may encounter violence in intimate and dating relationships, peer groups, sports and other recreational activities, as well as in the context of religious groups, traditional associations, and political or social movements.

NATURE AND EXTENT OF THE PROBLEM

The following sections examine the types of violence faced by children in the community, including both physical and sexual violence, and violence that occurs within intimate and dating relationships between young people. It also considers groups of children who face especially high risks of violence, including children living on the street, young members of ethnic minorities, children in camps for refugees or displaced persons, and those victimised by trafficking or abduction.

It should be noted that, in the quantitative data discussed below, data are rarely aggregated in ways that clearly distinguish children (defined as being under 18 years of age) from adults. More often, data are collected and aggregated in four-year age groups: 0–4; 5–9; 10–14, and 15–19. Sometimes inferences have to be made from data covering young people up to the age of 25.

PHYSICAL VIOLENCE

In countries with reliable data, a sudden, steep increase in the rates of violent victimisation and perpetration is noted at around the age of 15, indicating that a number of factors come together at adolescence to make physical violence – including much that is between teenagers – more common.⁷ Such violence follows clear patterns in respect of where it occurs, when it occurs, whom it is most likely to affect, and factors (such as alcohol use and economic inequality) associated with its occurrence. Being highly predictable, in principle, it is preventable through population-level interventions.

Homicide

The WHO global burden of disease estimates for the year 2002 indicate that 52,904 children aged 0–17 years died as a result of homicide.⁸ Of these child homicide victims, 21,995 or nearly 42% were aged 15–17 years, nearly
75% of whom were boys. These global estimates do not indicate the settings in which homicides occur, but country-level data from police statistics, injury surveillance systems and special studies suggest that a majority of homicides in the 15–17-year age group occur in community settings.

In some regions, notably in Latin America, the highest homicide rates of any age group across the population occur among 15–19-year olds. In others, including Africa, Eastern Europe and Central Asia, homicide rates continue to rise after the age of 15–19, peaking among young adults in their twenties, or even later. In every region, however, homicide rates in boys aged 15–17 are at least three times greater than those of boys aged 10–14, while homicide rates in girls aged 15–17 are nearly twice those of girls aged 10–14. This sudden increase in violence in the over-15 age group occurs even in regions with low overall homicide rates, and implies that measures to curtail violent behaviour are critical before and during the early and mid-teens, to prevent further increases in later years.

Over 95% of homicides in 15–17-year olds occur in low- and middle-income countries, where the homicide rate for boys aged 15–17 years is 9.8 per 100,000 population compared with 3.2 per 100,000 for boys in high-income countries. Girls in high-income countries have a homicide rate of 1.5 per 100,000 population, versus 3.5 per 100,000 for girls in low- and middle-income countries. Apart from the USA, most of the countries with the highest adolescent homicide rates are either developing countries or those experiencing rapid social and economic change. The countries with low rates of adolescent homicide tend to be in Western Europe or in Asia, while the countries with high rates tend to be in the Americas and Africa (see Table 7.1).

The marked variation in homicide rates across regions at different levels of social and economic development mirrors even sharper differences in homicide rates observed between communities within countries. For instance, provincial and city-level studies from high- and low- to middle-income countries including Brazil, Colombia, Jamaica, the Russian Federation, South Africa and the UK show that homicide rates follow a recurrent geographical pattern of inequity, with homicide rates in the most deprived communities being many times greater than in wealthier communities. For instance, in Cape Town, South Africa, just a few kilometres separate shanty towns where male homicide rates exceed 200 per 100,000 from wealthier, well-established suburban areas where male homicide rates are four times less, at around 50 per 100,000.

Relatively few studies have examined the victim–perpetrator relationship and the typical scenarios that underlie homicide in 15–17 year olds. Those which have been conducted suggest that perpetrators are often friends or acquaintances of the victim, and that much of this homicidal violence occurs in neighbourhoods and local hang-outs, and is linked to inter-personal arguments which develop into fights – over girls, possessions, rivalries, broken loyalties or group codes – and to intoxication with liquor or drugs. The availability of fire-
arms may mean that this violence results in serious injury or death.16,17,18,19

Sex differences in adolescent homicide rates raise questions about how male socialisation and norms regarding masculinity contribute to violence against children in community settings. In almost all countries (and with the exception of young children whose male/female homicide rates are similar), homicide rates among children are substantially higher among males than females. Homicide rates among boys aged 15–17 are nearly three times greater than those among girls of the same age. In the Americas, Africa, and Eastern Europe, where some of the highest adolescent homicide rates are found, the rates among 15–17-year-old boys are two to six times higher than those among girls of the same age.

### TABLE 7.1 – Homicide rates by region for males and females: estimated adolescent homicide rates by UN region, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION</th>
<th>MALES (AGE GROUP, YR)</th>
<th>FEMALES (AGE GROUP, YR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 – 14</td>
<td>15 – 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>9.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-income countries</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-income countries</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>9.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>15.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia/New Zealand*</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>37.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern America</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>6.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania**</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rate is based on less than 100 cases.
** Excluding Australia and New Zealand. Rate is based on less than 100 cases.

same age group. Preliminary data from the City of Cape Town and Burden of Disease Unit of South Africa’s Medical Research Council show that a boy celebrating his 15th birthday in the Cape Town informal settlement of Nyanga would have a greater than 1 in 20 chance of being shot dead by the age of 35. Data from Colombia show that a youth celebrating his 18th birthday in the Department of Antioquia would have a greater than 1 in 20 chance of being shot dead by the age of 25.

**Non-fatal physical violence**

The consequences of non-fatal physical violence occurring in the community and involving children range from minor to severe injuries. Severe injuries may require resource-intensive emergency medical treatment and inpatient care and result in lifelong disabilities such as brain damage, paraplegia, or may require amputation. Additionally, physical fights often precede even more serious forms of violence such as homicide.

---

**WITNESSING VIOLENCE**

In addition to those who suffer violence directly, large numbers of children witness violence in the communities in which they live (for a discussion on children who witness domestic violence see the chapter on violence against children in the home and family). A wide range of research has established that children who witness community violence are at risk of a variety of psychological, behavioural, and academic problems, as well as difficulty in concentrating, impaired memory, anxious attachments to caregivers, or aggressive behaviour.

Much of the pioneering research on children’s exposure to violence in the community and consequences of such exposure has been carried out in the USA, focusing on urban communities where high levels of violent crime and multiple risk factors are present. For example, in a study of 95 ‘high-risk’ boys aged 6–10 in New York City, 35% reported witnessing a stabbing, 33% had seen someone shot, 23% had seen a dead body in their neighbourhood, and 25% had seen someone killed.

Even when they have not directly witnessed the violence, children can be harmed when the victim is someone close to them. In Colombia, a 2001 study of 5,775 children aged 12–18 found that 11% of these adolescents reported having had a family member murdered or kidnapped, or receiving a death threat in the past year. This figure doubled for adolescents residing in Medellin, a city with particularly high levels of gun crime.

In Jamaica, 60% of 9–17-year-old children reported that a family member had been a victim of violence, and 37% had a family member who had been killed.
Few countries have information systems to monitor non-fatal violent injuries, and existing systems typically record only data on violent injuries with victims presenting at hospital emergency departments. Data from those sites cannot be compared directly, given the marked differences among and within countries in the availability and accessibility of emergency medical services. Furthermore, most studies do not report their findings using age categories that comply with the definition of the child in the (CRC) as a person aged between 0 and 18 years.

Special studies on youth violence occurring among 10–29-year olds do, however, provide some insight into the magnitude of the problem. For instance, it is estimated that for each of the approximately 200,000 homicides among youths aged 10–29 years that occurred in the year 2000, around 20–40 victims of non-fatal physical violence received hospital treatment. In other words, between 4 and 8 million young people are treated in hospitals for violence-related injuries each year. As with homicide, rates of non-fatal violent victimisation are substantially higher among males than females; for instance, in Eldoret, Kenya, there were 2.6 males for every female victim of violence who received hospital emergency treatment; in Jamaica, the ratio was 3 males per female, and in Norway the ratio was between 4 and 5 males per female.

Studies on non-fatal physical violence leading to hospital emergency room treatment show that, as in the case of homicide, rates increase dramatically during mid-adolescence and early adulthood. Also as for homicide, violence-related injuries leading to hospital treatment are sustained largely in the course of male-on-male violence, with the majority of the perpetrators being friends or acquaintances of the victim, and a large proportion of victims and perpetrators having consumed alcohol shortly before or during the violent incident. Unlike homicidal violence, however, the largest proportion of non-fatal violent injuries are not caused by firearms, but by knives, blunt objects, fists and feet.

**Violence within adolescent intimate and dating relationships**

Intimate and dating relationships with peers of the opposite sex (and, less frequently, of the same sex) are part of growing up for many adolescents. The forms the relationships take range from simply spending time together and casual dating to more formal courtship and marriage (for partner violence within early marriage, see the chapter on violence against children in the home and family). Dating is common in industrialised countries, whereas other cultural traditions may prohibit relationships between boys and girls before marriage.

The Global School-Based Student Health Survey, conducted with students aged 13 to 15, collected information on dating violence between 2003 and 2005. Preliminary analysis of the data has shown significant levels of physical violence within intimate relationships in a wide range of countries. Asked if they had been hit, slapped or hurt on purpose by their boyfriend or girlfriend in the past 12 months, 15% of the girls and 29% of the boys in Jordan responded ‘yes’. This was also reported by 9%...
of the girls and 16% of the boys in Namibia, 6% of the girls and 8% of the boys in Swaziland, and 18% of the girls and 23% of the boys in Zambia (see Figure 7.1).\textsuperscript{38}

A 2001 study of intermediate and secondary school students in the USA (75% of them under the age of 18) found similarly high levels of physical violence, and also psychological violence. It also found that physical violence rarely occurred in the absence of psychological violence, such as name-calling, insults, swearing, and threats of physical violence.\textsuperscript{39}

The International Dating Violence Study, conducted at 31 universities in 16 countries across Asia, Latin America, the Middle East and North America, found a high prevalence of physical violence perpetrated by both males and females against their dating partners (17% to 38% of males and 17% to 48% of females).\textsuperscript{40} Although this study surveyed young adults (mean age: 22 years), the findings point to the reality that intimate relationships among young adults and adolescents worldwide are characterised by high levels of aggression.

**SEXUAL VIOLENCE**

Sexual violence against children can have a devastating impact on both physical and mental health, and can lead to suicide, HIV infection, or murder of the victim in the case of 'honour killings'.\textsuperscript{41} Far too often, the violence is carried out with total impunity for the perpetrator.

**Coerced first sex**

For many adolescents, the first experience of sexual intercourse is unwanted or actively coerced; Figure 7.2 shows the prevalence of forced sexual initiation reported in studies from several countries, and illustrates the extent of sexual violence among adolescents. Outside child marriage (see the chapter on violence against children in the home and family), much of this initial sexual coercion is perpetrated by peers, including in the context of an intimate relationship.

Research indicates that the younger the age of sexual initiation, the more likely that it was coerced in some way.\textsuperscript{42,43,44,45} This is particularly true for girls, who face a greater risk of forced first sex than boys. The WHO’s Multi-Country Study on Women’s Health and Domestic Violence against Women found that women reporting first sex before the age of 17 were more likely to report forced sexual initiation than women who reported later sexual initiation. Of women who reported first sex prior to age 15, between 11% and 45% reported that it was forced.\textsuperscript{46}

In addition to forced sexual initiation, many children experience ongoing sexual coercion from boyfriends or girlfriends (see Table 7.2). While available studies suggest that physical violence in intimate relationships (see above) occurs at similar rates for girls and boys, girls are at much greater risk than boys of sexual violence within intimate and dating relationships.\textsuperscript{47} One study of sexual coercion among young people in Kenya found that for females who had experienced sexual coercion,
boyfriends were the most common perpetrators (51%), followed by husbands (28%) and acquaintances (22%).

A study in Thailand found that 54% of adolescent and young women’s experiences of forced sexual intercourse occurred with steady or casual partners, and an additional 27% with acquaintances. The Australian Study of Health and Relationships found that most sexual coercion of both males and females occurred at or before the age of 18. Among women who reported sexual coercion, one-third said that the first experience occurred between the ages of 9 and 16, and 40% of men reporting sexual coercion were between the ages of 9 and 16 at the first occurrence.

Sexual violence by strangers in the community

Sexual violence against children is more commonly perpetrated by someone known to the child, either from the family or within the family circle, but people outside these circles also perpetrate a significant number of sexual assaults on children in many countries. Perpetrators can include a wide variety of people, some who may have planned the assault. A selection of available studies shows the range and seriousness of sexual violence by people the child did not know. In a survey of South African women who reported having been raped before they were 15 years old, 21% identified strangers or recent acquaintances as the assailant. Chinese college students in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China reported that 28% of the perpetrators of sexual abuse were strangers. In Hungary, 34% of under-18 girls treated at a hospital...
following sexual assault reported having been assaulted by a stranger. In some cases, sexual violence is accompanied by or associated with abduction or trafficking.

**ISSUES OF SPECIAL CONCERN**

Reports of violence experienced by specific groups of children, or particular situations in which children seem to be at high risk of violence, were received by the Study. Children with disabilities may be targeted by others in the community, fuelled by stigma and prejudice. Services that cater well for their needs are still the exception. The vulnerability of children living on the street was strongly emphasised in Regional Consultations. Physical and sexual violence by figures of authority such as coaches, religious leaders and teachers was widely mentioned, although hard data...
are scarce. In regions with large numbers of refugees and displaced persons, concern was voiced about the safety of children in camps or dispersed within the community, while contributors from all regions were concerned about trafficking, and the plight of children affected by HIV/AIDS.

**Violence against children living on the street**

‘Street children’ are a large and ill-defined category of children. Since the 1980s, analysts have drawn a distinction between children on the street and children of the street. A relatively small proportion – less than 10% – of those visible on the streets have actually adopted the street as their habitat. This group is normally characterised by the term ‘street children’; although the term can be used pejoratively, it is also used by many children and their representative organisations, sometimes with considerable pride.

In the past, it was thought that millions of rootless children lived on the streets in various countries of Asia and Latin America. However, research established that many of these children actually have functioning families. Nonetheless, there are cities in many regions where large numbers of children have become based

---

“**It is easy to get beaten if you are a street boy. People can rape you. Men can beat a boy and rape him. There is nothing you can do but run away if you are lucky.**”

Boy, 12, living in the street, Eastern and Southern Africa, 2005

---

**TABLE 7.2 – Adolescents reporting sexual coercion: selected surveys, 1999 – 2005**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>STUDY POPULATION</th>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE REPORTING FORCED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Age group (yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>8,382</td>
<td>15 – 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Nyeri District</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2,712</td>
<td>10 – 24(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Plateau State</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4,218</td>
<td>12 – 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Chiang Rai Province</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>15 – 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>13,953</td>
<td>13 – 18(^c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Total number of adolescents in the study. Rates are based on those adolescents who have had sexual intercourse.

\(b\) Of the 1753 respondents included in the analysis, about 6% of the males and 30% of the females had never been married.

\(c\) High school students grades 9 to 12 reporting on coerced sexual intercourse.
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in the street, and who rarely or never go home. In Nairobi, for example, local NGOs estimate numbers to be as high as 20,000. In China, one countrywide estimate was 150,000, and in Indonesia it was 170,000. The majority of children living on the street everywhere are boys.

Discrimination and violence against children living on the street often stems from the stigma associated with having no family (of course, many do have families) and with the activities they may have to adopt to survive. Children living on the street everywhere report being demonised for activities which – even they involves petty offences – in no way warrant the kind of cruel and gratuitous violence to which they are often subjected.

Murder of children living on the street has been reported from Colombia, Brazil, Guatemala, and the Philippines. Appalling brutality has been documented in the Haitian capital of Port-au-Prince where the 3,000 children who live on the streets are frequently targeted by armed vigilantes. The extent of serious human rights violations may be much greater than the few and unreliable available figures indicate. Many cases are not reported because of the lack of witnesses, or because victims or their relatives live on the fringes of society, and tend to remain anonymous. The World Organisation against Torture reported that in Ciudad Bolívar, Bogota, Colombia, 850 children and adolescents were assassinated between 2000–2004, and that 620 were abducted between August 2003 and August 2004. The national police force was among those cited as being responsible for this.

Girls and boys living on the street are vulnerable to sexual abuse from many individuals, including from passers-by and from those who offer them shelter. They also risk being recruited by pimps and traffickers for sexual and economic exploitation, or having to resort to ‘survival sex’ (sex in exchange for food or shelter).

HIV and violence in the community

Children whose parents are ill because of HIV/AIDS or those who have been orphaned by the disease face psychological violence, stigma and discrimination. They may be rejected by their friends and schoolmates, as well as at health centres. In addition to the grief and loss they feel, the estimated 15 million orphans due to AIDS face growing up without adequate bond-
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Psychosocial trauma can continue even when orphans move to foster families or to extended families. They may be treated as second-class family members – discriminated against in the allocation of food, perhaps, or in the distribution of work. Orphans in Zambia have reported a lack of love and a feeling of being excluded, as part of outright discrimination. Many of these children are vulnerable to abuse (physical, emotional and sexual) from extended family members and other members of their communities, and girls are particularly vulnerable to sexual abuse.

Violence by police

The level of violence against children by police was rated a serious issue in a number of Government responses to the Independent Expert’s questionnaire, and was a common theme in regional reviews and consultations. Even in societies where systems of justice are far more deeply embedded and socially accessible than in many other parts of the world, police violence against children (or inaction against perpetrators) is rarely investigated. The level of impunity such conduct enjoys is an important reason for its continuation. Without independent monitoring systems, children have no one to report police violence to, except other police, from whom they understandably fear reprisals. This, combined with the perception that children’s presence on the street equates with ‘juvenile delinquency’, places many children at risk of police violence.

Since the 1990s, international human rights NGOs have drawn attention to police violence against children living and working on the street. Studies in Bulgaria, Egypt, Guatemala, Papua New Guinea, Sudan and elsewhere reveal widespread brutality, including beatings, sexual assault, and torture. This may take place casually on the street; during arrest on the way to the police station; and subsequently in police lock-ups. Kenya reported in its questionnaire response to the Study that children living on the street are frequently harassed and arrested by police; they may be charged with loitering or petty offences, and without representation in court, deprived of their liberty for years in corrective institutions.

In Honduras, a total of 59 children and young people under the age of 23 died in detention centres between May 2002 and March 2004. Local NGOs allege that 41 of these victims were extra-judicially executed by agents of the State. Following her visit to Brazil in 2004, Asma Jahangir, the UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions,
reported that victims of police violence and summary executions tend to be young Afro-Brazilian males between the ages of 15–19 years, who are often involved in criminal gangs.81

**Violence by other authority figures**

While police are the authority figures most often mentioned in relation to community violence against children, it is clear that many other people with responsibility to supervise or defend children regularly abuse the trust implicit in their positions. These include sports coaches, religious authorities, youth club workers, and teachers (the latter are discussed in the chapter on violence against children in schools and educational settings).

In recent decades, long-held assumptions about the purely positive impacts of organised activities for children have been shattered by reports of physical and sexual violence against children in sport- and faith-based activities. In 1998, studies in Canada and Norway revealed that over one-third of young athletes had had negative and uncomfortable experiences, ranging from mild sexual harassment to abuse. A Danish pilot study (1998) also revealed that about 25% of athletes under 18 knew about or had experienced situations of harassment by a coach or trainer. A Norwegian study comparing the prevalence of sexual harassment in and outside the context of sport suggests that twice as many athletes as non-athletes have experienced sexual harassment from coaches and other authority figures.82 In the case of faith-based organisations, information tends to be limited to media reports and systematic data from various faiths is seriously lacking.

Although these cases do not represent the complete spectrum of situations, they are warning signs that should be taken very seriously.

**Violence against sexual minorities**

Media reports, cases documented by human rights groups, and anecdotal evidence by victims themselves indicate that violence against young lesbians, homosexuals, bisexuals and
transgendered individuals is widely prevalent, with perpetrators including family members, peers, teachers, clergy and police.\textsuperscript{83,84,85}

Laws outlawing same-sex behaviour which exist in some countries, as well as stereotypical attitudes, can encourage violence against sexual minorities. Discriminatory attitudes are also ingrained in both traditional and popular culture, in much the same way as attitudes that accept domestic violence and corporal punishment.

**Violence against child refugees, returnees and internally displaced persons**

It is estimated that there are 19.2 million refugees and displaced people globally. Although well-run camps can be safe havens for their inhabitants, physical insecurity can be a serious problem in camps and settlements for refugees and displaced persons, particularly in terms of gender-based violence.\textsuperscript{86,87,88,89} Many camps lack secure buildings, good lighting, regular law enforcement, sanctuary for survivors of attack, and means of reporting and redress.\textsuperscript{90,91} Moreover, the traditional assignment of certain jobs to women and children – notably the fetching of firewood, water and other domestic supplies – creates conditions of high risk of sexual assault as they carry out these tasks. Communities may be forced to rely on informal or traditional methods of security, and where fathers or husbands are not present (as is the case for many refugee families, particularly those displaced by war), this represents particular difficulties.

In 2006, the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees published a study carried out in 13 countries (Bangladesh, Côte d’Ivoire, Ethiopia, Guinea, Kenya, Mexico, Nepal, Pakistan, Sierra Leone, the United Republic of Tanzania, Thailand, Yemen and Zambia), including information on violence against children in refugee camps.\textsuperscript{92} These countries currently have 52 camps and a total population of nearly one million refugees.

Of particular concern was the study’s finding that a high proportion of crimes and disputes in all the surveyed refugee camps fall under the broad category of sexual and gender-based violence. Domestic violence – which can include violence against spouses, children, and other members of the family such as daughters-in-law – was widespread in all the countries surveyed, and was the most commonly reported form of sexual and gender-based violence. In Sierra Leone for example, domestic violence was second only to theft as the most pervasive justice issue arising in the camps.

In many cases, little formal protection or redress was available to victims of such violence through camp dispute resolution systems, many of which are administered by traditional elders from among the refugees themselves. For example, the study found that rape of girls and women often draws only negligible punishments. Some rapists were absolved if they agreed to marry the survivor, although if the victim was a minor, a small fine or a minimal length of detention might be imposed. The study cites two examples from one refugee camp, where men confessed to raping young
girls who were described respectively as “one physically and one mentally handicapped minor.” As punishment, the men received six months detention for crimes which would have resulted in far lengthier prison terms under the national law of their host countries.93

Violence may also be perpetrated by people in authority or in support positions. A recent report from Liberia found that girls between the ages of eight and 18, especially from age 12 upwards, had been regularly selling sex to camp officials, humanitarian workers, peace workers, and Government employers, usually because of extreme want.94

When dispersed in urban settings, it is much more difficult for assistance programmes to identify, monitor and support displaced persons. They may be hidden among already under-served, poor local populations in shanty towns or scattered over broad, densely populated areas with limited infrastructure and access.

Children who are resettling in their home country can also be at higher risk of violence.95 A study of children in Zambia, South Africa and Angola who had been long-term refugees reported children suffering from violent discrimination because of their status both as refugees and as returnees.96 This treatment comes on top of the harrowing violence witnessed (or experienced directly) by many children in their country of origin or on the journey to their host nation, a problem which was not always addressed by the services available. However, emerging studies on resilience suggest that family support and having structured education and recreational activities are important for children to recover and regain a sense of routine and normalcy. Although many factors can affect children’s coping mechanisms, culturally relevant forms of counselling and specific support to restructure the way they think about their experiences and develop positive skills can be of assistance.97

**Trafficking of children**

Since the 1990s, the substantial traffic in human beings, including children, within countries and across international borders has aroused major international concern. Statistics on trafficking are imprecise, but the International Labour Organization (ILO) estimated in 2003 that 1.2 million children are trafficked every year.98 The phenomenon is complex, and interacts with poverty, labour migration flows, demand for cheap labour, and perceptions or misinformation relating to a better life ‘elsewhere’. Where children are not registered, the lack of identification documents compounds the trafficking problem in that children become easy targets for traffickers, and once trafficked they are effectively ‘lost’.99

In certain settings, infants are at particular risk of abduction for trafficking. For example, in Central America there are reports of infant kidnapping for the US adoption market, sometimes directly from the hospital shortly after birth.100

In some areas, there are reports of young children being trafficked for begging. At the Cambodian border, parents sell or lease their children for use as members of begging groups in Thai venues.101 Begging in transport hubs,
Violence against children in the community, car parks and public places is a characteristic of child work in Romania and is ‘exported’ through the trafficking of children domestically and into other parts of Europe.\textsuperscript{102}

Trafficking may involve abduction, but in many cases it begins with deception or enticement. For example, many recruiters and traffickers deal directly with the parents, who may believe they are being offered an opportunity to improve the child’s chances in life, gain a well-paid job, and that the child can remit money home to help the family. Once the child is away from the home and the community, however, he or she is vulnerable to many forms of violence. These include physical and sexual abuse experienced by a significant proportion of victims during the course of their voyage, being held captive while waiting for ‘job’ placement, and the kind of situations into which they are trafficked. Such situations range from low-paid domestic work to prostitution, or labour on agricultural plantations in conditions of servitude or debt bondage (see the chapter on violence against children in places of work).\textsuperscript{103}

For example, in India, reports of professional traffickers recruiting girls from poor village communities in coastal areas suggest that, while the girls’ expectation is of domestic employment and city life, the reality is entry into the sex trade in various cities. Traffickers similarly operate in Nepal for outlets in India, and in Myanmar for Thailand. Thousands of children from Bangladesh have been trafficked into sexual exploitation in Pakistan and the Middle East, as have teenage girls from West Africa into Italy. The trade from Eastern Europe into Western European cities is similarly well documented.\textsuperscript{104}

Victims often face stigma if they manage to escape: because they are viewed as immoral or ‘unclean’, girls are often rejected by their family and community, and may return to a life of prostitution. A trafficked child is generally undocumented and often unable to speak the language of the host country, so will have difficulty in finding or reaching home. In many cases, children go home to unchanged social circumstances, and so risk being re-trafficked.

Where trafficked children are rescued or escape, they may be detained by the police or immigration authorities, and risk deportation to their country of origin.\textsuperscript{105} This has been reported from countries in every region and typically occurs without referral either to the courts or other authorities. Repatriation takes place without any attention paid to the child’s best interests or to their right to be consulted when making decisions affecting their future. Child victims of trafficking are often treated as if they were criminals because of their supposed or actual involvement in offences committed as a result of being trafficked, or of coercion by their captors, such as theft, prostitution, or illegal immigration.

**FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO VIOLENCE**

**INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILY FACTORS**

Many key factors contributing to violence against children are found or begin in the
home, and have therefore been covered at some length in the chapter on violence against children in the home and family. For the purposes of the present chapter, it is important to point out that these include *individual factors* (biological make-up and personal history of both the child and his or her family members) and *relationship factors* (how the child interacts with parents and siblings) which affect the likelihood that a child will become a victim or perpetrator of violence. For example, children who show early signs of hyperactivity and poor attention span (individual factors), or who grow up with poor parental supervision, parental aggression and harsh discipline (relationship factors), are at a higher risk of involvement in violence either as victims or perpetrators in later years.

Many of these factors – as well as the physical and psychological symptoms that indicate a child’s experience of violence – may be identifiable by trained professionals such as teachers, social workers or medical staff, but also by members of the community. This is particularly true if community members’ awareness of violence against children has been raised by interventions.

The important point to draw from this is that while these risk factors are located at individual and family level, it is at community level that many of the key preventive interventions and responses to violence must be delivered. These are discussed below in the section on responses.

**SITUATIONAL FACTORS**

Many years of research and experience confirm that the presence of several key situational factors in the community can precipitate violent events that might not otherwise occur. Three of the most important are: widespread access to firearms, alcohol consumption, and the characteristics of the physical environment. In situations where these factors are present, young people who have no prior history of violent behaviour and who are not continuously violent may nevertheless react violently, and with serious consequences. A fourth important situational factor is the presence of gangs or organised armed groups within the community.

**Firearms**

It is estimated that several hundred thousand people die from firearm-related injuries each year. A large proportion of these deaths are due to homicide and suicide. The number of non-fatal firearm-related injuries is unknown, but is likely to be many times greater than the number of deaths. Adolescents and young adults are the primary victims and perpetrators of firearm-related violence in almost every region of the world.

For instance, firearm-related mortality in Brazil has increased significantly for all age groups since 1991, but death rates due to firearms have risen the most among children and adolescents aged 10 to 19. In 1991, 55.7% of homicides involving 15–19-year-olds were firearm-related, while in 2000 the proportion amounted to 77%. In the State capitals with the highest adolescent firearm-related mortality rates (between 102 and 222 per 100,000), rates are 5 to 11 times those of the overall rate for all age groups.
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Alcohol

Alcohol is a known factor in intimate partner violence, and children are often directly affected by this. Alcohol is also an important factor in violence against and by older children in community settings. In many societies, alcohol is common in social situations. Young people may use alcohol to bolster their self-confidence, and their aggression levels may increase and escalate to produce violent confrontations, while impaired physical control and ability to interpret warning signals in dangerous situations may make some young drinkers targets for perpetrators.\textsuperscript{110}

Patterns of alcohol use by children and young people vary widely among countries, and are partially determined by wider cultural norms regarding the social acceptability of alcohol consumption. In general, young people are more likely than the older population to engage in heavy episodic drinking, and there is a growing concern that a youth culture of alcohol abuse is spreading.\textsuperscript{111} The Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children Study found that across 32 European countries, regular alcohol consumption was reported by 5% of 11-year-olds, 12% of 13-year-olds and 29% of 15-year-olds. More than one-third of the children reported having been drunk twice or more, with boys more likely to use alcohol and report drunkenness than girls in all age groups.\textsuperscript{112}

Several studies have demonstrated the links between alcohol and violence for adolescents: in Finland, 45% of all violent incidents reported by 12–18-year-olds involved drinking on the part of the perpetrator and/or victim.\textsuperscript{113} In the Philippines, where 14% of 15–24-year-olds reported physically injuring someone through violence in the previous three months, such violence was significantly associated with drinking.\textsuperscript{114} Among 10–18-year-olds participating in the Caribbean Youth Health Survey, having used alcohol in the last year was significantly associated with weapon-related violence for both males and females.\textsuperscript{115}

Studies in Finland, England and Wales and Australia have shown that levels of alcohol-related involvement in violence increase with age throughout adolescence and usually peak in the early twenties.\textsuperscript{116,117,118}

Physical environment

The nature of a community’s physical fabric, its density of settlement and layout, and the availability of services and amenities supportive of family well-being, have an important bearing on social relationships within the community and on whether or not adults and children become prey to violence. For example, research on refugees in Africa cites the lack of safety in public spaces as a risk for sexual and gender-based violence, most of it against girls.\textsuperscript{119,120,121,122}

The physical design of public spaces can determine whether they are potential settings for violence. Environmental design, such as improved street lighting, can help prevent crime as well as reduce fear of victimisation.\textsuperscript{123} Evidence is emerging that improved street lighting achieves reductions in crime through
increased community pride and informal social control rather than as a result of increased surveillance and deterrence.

Seriously inadequate housing, and overcrowding within both dwellings and neighbourhoods can have a damaging effect on child nurture. Among the most frequent community health concerns related to family housing are the inadequate supply of affordable housing for low-income people and the increasing spatial segregation of households by income, race, ethnicity, or social class into unsafe neighbourhoods. The increasing concentration of poverty can result in physical and social deterioration of neighbourhoods, resulting in housing disinvestment, deteriorated physical conditions, and reduced ability of formal and informal institutions to maintain public order.

**Forced evictions**

Recognition of the right of the child to a standard of living adequate for his or her physical, mental, spiritual, moral and social development is set out in article 27 of the CRC, and is fundamental to ensuring children’s security and to protecting them from violence. Adequate housing, along with adequate food and clothing, is considered to be an element constituting the right to an adequate standard of living (article 11 International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights).

In its 2005 State of the World’s Children Report, UNICEF reported that more than one out of every three children in the developing world live in inadequate housing (approximately 640 million children). One in five does not have access to safe water (approximately 400 million children). While child homelessness is perhaps the most visible and dire violation of children’s housing rights, all violations of housing rights, from forced eviction to inadequate housing conditions, have special ramifications for children.

While forced evictions are universally detrimental to all their victims, they have special implications for children. Forced evictions are often accompanied by violence, particularly against women and children who are most likely to be at home when such a procedure is carried out. Evidence suggests that in response to the violence, panic and confusion so often characteristic of forced eviction, many children experience recurrent nightmares, anxiety and distrust. The impacts on family stability and the emotional well-being of children can be devastating, even when evictions are followed by immediate relocation. Children also have recounted increased incidents of violence within their own homes after a forced eviction had taken place.

**Gangs**

Gangs are an important factor in violence among and against children in many parts of the world. UNICEF Country Offices in the Philippines, Vietnam, Mongolia and Cambodia all report worrying levels of gang fights and violent gang initiation practices among some of the poorest communities, often involving children living on the street. In addition to violence directed outside the gang, it may also be used against members who fail the gang, refuse to carry out a leader’s order, or are in breach of its internal rules.
Gang membership may be drawn exclusively from among school students, exclusively from among non-school-goers, or from both. However, their membership is drawn, gangs operating in and around schools can expose students and teachers to criminal activity and extreme violence. Research shows that, in many societies, physical and verbal aggression, including fighting, is considered a healthy and normal way for boys to prove their manhood and that explains, in part, why boys are more inclined to join gangs than girls and why boys’ gangs enter into aggressive competition with other gangs. However, there are also gangs made up of girls and, like gangs of boys, they may also engage in violence.

Although gangs of boys on the streets are almost universally associated – in the public’s mind – with violence, crime, and other forms of antisocial behaviour, it should be remembered that children – mostly but not exclusively boys – join gangs for a variety of reasons. In some cases, lack of nurturing and emotional support at home may prompt young teenagers to seek gang membership; in others, gang affiliation is the only means of achieving economic sufficiency or feeling safe. In the USA, an ethnographic study of immigrant school children from Mexico and Central America found that they joined gangs to find a positive cultural identity as Latinos when they felt thwarted by negative stereotypes.

In addition to adolescent street-based youth gangs, concerns were raised during the Study process about children’s involvement in organised armed groups acting outside defined war zones. These include organised crime organisations (including those in the drug trade), ethnic or religious militias, vigilante groups, and paramilitary organisations.

A recent study that investigated such groups in 10 countries (Colombia, El Salvador, Ecuador, Honduras, Jamaica, Nigeria, Northern Ireland, the Philippines, South Africa, and the USA) found that the average age at which boys tended to join such groups was 13, with the exception of Nigeria, where the average was 15–16. Alarmingly, however, the age of gang members appears to be decreasing. The study found that children working for armed groups were directly involved in acts of violence, including the murder of fellow group members and non-involved parties.

Many Governments have tried using repressive law enforcement tactics to deal with children involved in organised armed violence (see box). However, available evidence suggests that a focus solely on repression will tend to be ineffective for several reasons: it does not deal with the root causes of the problem; the juvenile justice and penal systems in most countries affected by this problem are inadequate and worsen the problem; and armed groups tend to become more organised and increasingly violent when faced only with repressive tactics. Continued pressure from international child protection agencies must be focused on the eradication of any such legislation that would place certain minors under harsher legal regimes than others.
Levels of youth violence are high on the agenda of public debate in Latin America. Growing concern with youth violence, particularly that associated with gangs, has led some countries of the region to adopt repressive measures and legislative reforms that not only contradict human rights principles but that may also have negative effects on the levels of violence and the organisation of youth gangs.

According to the available data, children are not responsible for the large majority of crimes of which they are accused, but there is a strong belief that the adoption of international human rights standards protects children (particularly adolescents) from justified punishment and is being used as a shield by young criminals. The perceived synchronisation between the adoption of human rights standards and the increase in crime in Latin America is sometimes used to undermine important legal advances and even to question the need for accountability in cases of State violence.

The adoption of security strategies which focus on repressive measures is marked by a harsh rhetoric, the neglect of long-term preventive measures and the lack of data to guide and determine the impact of such strategies. In a visit to Central America, the Independent Expert was informed about recent legal changes lowering the age of criminal responsibility and repressively penalising young people for gang membership. The lack of reliable data does not allow an in-depth assessment of the impact of such policies.

Some of these legal reforms contradicted binding human rights principles relating to children, and were subsequently abrogated. For example, the preemptive detention of adolescents on the grounds of supposed gang membership identified by tattoos or signals was ruled as being arbitrary in various cases. Large-scale arrests of supposed gang members have also resulted in a significant increase in the population of already extremely overcrowded detention centres, leading to violent conflicts within these institutions. These institutions also expose young detainees to the gang networks, thereby increasing the internal linkages of gangs and rivalry among opposing groups. Although criminal networks must be dismantled, the rise of violence in Latin America is unlikely to be curbed by harsh security policies. Rather, this requires long-term investment in a number of policies to reinforce protective factors and limit risk factors. The identification of the best strategies to deal with this growing concern is predicated on reliable data and good data collection systems. The success of security measures is also linked to the capability of Governments to stop the violence and corruption which may exist within the security forces, and to ensure access to justice, particularly to the poor.
Situations of unrest or conflict

Some situations in which conflict or unrest are ongoing – such as in Northern Uganda and Nepal – have exposed children to mass kidnapping and abduction, so they can perform as fighters, porters, or be in support positions; girls have been used as sexual slaves. Other countries in which systems of public security have broken down in the wake of actual war such as Afghanistan, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and Somalia, have developed a ‘semi-formal’ structure in which groups based on ethnic affiliation compete for authority over territory, and over lucrative forms of trade (especially drugs), or other resources. During turbulent times in Somalia’s southern and central regions, for example, one of the only ‘jobs’ available to young men was in clan militias, without whose protection civilian businesses or aid operations cannot function. These failures of Governments impact heavily on their communities.

VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN IN SITUATIONS OF ONGOING CONFLICT – THE CASE OF THE OCCUPIED PALESTINIAN TERRITORY

Political violence in the community tends to affect the risks of violence in other contexts not related with the ongoing conflict. More than four decades of conflict have deeply affected the lives of Palestinian children from the Occupied Palestinian Territory (OPT). Development and human rights indicators for children, who comprise 53% (1,954,000) of the total population of the OPT, have deteriorated sharply since the start of the second intifada (September 2000). For example, in 2005 42.1% (822,634) of Palestinian children were living below the poverty level, and in 2003 data related to the psychosocial well-being of Palestinian children indicates that nine out of 10 parents report symptomatic traumatic behaviour among at least one of their children.

The increasing pressure the Israeli occupation has on the lives of those in the OPT, and the renewed and reinforced restrictions on mobility which apply deeply affect relations between and within communities and families, leading to an increase in violence against children in different settings. Addressing the root causes of this violence in the OPT, rather than its symptoms, only requires contextualising the violence within the ongoing conflicts.
Violence threatens children in the streets, at school, and in the home. While there is a paucity of quantitative data on the OPT related to violence within families and the larger community, one-fifth of the children surveyed in a qualitative study indicated that the number one source of violence in their lives was the family, with community violence ranked as being the second most common source of violence. Notably, when asked to identify the perpetrators and places of violence in their lives, 43% of surveyed Palestinian children reported having experienced violence at the hands of more than one perpetrator; and almost half of them indicated having experienced violence in more than one place, including their homes and schools.

More than 50% of the Palestinian children surveyed affirmed that their parents were unable to meet their care and protection needs. This sentiment was echoed by the parents themselves, with more than 43% of Palestinian parents feeling that they were unable to provide adequate protection and care for their children. Studies contextualizing the root causes of violence in the family found that the combination of lack of resources in the family and subsequent stress that this places on the family caregivers is a significant predictor of family violence in the OPT.

At home and in the classroom, adult caregivers have noted signs of increasing anger and aggression among children. In school, teachers have noted higher levels of stress, disobedience, irritation, and reduced concentration and confidence among students. Teachers also report an increase in violence between students, particularly boys, while parents report that children are acting in an increasingly aggressive manner towards friends and siblings.

Safe areas for children

The lack of safe places for children to play and interact seems to be related to the prevalence of various forms of violence that take place in areas marked by conflict. Local-level partnerships between municipalities, NGOs and local authorities have been forged under the Child-Friendly Cities initiative in four locations in the OPT: two in the Gaza Strip (Rafah and Gaza City), and two in the West Bank (Jenin and Jericho).
During the second half of the 20th century, the process of urbanisation in the developing world rapidly accelerated. Cities and towns are now home to around half the world’s children. In many regions, notably sub-Saharan Africa, which now contains more urban children than North America, this demographic change has come about during a period of political and economic crisis and environmental pressure. The process of relocation and its accompanying social changes, together with a lack of economic opportunity for those in the lowest educational, lowest skilled and lowest socio-economic groups, has helped to create circumstances favouring frustration and unrest, potentially leading to violence.
Although urbanisation has historically been accompanied by lower rates of child mortality, increased access to education, and improvements across all social indicators, it also has negative aspects including poverty, inequality, changes in family structure and the breakdown of social networks – factors that all contribute to violence. Few of the environments inhabited by poor urban families are friendly to children. A high proportion of new arrivals live in informal (and, in the eyes of the law, illegal) settlements. The pace and irregular form of urbanisation has put under severe pressure the capacity of municipal authorities to provide services and amenities. Much of the housing in newly urbanised areas is cramped, flimsy, and insecure, while the surrounding environment does not offer many opportunities for children to play and interact safely with the world about them. Outdoor space – which they enter at an early age – is often contaminated by garbage and human waste.

Poverty, inequality and social exclusion

Around 2.8 billion people today survive on less than US$ 2 a day, of whom almost 50% are children. Poverty alone, however, is a less significant correlate of violence – as measured by homicide rates – than a combination of high income inequality and poverty. Although more research is needed to fully understand the links, emerging findings suggest that wealthier societies have lower homicide rates, even where there is a large income gap between rich and poor communities. In societies at a lower level of overall economic development, higher homicide rates are experienced. It may be that wealthier societies are able to provide a higher level of protection and social support for their poor communities than those at a lower level of overall economic development.

Measures of economic inequality are powerful predictors of homicide rates of 10-19 year-olds, especially boys, and this association is stronger in countries with lower overall gross domestic product (GDP). However, the relationship between absolute poverty (as opposed to relative poverty) and violence is not entirely straightforward. Growth in GDP is associated with lower homicide rates, as might be expected, but this effect is in many cases negated by the economic inequality and social exclusion that often flourish alongside rapid economic development and urbanisation.

Poorer communities and their children appear to be most vulnerable to interpersonal violence when exposed to economic and population changes that contribute to community disorganisation and, ultimately, to a community’s ability to control violent behaviour. Recent research has supported the theory that the imbalance between concentrations of affluence and poverty in the same urban area could be an important predictor of community variations in interpersonal violence.

The social exclusion experienced by low-income urban populations in all regions has been exacerbated by trends at the international level. The rapid pace of social and political change, and economic globalisation – the adoption of domestic deregulation, trade liberalisation and privatisation of services, a policy
violence against children in the community which was introduced in the 1980s and intensified in the 1990s – has had enormous impacts on society generally, including on children’s well-being. Although many people have benefited from the creation of jobs in export manufacturing industries, better access to information, and some other aspects of globalisation, the majority of the least well-off have suffered a widening gap in economic exclusion. Low-income rural people in 60 countries surveyed in the World Bank’s 2000 *Voices of the Poor* Study stated that life had become less secure, more marginal, and more threatened in recent decades. This was due to the growing precariousness of livelihoods, exclusion from services and institutions, the loss of social cohesion, the higher exposure to negative influences such as crime and alcohol, powerlessness and humiliation at the hands of authority, constant worry at home and the greater frequency of family disputes, among other factors.

Social exclusion is a problem that is by no means confined to countries in the developing world. A recent UK report estimated that one million people could be described as being socially excluded, and that 5% of the population were at risk. The characteristics of social exclusion were described in similar terms to those in Latin America: unemployment, discrimination, poor housing, low incomes, poor skills, high crime, ill-health, family breakdown. In the USA, one in four children is living in poverty, with ethno-cultural minority families representing a high proportion of those at risk, mostly in urban neighbourhoods with inadequate housing and few resources.

In view of the strong relationship between poverty, inequality and social exclusion, and violence against and among children in community settings, the urgency of State obligations to fulfil human rights – especially long-neglected social, economic and cultural rights – is clear. Although that technological advances and other resources have never been greater to accommodate these needs, worldwide there are still communities where few individuals enjoy their right to an adequate standard of living, their right to the highest attainable standard of health, their rights to social security, education, participation, and non-discrimination. In these communities, violence against children flourishes and significant prevention gains will not be made until these rights are better protected, respected and fulfilled.
Access to information is a child’s right and has an important role in transmitting new knowledge and facilitating the adoption of life-enhancing pro-social behaviours and values. In terms of protecting children, technology has certainly helped in making information and advice more available, for example through ‘child help lines’ (http://www.chiworld.org), and also via the Internet, although the quality is difficult to regulate. Better communication has also increased the potential for protecting children. However, where children’s access to the media and printed images is not appropriately supervised by parents or other caregivers, it allows exposure to violent, abusive and pornographic material.

Many contributors to the Study voiced concern that Governments were not doing enough to limit children’s exposure to images of violence and male domination of women and girls on television, films, video games and the Internet, that endorse ideas of violence, verbal aggression and sexism as norms and lower sensitivity to violence. A review by the International Centre for Missing and Exploited Children found an urgent need to increase the number of countries with laws in place related to Internet child pornography.

The specific threats to children from the internet and communications technologies (ICTs) stem from exposure to violent imagery including through video and online games, and also the production, distribution and use of materials depicting sexual violence and more specifically, child sexual abuse and pornography. While the problems of exposure have been well documented in industrialised countries, little is known about what takes place in developing countries. A study in three cities of Pakistan – Karachi, Lahore, and Peshawar – in 2001, showed that many children are exposed to pornography in Internet cafes. Almost 50% of the children interviewed in the study reported visiting pornographic sites, and over 80% could name a number of such sites. Most of the children interviewed were from poor neighbourhoods, or were child workers.

Online solicitation of children or ‘grooming’ (securing a child’s trust in order to draw them into a situation where they may be harmed) for such purposes is difficult to curtail in any jurisdiction, let alone across international borders. In addition, the phenomenon of ‘cyber-bullying’ is emerging in tandem with the proliferation of mobile phones. A 2006 survey in the UK showed that more than one in 10 children aged...
between 11 and 15 had been harassed, bullied or victimised by threatening messages, or because someone had published misleading information about them online. Such actions can be part of complex operations involving the manipulation of photographs taken on mobile phones, and invitations to anonymous users to attack named victims on website forums.\textsuperscript{172}

According to experts, the apparent increasing interest in images of abuse may be associated with the way the online environments allow for expression that is not generally tolerated in other environments.\textsuperscript{173} For example, in the general climate of lowered inhibitions provided by the online environment, users can alter their mood, recreate themselves sometimes with multiple self-representations, validate and justify the exchange of abusive images with others, challenge concepts of regulation, and disrupt conventional hierarchies. ECPAT research suggests that children and young people who do not have adequate parental supervision, who suffer from low self-esteem, or who have no strong mentor in their lives are particularly at risk of negative outcomes related to use of ICTs.\textsuperscript{174}

Media violence may give children unrealistic ideas about violence in real life. For example, there is some evidence that watching action films can lead children to believe that the human body is much tougher than it actually is, and to underestimate the serious physical damage that punches and kicks can inflict. It is also suspected that media-instilled ideas about violence and a feeling of being under threat can predispose some individuals to resort to destructive aggressive behaviour in situations of stress or perceived danger.\textsuperscript{175} In addition, it is hypothesised that access to television and the Internet may promote consumer envy, and underline the gap between the lifestyles of the haves and have-nots, and thus lead to petty or violent crime.\textsuperscript{176}

A recent meta-review of scientific studies examined the effects of media violence on children up to 18 years of age. It concluded that there is “consistent evidence that violent imagery on television, film and video, and computer games has substantial short-term effects on arousal, thoughts, and emotions, increasing the likelihood of aggressive or fearful behaviour in younger children, especially boys.” The effects are not clear in the case of older children (including teenagers), or regarding the long-term impacts
on any age group. The researchers emphasised the difficulty of determining causation, and that aggression is related to a wide variety of factors. A number of issues remain unresolved, such as the relationship between media violence and crime, and whether individuals from violent backgrounds, or who are predisposed to aggressive behaviour, might be more susceptible than others to the effect of watching violent images. Nor is much known about violent media imagery’s interaction with mental health problems, or with viewers under the influence of alcohol or drugs.177

During the Regional Consultations convened for the Study, the challenges presented by ICTs to the safety of children were universally recognised. The Middle East and North Africa region underscored the importance of the involvement of the private sector, including that relating to tourism and transport industries, in partnership with others in addressing the serious problem of trafficking of women and children, including in relation to sex tourism. Two types of sexual exploitation of under-18s in relation to tourism are noted by ECPAT International: the minority are sex tourists with a specific interest in pre-pubescent children (paedophiles); the majority are ordinary tourists who take advantage of the sexual services of children, mostly aged in their mid- or upper teens, who are made available to them. The methods of organising child sex tourism have changed over time. Whereas it was initially more common for tourists to make contact through a brothel or in a well known area of such activity, in recent years the Internet has been used – transforming and multiplying the risks for exploitation of children through tourism.178

While some major companies internationally are now committing themselves to the “Code of Conduct to Protect Children from Sexual Exploitation in Travel and Tourism,” to stop human trafficking, including making the links between HIV/AIDS and trafficking, Governments need to make sure that a great deal more is done.179

While advances in technology have brought many benefits, efforts to extend these benefits more equitably are still needed – protecting children from the negative potential of technology is a serious challenge. The need for a focus on prevention as an absolute imperative in addressing child safety and ICTs was a message that was reiterated throughout the Study process. Greater attention paid to the prosecution of offenders,
to increasing the public perception and reality that offenders would be caught was also emphasised, along with the need for more effort to be dedicated to diversifying the technology to protect children. Increasing the protection of children while expanding access to ICTs was specifically included in the Tunis agenda for the Information Society from the World Summit on the Information Society hosted by Tunisia in 2005. The agenda reaffirmed the importance of “incorporating regulatory, self-regulatory, and other effective policies and frameworks to protect children and young people from abuse and exploitation through ICTs into national plans of action and E-strategies.”

From the expert meeting hosted by ECPAT, Bangkok, and also at the Study’s Regional Consultations in the East Asia and Pacific region and in the Middle East and North Africa region, it was noted that agreement is lacking within and between countries on definitions, laws and perceptions of what is acceptable regarding ICTs and their content. Some countries allow sexualised images of children within mainstream media, or permit the publication of images of abuse. There is a need for campaigns to support parents and adults to provide better understanding of ICTs, and the supervision of children and young people who use them. The vast potential for ICTs to spread positive and useful messages, including public advocacy and education, is also generally under-studied and underutilised. There is also a need for greater attention to strong international and national standards, and greater cross-border cooperation in the implementation of these standards (see Table 7.3).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Current Internet Population</th>
<th>Percentage of Internet Penetration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern Africa</td>
<td>9,585,000</td>
<td>6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>13,152,000</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America and the Caribbean</td>
<td>74,735,188</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Asia</td>
<td>150,173,713</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Asia</td>
<td>58,919,000</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-Eastern Asia</td>
<td>52,752,600</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Asia</td>
<td>9,320,085</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>497,550</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth of Independent States</td>
<td>33,301,700</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed countries</td>
<td>569,056,865</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>World total</strong></td>
<td><strong>972,828,001</strong></td>
<td><strong>15.20</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESPONSES TO VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN IN THE COMMUNITY

As the challenges facing children are multifaceted, so too are the ways in which communities can respond. While much of the research focuses on the individual level, an over-emphasis on the individual level too often locates the problem within the child alone rather than examining the broader context of family and community, and other relationships. In terms of the ecological model, the community facilitates interaction on many different levels, and strategies and services should include attention to the potential for prevention as well as risks.  

In middle- and high-income countries, some health and criminal justice systems have reliable and comprehensive data regarding violent deaths and injuries dealt with at hospital emergency departments. Analysis of these data has demonstrated that homicide and non-fatal violent injury rates in young people (including the 15–18-year age group) are particularly sensitive to changes in societal and community-level risk factors. These factors include alcohol availability, illegal drug markets, access to firearms, type and intensity of policing, schooling and employment opportunities, and economic inequalities.

Efforts to prevent violence by and against children in community settings have been the subject of a considerable number of outcome evaluation studies aimed at providing scientific evidence for programme effectiveness. Most of these studies have been conducted in high-income countries, and few have focused exclusively on children and adolescents up to 18 years old. Despite these limitations, important lessons have been learned about the range of policies and interventions that can be used by low- and middle-income counties to prevent violence against children in community settings. Some practical lessons from the field of violence prevention as well as public health suggest that:

- **Not all prevention strategies work, and certainly not in all communities.** The evidence base identifies a number of strategies that appear to be effective, and a larger group that show promise but which require more testing. Some are ineffective, while others actually appear to make things worse.

- **Integrated prevention efforts over time that link the contributions of different sectors are necessary (if difficult to achieve).** Effective prevention is most likely through a range of coordinated approaches that integrate legal, social, educational and economic strategies to reduce risk factors and strengthen protective factors at the levels of the individual, the family, the community and society with short- and long-term objectives.

- **Top-down and bottom-up approaches are both required.** Top-down prevention approaches that can influence society-wide risk and protective factors should be balanced against bottom-up approaches that highlight local needs and which allocate prevention responsibility to communities.
With these lessons in mind, the following section discusses strategies for preventing violence against children in community settings at four levels of the ecological model, namely the individual, family, community and society.

**INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILY-LEVEL**

Consistent with evidence that a significant proportion of adolescent violence is causally rooted in early developmental experiences, programmes targeted at infants and young children, their parents and others who influence them during early development have been shown to be among the most effective in preventing violence by and among adolescents. More specifically, family-oriented interventions to change parenting styles (increase predictability, parental monitoring, and decrease negative parenting methods) and improve relationships within the family (closeness, positive statements, emotional cohesion and communication clarity) show strong and consistent evidence for reducing the risk of children going on to engage in serious antisocial behaviour and violence.\(^{184}\) The earlier these programmes are delivered in a child’s life, the greater the benefits, although significant benefits have also been demonstrated when programmes are delivered to adolescents who have already been arrested for violent or delinquent behaviour.\(^{185,186}\) (Also see the chapter on violence against children in the home and family.)

**COMMUNITY-LEVEL**

**Community-level support for education and socialisation**

Many individual and family-level interventions are provided in community settings or use community resources. The following are interventions which have either proven effective in some settings, or are thought to have a strong potential in preventing violence by supporting high-risk children’s education and socialisation.

**Reducing truancy and returning dropouts to school**

Schooling provides most children with their second most important source of socialisation (after the family). Research suggests that one of the most effective means of preventing violence and crime among certain high-risk children is to provide incentives for them to complete schooling, obtain vocational training and pursue higher education. Comparisons of different interventions to reduce youth violence and crime in the USA have consistently found that providing high school students with incentives to graduate was the most cost-effective intervention.\(^{187,188}\)

Programmes in schools are an important strategy, both for divesting schools themselves of gang culture and interpersonal violence, and also for preventing violence among young people in the community. In the Caribbean, a nine-country study by the Pan-American Health Organization (PAHO/WHO) found that truancy was one of the strongest risk factors for the involvement of young people aged...
10–18 in violence. The strongest protective factors were positive affiliation with school, including liking the teachers, religious belief, and church attendance. The development of such affiliation can begin with pre-school enrichment programmes which have been shown to strengthen bonds with the school and introduce children early on to the social and behavioural skills necessary for success in school. A few long-term follow-up studies of such programmes have found positive benefits, including less involvement in violence and other anti-social behaviours. Quality schooling, which embraces learning, support for non-discrimination, and activities to support child protection in the community, is an essential element in fostering the child-friendly environment (see the chapter on violence against children in schools and educational settings).

**Non-formal learning opportunities**

Children who are from disadvantaged backgrounds and groups that suffer from discrimination are more likely to be involved in anti-social behaviour, and are also likely to be excluded from school or drop out early. Community-based programmes can reach out to such children and re-introduce them to formal education via non-formal or ‘catch-up’ programmes. These can also provide adult mentors for children with family problems, who can do much to redirect such children into a safe, non-aggressive and personally fulfilling development path.

**Mentoring programmes.** These can sometimes match high-risk children or young people with trusted and caring adults such as older peers, tutors, counsellors, law enforcement officers or other community members. Such positive relationships can improve the child’s school attendance and performance, decrease the likelihood of drug use, and repair his or her relationships with parents. These programmes may be particularly valuable for children from minority groups, or for those from difficult circumstances such as refugees and displaced persons.

**Programmes with and for children living on the street.** Examples include drop-in centres, shelters, and other places of safety where trusted adults, food, washing facilities, education and skills-building, and other support may be available.

Many children who attend such centres have suffered injury or been traumatised by their life experience. However, many educational programmes are primarily directed at children living on the street, and aim to assist their withdrawal from the street and their access to school or vocational training. All such programmes can be regarded as helping vulnerable children to safety and providing them with protection from sources of violence, both in the immediate sense and in terms of future life and work opportunities.

**Sport and youth activities.** These activities and other structured leisure time are essential for child development. Although there is little research internationally on the subject, it is widely thought that properly supervised, community-based activities for children – from sports and youth clubs to social, cultural and faith-based groups – can be useful in build-
ing protective factors such as self-confidence and developing children's potential to prevent violence. Children and young people might also be attracted to places where sport and recreational activities are provided along with educational activities and programmes to reduce violence. In general, better results can be expected where these programmes are implemented along with other effective strategies, rather than as stand-alone programmes.

Research cites several ways in which community-based activities can support children's development and resilience (e.g. ability to resist pressure to join gangs or engage in peer violence), including through mentoring and tutoring, fostering identity development, and opportunities to interact with trusted adults and positive role models.

Proper supervision and other measures are important in avoiding violence in the context of youth activities. For example, since the mid-1990s European countries such as France, the Netherlands, Switzerland and the UK have taken action to increase protection of children in sport. In 2000, the Council of Europe's Committee for the Development of Sport adopted a comprehensive resolution on preventing sexual harassment and abuse of women, young people and children in both recreational and competitive sports. A wide range of measures are possible, including the adoption of child protection policies and ethical charters; criminal record checks of adults in charge (e.g. trainers or coaches); awareness-raising and training of athletes, parents, coaches and other officials; appointment of child protection officers in sports clubs and federations; establishment of telephone help lines; and research on child protection in sport issues.

**Life skills-based education**

Within non-formal or formal education programmes, life skills-based education can be effective in reducing youth violence, especially as part of a comprehensive effort. Aimed at enabling children to adopt self-protective behaviours, life skills-based education can include a wide variety of topics, for instance: learning how to avoid unwanted sexual intimacy; gaining practice in forming views and expressing them; developing problem-solving and negotiating skills, including conflict resolution by non-violent means; improving interaction between children and community authorities (including the police and judiciary), outreaching workers in health and social affairs, and others the children may need to know how to approach. Such programmes have also yielded positive results for dating violence. The Safe Dates Project in the USA is a successful school-based intervention that aimed to change attitudes on dating violence within both the school and the community. This intervention took a holistic approach, including both adolescent boys and girls in sessions on the role of gender norms and the positive formation of intimate relationships. It included training of teachers, community members and parent groups, a 10-week curriculum covering awareness-raising on healthy and unhealthy relationships, gender roles, sexual assault, and communications development to prevent
Some life skills-based education programmes are delivered through peer facilitators. Such programmes utilise children and young people of the same or older ages as the target group to deliver programmes designed to improve knowledge, attitudes or behaviours. While the active participation of children and young people is an important principle from a rights-based perspective, evidence that programmes facilitated by peers alone reduce violence is ambiguous at best, especially when applied in isolation from other strategies. Many of these programmes suffer from a lack of adequate support, and it appears that the level of support required is high for such initiatives. In addition, peer leaders are constantly ‘aging out’ of their role as they mature and move on to other things.

Community-level situational prevention

Promoting norms and values that promote respect and peaceful conflict resolution

A large number of efforts are undertaken to prevent violence by and against children in the community through public information or prevention campaigns aimed at changing community attitudes, beliefs and norms surrounding the use of violence. Multi-component prevention campaigns have been launched to address youth violence, gang violence, bullying, and sexual violence. Campaigns can make the social climate more conducive to change by breaking taboos and creating public debate on issues. However, lasting change tends to require a combination of efforts beyond campaigns alone. In general, these types of campaign seem to be most effective in increasing knowledge and awareness, as well as in shifts in social norms concerning some types of violence and gender relations, and some have led to an increase in the disclosure of sexual offences. But such campaigns, when implemented in isolation, have not consistently led to changes in behaviour or to a reduction in violence.

Police reform and training at community level

In places where police ‘culture’ is extremely negative and discriminatory against children or young people, physical and sexual violence – among other abuses of their human rights – can be a routine occurrence, and entirely unpunished. Often the violence is not towards all children but towards certain groups such as children and young people who are poor or living on the street, refugees, returnees or internally displaced young persons. In such cases, police forces need to be sensitised to human rights in general, and children’s rights and protection issues in particular, in the overall context of system-wide reforms.

With training and motivation, police can take an active part in bringing about positive change in the lives of children instead of being ranked among their most frequently cited abusers. In Mumbai, India, for example, improved relations between the police and children working in the informal sector has led to employment
RAISING VOICES IN UGANDA

Over 1,400 children were involved in research in five districts of Uganda in 2004 and 2005. It focused on the various types of violence they experience at home, in schools and in the community, how it makes them feel, and their ideas about violence-free childhoods. Also involved were almost 1,100 adults who provided their perceptions of punishment and discipline, mistreatment and how they also feel about the issues. The research fed into an evidence-based advocacy campaign, launched in 2006, directed at protecting and promoting children’s rights to live free from violence. These efforts were intended to increase public debate and break down the barriers to taking action. The campaign made an important contribution to the Ministry of Education and Sport’s initiative on banning all corporal punishment in schools in Uganda.²²⁹

for some older children as assistants in traffic control at peak hours.²³⁰

The Consortium for Street Children undertook an international research study into police child rights training programmes around the world. It documented training programmes aimed at reducing violence against children living on the street in a large number of countries, mostly under the auspices of NGOs, with cooperation from local authorities and with some donor support. Having identified a number of good practices, the Consortium compiled a manual for such training. The Consortium commented: “Widespread, consistent, long-term and sustainable change will only be possible when child rights and child protection is formally recognised and included in official curricula, manuals and collaborative agreements.”²³¹

However, training police has proved largely ineffective in changing police behaviour, where it is not accompanied by, or reinforced by, efforts to change attitudes and organisational culture.²³² In terms of health care providers, training frequently influences changes in knowledge and awareness in the short term, but these changes are difficult to translate into behavioural change or changes in practice.²³³,²³⁴,²³⁵,²³⁶ To improve the potential of training efforts to reduce violence against children they have to be part of a wider strategy, including law reform and attitudinal change. Other potential ways to prevent police violence include increasing the numbers of women serving as police officers, providing independent complaints mechanisms, and improving the pay and status of police officers.

Accountability, and access to justice

State violence and corruption are two factors directly associated with violence in communities. Community confidence in local security and justice systems is central to the effectiveness of public policies. International legal instruments emphasise the importance of
ensuring accountability and access to justice in the fulfilment of human rights obligations.

In addition to improving training and reforming law enforcement generally, and ensuring that it is functioning in accordance with international norms, it is fundamental to ensure independent monitoring and thorough investigation in all cases of State violence. Apart from being a core human right obligation, ensuring State accountability is crucial in building trust and improving the efficiency of security policies. Impunity for perpetrators of police violence against street children often allows such abuse to continue. Many police who commit violence against children are never investigated or prosecuted. Mechanisms must be put in place to ensure that police and other security personnel implicated in violence against children are promptly and thoroughly investigated, and held accountable. The establishment of permanent mechanisms such as ombudspersons or civilian review boards to independently receive and investigate cases of violence by the security forces can provide important support to ensure accountability.

Special measures are also required to ensure access to justice by all communities, particularly those affected by poverty and high levels of criminal activity. It is essential to provide access to independent and qualified legal assistance when required. Mechanisms can also be established to facilitate the access of marginalised groups to justice: these vary from special services within courts to the placement of special services to facilitate the mediation of small conflicts within communities.

**Community organisations against trafficking**

Community-level activities can be effective at preventing trafficking, particularly when working in cooperation with law enforcement and other authorities. In East Africa, there has been some success with community-based watch/vigilance systems to prevent the trafficking of children and breaches of child labour legislation. For example, in Benin, village committees backed by the provincial administration have helped to reduce the trafficking of children, both by educating families about the dangers of trafficking and by working with the police when a child from the village goes missing.237

In southern India’s Andra Pradesh state, another example is the Society to Help Rural Empowerment and Education (STHREE), which was established in 1998 to address trafficking of women and children from rural areas. STHREE emphasises the role of the community, and has supported the formation of community-based Self-Help Groups (SHGs), Anti-Trafficking Committees (ATCs), and a Highway Mobile Committee (HMC). When it becomes known that a woman or girl has been offered work outside the community, the local ATCs ask for verification of the employer’s name, address and contact number. For those women or children being sent to Kuwait or to the Gulf, the ATCs try to verify visa status, help educate communities on the verification of expected payment details and share cases of villagers being duped. While the ATCs and the HMC work directly to prevent the trafficking...
of girls and women from the region, the SHGs work on the underlying causes of trafficking through educational and awareness generation activities, as well as through providing viable livelihood options to the community members. An assessment managed by UNICEF in Andhra Pradesh found that the number of girls/women trafficked from the project area decreased between 2000 and 2004. STHREE has also managed to rescue girls and women from places as far away as Delhi, Pune and Mumbai by helping families or ATCs to file complaints with the police and by following up with police and NGO networks in other States.

Other important initiatives to reduce the vulnerability of children to being trafficked centre on taking action to fend off the economic pressures that face families in difficult situations. In Albania, the Swiss Government Cooperation for Development funds vocational training for young people at risk of trafficking to equip them with marketable skills so that they can find work at home. In the countries of the Mekong, ILO–IPEC has supported micro-credit grants, vocational training, job placement and small business development for families at risk. All of these initiatives reinforce the coping mechanisms of families and adolescents, and reduce the likelihood that they will leave to look for dubious work, or fall prey to recruiters exploiting their desire to improve their situation.

Providing safe physical environments
As discussed above, there is considerable evidence of a relationship between the physical environment and violence, particularly in urban settings. A number of measures can be taken to alter the physical environment of communities in order to reduce the risk of violence by and towards children. These include improved lighting, reducing the number of ‘blind’ locations (alleys, underground causeways, etc.) where violence can be perpetrated unseen, and creating safe routes for children on their way to and from school and other community activities. In locations such as shanty towns and refugee camps, particular emphasis is placed on creating safe routes to communal water collection, and to bathing and toilet facilities.

At a more fundamental and long-term level, efforts should be made to improve housing and basic infrastructures (schools, health services, etc.) in crowded and squalid urban environments. A variety of approaches are possible. Some experts hold that the most effective approach to dealing with severe overcrowding in poor urban areas is to de-concentrate housing where possible, change local regulations to allow more logical use of space, and improve the quality of the surrounding environment. At the same time, efforts must be made to improve inadequate neighbourhood facilities, such as lack of places for washing and laundry, lack of toilets, lack of recreation spaces for children, and lack of community facilities such as day care and team sports.

From a political point of view, some of this may be difficult to accomplish if municipal authorities are reluctant to improve ‘illegal’ urban settlements through fear that this will act as an

‘The way to decrease violence is to work together with all our leaders in our village.’
Boy, Solomon Islands, 2005
enticement to further rural–urban migration. Considerable advocacy may thus be required to inform such authorities about the need for such approaches, both from a human rights point of view (i.e. the need for decent housing and healthy communities) and as regards the concrete objective of reducing violence. Advocacy must also be directed at professionals who advise the authorities and carry out the work, such as architects, urban planners and engineers, few of whom may be aware of the impact of the living conditions on child development. In this regard, the Child-Friendly Cities Initiative (see box) provides a useful tool for changing attitudes and placing the needs of children at the centre of the urban agenda.

CREATING CHILD-FRIENDLY CITIES

The Child-Friendly Cities Initiative (CFCI) was launched in 1996 to act on the resolution passed during the second UN Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II) to make cities livable, and place ‘children first’. The Conference declared that the well-being of children is the ultimate indicator of a healthy habitat, a democratic society and good governance.

All children in cities have the right to access basic services and enjoy opportunities for development. CFCI advocates the adoption of governance approaches and participatory urban management that promote the realisation of the rights of the youngest citizens. In line with the CRC, it challenges cities to conceive of themselves, of the services, amenities and quality of life they provide, in a new way.

In practice, the movement for Child-Friendly Cities has seen young citizens take part in municipal decision-making and help planners design “the city they want;” and child-sensitive quality indicators have been developed to measure progress against child-oriented goals. An International Secretariat for Child-Friendly Cities was created in 2000 at UNICEF’s Innocenti Research Centre in Florence, Italy.

Services for victims of violence

Improving pre-hospital care systems and emergency medical responses. In contrast to most of the other settings addressed in this report, violence by and towards children in community settings includes a majority of attacks involving firearms, knives and other weapons. Such violence therefore gives rise to the largest proportion of homicides across the 0–18-year period of childhood, and accounts for a significant proportion of long-term physical and mental disabilities in children who survive severe violence-related injuries.

Efforts to improve pre-hospital and emergency medical responses are therefore likely to be particularly valuable for reducing case-fatality ratios and disabilities due to violence in community settings. These should be connected to a range of services to support the physical and psychological rehabilitation of children once their immediate medical needs have been dealt with (see box).

Recovery, reintegration and rehabilitation services. When children have been exposed to violent and traumatic events, a variety of services may be needed. These may include physical rehabilitation, counselling services, and social worker follow-up to assist social reintegration. Where domestic violence, trafficking or sex work is involved, ‘safe houses’ or shelters may be necessary; however, it is important that these shelters provide for the needs of the children as well for those of their mother/caregiver. Making the access points for these services widely known, especially to vulnerable groups, is an important aspect of creating community confidence and also ensuring timely access. The Thuthuzela Centres of South Africa represent an emerging example of the importance of the integration of a range of relevant services in the interests of earlier and higher-quality service to clients.

Although it is frequently feared that children who were used in armed groups and who committed acts of violence during a conflict may continue this violence in their communities after the conflict, research suggests this is not necessarily so. A longitudinal study of former child soldiers in Mozambique shows that former child soldiers who are provided rehabilitative services, and are accepted back into their families and communities, are able to become productive, responsible and caring adults when they have received the required attention by child protection workers at the time of demobilisation. Important components in successful rehabilitation included the care and role modelling provided by trusted adults, traditional practices such as cleansing rituals, educational opportunities, apprenticeships, income generation projects, and the provision of seeds and tools.\(^{243}\)
“Violence against children must stop now. All countries can demonstrate greater proactive leadership in this area by increasing resources for child protection, ensuring that schools are child-friendly spaces, increasing community vigilance against violence and eliminating the stigma that haunts children affected by HIV/AIDS.”

Cecilio Adorna, UNICEF Representative, India

ASSESSING THE RESPONSIVENESS OF THE CHILD PROTECTION SYSTEM IN THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA

A study was carried out in the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, using quantitative and qualitative methods to assess the responsiveness of the child protection system to violence against children, particularly focusing on formal mechanisms of referral, as well as mechanisms that promote coordination within the child protection system.

Key informants in 277 institutions were interviewed, including persons from social work centres, from centres for victims of violence, schools, police stations, hospitals, residential institutions for children without parental care, and detention and correctional centres.

The pilot study revealed that only a few cases of violence against children had been officially reported by service providers in the 12-month period. Also, the findings showed that there was a lack of official criteria and procedures for recording cases of violence, or an official referral system for use by all institutions dealing with child protection. Furthermore, the findings revealed that the laws and mechanisms for coordination among institutions were insufficient, as well as the monitoring and evaluation of the quality of services provided.

The assessment provided recommendations for the strengthening of the child protection system, which included strengthening of the legislative framework in order to clearly delineate the responsibilities of various bodies and institutions dealing with child protection as well as stipulating the conditions of coordination between these institutions; the establishment of coordination mechanisms among institutions dealing with child protection; the preparation of official guidelines and protocols which regulate referral of cases and action to be taken; the provision of training for relevant professionals on violence against children; and the establishment of new centres for child victims of violence.

Without such an assessment, guided by international standards associated with child rights, it is difficult for Governments to discern the key areas requiring action, to make all parts of the system effective and accountable for the quality of the services provided.
Violence against children in the community

Reporting services. Reporting systems that are not only available, but accessible to children and young people, can be a critical part of a comprehensive approach to protecting children. Protecting confidentiality and providing a high-quality service are also important. Such systems can also provide access or referral, in a coordinated way, to trained professionals and referral to social workers, or health professionals, or law enforcement, as needed.

An increasingly popular mechanism for community settings is the child helpline, a phone service that links children in need of care and protection to services and resources. In 2003, it is estimated that about 11.3 million calls were made to child helplines during that year. Children’s reasons for calling included requests for crisis intervention, rehabilitation, counselling or just needing someone to talk to. Approximately 9% of the calls were related to abuse...
and violence, while a significant number were from children being exploited commercially, including those forced to work as beggars or involved in bonded child labour.245

## EXTENDING ACCESS TO HELPLINES

Child Helpline International (CHI) is a global helpline network which was established in 2001 and by the end of 2005, comprised 78 network members. The greatest increase in members has been among developing countries. The global network provides support for existing helplines as well as for countries interested in initiating them, and has been active in advocating countries to set up such services. In 2005, the Tunis Agenda at the World Summit on Information Society called on every country to have a local, easy to remember, toll-free number for children to call.247 CHI is also extending its services to the Internet by providing an online counselling service called Chiworld (www.chiworld.org). The website is a multilingual gateway to the helpline in member countries for children who do not choose to use the telephone, but are still in need of care and protection (see Figure 7.3).247

## FIGURE 7.3

### Breakdown of violence reported through ChildHelpLines (globally)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Violence</th>
<th>Number of Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bullying</td>
<td>67529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>52050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual</td>
<td>36254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neglect</td>
<td>13123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional</td>
<td>12500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to violence</td>
<td>7673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>31048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOCIETAL-LEVEL

Societal-level interventions can have a significant bearing on whether interpersonal violence is prevented or reduced, or the extent to which violence is tolerated and encouraged. For this reason, violence prevention among the young needs to be factored into ‘macro-level’ decision-making areas such as national poverty reduction strategies, sectoral policies to reduce social vulnerability, policies for local Government reform and administrative decentralisation, the extension and improvement of services for health, education and community infrastructure, as well as specific initiatives to advance women’s and children’s rights. The mechanisms whereby such policies are adapted and implemented at local level via community-based or group-based approaches require close attention.

Economic opportunity and equality

Two of the most critical challenges directly affecting young people, particularly those in high-risk settings, are access to positive livelihood opportunities and the possibility of upward mobility by non-criminal means. If young people feel they have a personal stake in building a safe environment and that their aspirations are realisable within the law, the personal frustration and social tension that fuel violence may be considerably defused. A framework of national political, economic and social policies therefore needs to be developed which prioritises growth, equity and sustainability in the harnessing of natural and human resources, and that replaces or rebuilds the deficits in social safety and security which have emerged.

There is some evidence that policies that reduce the concentration of poverty in urban areas, for example by improving employment and education opportunities, may be effective in reducing violent behaviour by young people. For example, in a pioneering study in the USA, young people in families that received rental subsidies and assistance to move out of poor neighbourhoods were significantly less likely to engage in violent behaviour than those who did not receive this level of support.

The strong and consistent demonstration of a close relationship between high levels of economic inequality and increased homicide rates in adolescents and young adults indicates that policies which reduce economic inequality or minimise its effects may be of great value in preventing such violence. This appears to be especially relevant for males, since research indicates that the relationship between economic inequality and homicide is much stronger in males than in females.

Reducing access to alcohol and illegal drugs

Interventions which reduce access to alcohol or raise its price have been shown to reduce both levels of consumption and rates of youth violence within the community. In Diadema, Brazil, the prohibition of alcohol sales after 23:00 helped prevent an estimated 273 murders (all ages) over a two-year period. In parts of the USA, restricting access to alcohol for underage youths has reduced disorderly conduct violations among 15–17-year olds. Conversely, the end of an anti-alcohol programme that curtailed the supply of alcohol
in the mid-1980s, as well as the socioeconomic crisis that followed the demise of the Soviet Union saw a remarkable increase in homicides among Russians under the age of 20 in the early 1990s.\textsuperscript{255,256}

There is also evidence that programmes which alter peer drinking habits and other social norms can reduce harmful alcohol consumption levels among young people, and thus may be useful in reducing alcohol-related violence. Modifying drinking establishments such as bars and clubs can be effective. For example, improving management and staff practice through training programmes, implementing codes of good practice, and strictly enforcing licensing legislation creates environments less conducive to violence.\textsuperscript{257,258,259} In wider nighttime environments, the presence of large numbers of intoxicated individuals at the end of the night increases the potential for violent confrontations.\textsuperscript{260} Interventions such as provision of safe late-night transport, improvements to street lighting and use of closed circuit television have been shown to help reduce alcohol-related violence around licensed premises.\textsuperscript{261}

A large proportion of the alcohol consumed in many low- to middle-income societies is produced at home or sold in unlicensed establishments.\textsuperscript{262} For example, it is estimated that 80–90\% of South Africa’s liquor outlets are unlicensed. In such settings, increasing the price of alcohol may be less effective than other approaches such as legislation on the legal minimum age for purchase of alcohol, and efforts to regulate liquor outlets.

Reducing access to, and demand for weapons

As described earlier, access to guns and other lethal weapons are a major factor in homicides and serious injuries to children in many communities. Interventions to reduce access to such weapons include bans on certain types of firearms, waiting periods, gun buybacks, rules on licensing and registration, stricter policing of illegal possession and trafficking of guns and rules for storing them safely. In many places, there is a growing recognition of the need to also address the carrying and use of knives.

To date, relatively few interventions to reduce access to lethal weapons have included evaluation components rigorous enough to allow conclusions to be drawn about their impact on homicide rates,\textsuperscript{263} or to specifically identify impacts on children. However, examples from Australia and Colombia that have been properly evaluated suggest that reducing access to and demand for lethal weapons can be an effective means of preventing violence by and among children in community setting.\textsuperscript{264,265} The box that follows describes how a combination of interventions to prevent the carrying of firearms in public and to reduce alcohol consumption has helped lower homicide rates in Cali, Colombia.
The use of evidence-based strategies to reduce situational risk factors is illustrated by the Colombian city of Cali. Between 1985 and 1992, homicide rates in the city increased five-fold to reach levels of 100 per 100,000 people. In response, the city established the Development, Security and Peace Programme (DESEPAZ) in 1992 to implement strategies to prevent violence and improve security among residents.

An important step was to create a joint-agency surveillance system to identify patterns and determinants of homicide in the city. Among other important source of information, the surveillance data showed that males aged 15–19 years had a homicide rate of 250 per 100,000, that 79% of all homicides were committed using firearms, that blood alcohol concentrations in 23% of cases far exceeded the legal limit for drivers, and that homicides were clustered in specific areas of the city, particularly the most impoverished areas. Homicides were more common during weekends, especially those coinciding with bi-weekly pay days.

This information informed the development of DESEPAZ prevention policies and programmes, which had the full support of the municipal Government. Among other initiatives, the mayor restricted the hours during which alcoholic beverages could be sold, and prohibited the carrying of guns in public during high-risk weekends, holidays and election days. Although resource constraints permitted only intermittent application of the full-range of interventions, evaluation showed clear signs of success. Homicide rates fell by 14% when the ban on carrying guns in public was strictly enforced. Between 1994 and 1997, the homicide rates in Cali declined by 30%, from 124 to 86 per 100,000. Furthermore, public opinion in Cali shifted strongly from a passive attitude towards dealing with violence to a vociferous demand for more prevention activities.

Reducing exposure to violence in the media

Prevention of violence against children through the media will require cooperation at the individual and community levels, but also the development of national strategies. Many contributors to the Study suggested the use of education campaigns for children and parents to inform them about the issues surrounding violence in and through the mass media, and particularly about Internet risks and safe Internet behaviour. Other strategies specifically aimed at the Internet include creation of ‘watchdog’ organisations which monitor offensive websites, and both regulation and self-regulation of the Internet industry. Better law enforcement measures are also called for, including criminal prosecution of people who
use the Internet to harm children, monitoring of known offenders once they have been prosecuted, programmes to prevent people becoming offenders, and treatment for offenders.267

CHILDREN’S PARTICIPATION AT ALL LEVELS

Research has shown that silence is the almost universal response of children suffering abuse.268 In such circumstances, special efforts are required to make it possible for them to feel safe to discuss violent incidents. Systems of local Government can play a particularly important role in this regard; whether in the context of centralised systems, decentralisation, or privatisation, they need to include the views of children and young people. A Council of Europe project on the social inclusion of young people found that genuine youth empowerment was fundamental to developing neighbourhood strategies for violence prevention.269 Creating associations or support groups for specific groups such as children living on the street or survivors of child abuse, with the objective of developing a common identity and solidarity around their own issues, can be an empowering experience. It can be the springboard for taking action on behalf of their own agendas, including the promotion of other child rights, and for undertaking joint activity on behalf of vulnerable peers suffering from domestic abuse, risk of child marriage, discrimination or school exclusion.270

Participation is thus a key principle to be applied in all efforts to prevent and eliminate violence to and by children in the community.

DATA COLLECTION AND RESEARCH

As in all arenas of violence analysed by the Study, there is an urgent need for better data and for further research, particularly in developing countries and regions. Systems need to be put in place in the health and criminal justice sectors to collect and monitor such data, with attention given to the ages and sex of perpetrators and victims. Data sources include death certificates, vital statistics records, medical examiners’ reports, hospital records, police and judiciary records, and self-reported information from victim surveys and special studies.

Special priority needs to be given to developing systems for monitoring deaths and serious injuries among children and young people in regions where homicide data are currently lacking. These include Africa, South-East Asia, the
“If you want to know how to paint, you consult a painter; if you want to eat, consult a chef; so if you want to know about children’s issues, you have to consult young people.”

Young person, NGO Advisory Panel to the Study, April 2004

# CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE TAKING ACTION

**In Europe and Central Asia:** UNICEF has been supporting the development of mechanisms for the expression of children’s views, and their participation as a distinct group with their own policy agenda in civil society. In the Republic of Moldova, for example, Local Youth Councils have been set up to strengthen their capacities and empower young people for conscious participation in community life. In Azerbaijan, youth centres have been established in areas with high concentrations of internally displaced people, and events organised to promote a dialogue among ethnic groups and the wider community.\(^{271}\)

**The Government of Kenya:** In the response to its questionnaire submitted to the Study\(^{272}\), the Government reports that the participation of young people in fostering pro-social attitudes has been promoted through the development of the National Youth Service (NYS); through training of young citizens to engage in ‘tasks of national importance’, such as in reception centres for children living on the street. This is one example of a country facilitating the potential of young people to contribute to policy debates and assume a vanguard role in building a secure society. A similar approach has been applied under the UNICEF programme of cooperation in Somalia, where young people participated in training programmes for citizenship and are setting an example in the renunciation of violence.\(^{273}\)

**In the Caribbean ‘XChange’— creating a culture of non-violence in the English-speaking Caribbean:** This project seeks to create safe and protective environments for children and adolescents in the home, school and community where they can live and reach their full potential. The project covers seven Caribbean countries: Barbados, Belize, Grenada, Guyana, Haiti, Jamaica, and Trinidad and Tobago. UNICEF teamed up with well-known Caribbean entertainer Machel Montano in 2005, to conceptualise the ‘movement’, using entertainment as an entry point for bringing about positive change among young people and adults. The campaign uses music, sports, and various forms of arts to reach and empower adolescents to adopt a positive lifestyle. XChange is a ‘youth-led movement’, and is multilevel, including parenting and building protective environments in homes, providing health and family life education, peace-building and child-friendly school initiatives; building protective communities with adolescents and young people, improving quality and access of service delivery in relevant institutions, policy development and legislative reform, and advocacy and social mobilisation. Young leaders clearly defined the vision they have for the XChange project. The second phase will need to reflect this vision by clarifying the role that XChangers will play within a clear organisational structure spearheading the initiative.\(^{274}\)
PRIORITY IN ACTION: BUILDING A EUROPE FOR AND WITH CHILDREN

The Council of Europe’s programme “Building a Europe for and with Children” (2006-2008) comprises two closely linked strands: the promotion of children’s rights and the action programme “Children and Violence. The programme takes into account the social, legal, educational and health dimensions of violence against children, and pays particular attention to gender perspectives and to vulnerable children.”

In the strand focused on promoting children’s rights, the programme will help States to devise integrated policies and comprehensive legal frameworks, establish the requisite institutions and structures, develop networks, and produce tools and working methods, including involving children and the general public. In 2008, the Council of Europe will be able to offer member States models of national strategies, as well as instruments and methodologies, which include all elements that have proved to be necessary and effective for the protection of children’s rights.

The action programme on “Children and Violence” is designed to assist States to implement their obligations to the human rights instruments of the Council of Europe and the Convention on the Rights of the Child. The programme will ensure appropriate follow-up at pan-European level to the recommendations included in the UN Secretary-General’s Study on Violence against Children. 275

Middle East, and some parts of the Americas and Western Pacific, especially poorer countries. Studies are also needed to establish the ratio of fatal to non-fatal injuries, classified by the age of attacker, age and sex of the victim. Without such basic information, it is difficult to map the issue of violence against children and adolescents in the community and develop effective preventive response. Research concerning the interactions between family and community socialisation and exposure to violence, and their full range of effects, is needed for all age groups, as is research into good practice from existing policies, programmes – official and NGO – and laws in areas such as weapons control and victim redress.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Violence prevention as a policy aim, especially by means other than repression and use of the law, needs to be given far more attention. Because the violence-prone adolescent and young adult is often the product of influences which begin early in childhood, it is clear that policies which promote child-friendly communities will need to focus on all stages of a child’s life: from infancy and early childhood, via programmes and services directed at families, day care centres, schools and other social institutions which children attend. Not only is this a necessary strategy for fulfilling child rights, but it will have long-term benefits for communities and society at large.
The fact that different interventions are effective over different periods of time serves to emphasise the need for policies and funding mechanisms that permit a consistent, stable approach over several years and which address short-, medium- and long-term objectives. Decision-makers should therefore be wary of calls for immediate responses to specific headline-grabbing incidents and instead work to provide lasting support for strategies based on empirical findings.277

The following recommendations for the prevention of community-based violence against and among children are made with reference both to the concerns expressed by participants in the Study and to existing knowledge about the determinants and preventability of such violence.

**Prioritise prevention**

**High-risk individuals and families**

1. **Support efforts to prevent violence in the family and home as a means of preventing violence in the community.** Governments should support efforts to strengthen knowledge and skills within families regarding child development, non-violent discipline and behaviour management options, as well as increasing social safety nets. In the long run this will contribute to the prevention of violence against children in the community (see the recommendations in the chapter on violence against children in the home and family).

2. **Support programmes that encourage at-risk children to stay in or return to school, or to participate in non-formal education programmes.** Research indicates that this can be an effective measure to reduce violence in the community.

3. **Implement programmes that engage responsible and trusted adults in the lives of high-risk children.** Governments should ensure the implementation of approaches such as mentoring programmes that bring children and responsible adults together to learn and benefit from each other. These programmes can protect children from violence in their community, especially for families under stress.

4. **Promote and support local Government and civil society initiatives to provide safe recreational and citizenship-building opportunities for boys and girls.** Sports leagues, faith-based and cultural organisations, village and neighbourhood committees, women’s groups, and others can provide constructive activities for children who might otherwise engage in high-risk activities. Governments should ensure that the safety of children participating in such activities is safeguarded by measures such as criminal record checks of adults in charge (coaches, group leaders, facilitators, etc.) and formal child protection policies.

**Immediate and environmental risk factors in the community**

5. **Reduce demand for and access to alcohol and weapons, such as firearms.** Governments should ensure comprehensive prevention which requires the reduction
of both supply and demand for these two salient risk factors in community violence, including through measures such as pricing and regulation reforms, law enforcement, and public education.

6. **Reduce risk factors in the physical environment.** Governments should ensure that efforts are made in urban rehabilitation initiatives to make public spaces safer through design features such as better lighting. In addition, urban design should include safe public places and routes for children in and between communities.

7. **Train law enforcement agents to work with children, and end impunity of police who abuse the rights of children.** Governments should ensure that police need to be trained about children’s rights as well the basics of child development and how to deal with particular groups such as street children or child group members. At the same time supervision of police practices must be improved, while officers who abuse children’s rights must be held accountable for their actions.

8. **Increase efforts to both prevent and punish child trafficking.** Governments should ensure that measures range from primary prevention (i.e. changing the conditions that make children vulnerable to trafficking) to vigorous law enforcement action against traffickers. Children who are trafficked or used to traffic drugs and weapons are usually victims themselves of several violations of their rights, and should not be re-victimised as criminals. They should be carefully assisted to get out of these situations, with a focus on safe and viable alternatives.

**Victim services**

9. **Provide improved pre-hospital care and emergency medical services.** Improved pre-hospital care and emergency medical can significantly reduce deaths from violence and improve the outcome of victims that suffer non-fatal violent injuries.

10. **Improve access and quality of support services for victims.** The impact of violence can stay with its victims throughout their lifetime. Early access to quality support services can help to mitigate the impact of the event on the victim, including preventing longer term consequences such as becoming a perpetrator of violence.

11. **Provide effective reporting systems for children.** Governments should ensure that effective reporting systems for children are established. They allow children who are victimised to reach trained professionals, who can, if necessary, refer the case to social workers, or health professionals, or law enforcement. In community settings, these may include child helplines as well as formal mechanisms such as community advocates for children.

**Societal level**

12. **Invest in social, housing and educational programmes that strengthen families and improve linkages and social networks within and between communities.**
different income groups. Governments should analyse the impact of public policies on communities and their children. Local Governments in particular should be encouraged to make violence prevention explicit in urban rehabilitation schemes and rural development programmes. Governments, and their national and international partners, should strive to integrate efforts on violence against children as a key element of sustainable and culturally sensitive poverty reduction programmes.

13. Conduct sustained campaigns in society at large to promote social norms that emphasise respect and non-violence and gender equity. Governments should ensure that initiatives to instil non-violent, non-sexist norms and values are carried out through media campaigns and strong leadership from public figures. Focuses should include eliminating sexual harassment and rape, and providing alternative models of masculinity which do not support violence from men and boys.

14. Stop the use of mass media and information technologies, including the Internet and electronic games, in violence against and sexual exploitation of children. As well as educating children and parents, Governments should work with the industry to devise global standards for child protection, undertake research on protective hardware and software solutions, and fund worldwide education campaigns on safe use of the new technologies. Governments should also pursue law enforcement approaches, including criminalising those who make, distribute, possess or profit from pornography involving children.

Build information systems

15. Implement civil registration universally, including the registration of births, deaths, and marriages. Governments must ensure free and accessible civil registration free certification, and must remove penalties for late registration. The process must be advocated widely and facilitated and implemented in cooperation with local Government, hospitals, professional and traditional birth attendants, police, religious and community leaders, and other partners in order to ensure universal uptake.

16. Establish a national research agenda on preventing and reducing community violence. Governments should develop and adequately resource research that will improve understanding of the protective and risk factors for community violence, including low-income communities and the cultural context. Such a strategy should go beyond descriptive research, to include evaluations, and more complex research to improve effective implementation at scale. This should dovetail with efforts to create a multi-layered system of data collection, including improved reporting by existing services (police, hospital emergency rooms, mortuaries, schools, local health centres, housing authorities, etc.) and population-based surveys to provide disaggregated information about the geographical location, incident characteristics, and victim and perpetrator characteristics for all reported homicides and non-fatal violent events.
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EL SALVADOR, 1996, A group of children in a refugee camp in El Salvador
The evidence collected for the United Nations Secretary-General’s Study on Violence against Children, in line with the clear consensus of its participants, suggests that, although violence against children is widespread, it is preventable, and the world has the resources to effect its elimination. Experts, practitioners, and children themselves agree that violence is not an unchanging, inevitable feature of life, and must not be passively accepted as such. Moreover, the Study has documented the progress made by Governments as they begin to acknowledge the scale of the problem and respond to the human rights obligations they have accepted.

Governments and a wide range of partners have come forward with useful and concrete actions to prevent violence against children, reduce its multiple impacts, and advocate for change. A growing number of action research projects, prevention strategies and therapeutic responses are being implemented and evaluated; this is providing an increasingly solid evidence base for partners to adapt and improve existing interventions, and create new ones. As well as specifically protecting the youngest and most vulnerable members of society from violence, these actions contribute to the wider objective of reducing violence for all, as it is clear that interventions focusing on the young have the greatest potential to reap benefits in society as a whole.

Throughout the Study process, and as ever-greater numbers of participants had their say, a number of issues were raised repeatedly in region after region. First and foremost was the alarming gap between States’ obligations and commitments on the one hand, and the reality of children’s lives on the other. As the Study report presented to the UN General Assembly notes, “Member States have already made commitments to protect children from all forms of violence. However, we must accept – from children’s testimony during the Study process, as well as from the results of research – that these commitments are far from being fulfilled.”

Much of this state of affairs stems from a lack of leadership by politicians and other decision-makers. Discussion of the problem has too often remained muted and vague, allowing the threshold of acceptable action to stay at a low level, and the linkages between different forms of violence to be overlooked. For the most part, prohibiting and eliminating violence against children lacks adequate political attention. To become a high priority, the elimination of violence against children requires strong political leadership and determined advocacy by civil society.

Strong mechanisms must be put in place at national, regional and international levels to ensure implementation of the Study’s recommendations. Leadership and coordination are equally important at international, regional and national levels. The implementation of most of the recommendations presented in the Introduction to this report depends on the capability of Governments to incorporate all recommendations within current legal instruments and public policies, in a coordinated way. The continuous commitment of human and financial resources to a broad and systematic framework to reduce and respond to vio-
the way forward

...against children, integrated into national planning processes, is essential for the success of this endeavour. Stopping violence against children requires not only sanctioning perpetrators, but also transformation of the “mindset” of societies and the underlying economic and social conditions that allow violence against children to thrive.

Strong regional support for the implementation of the recommendations is also important. Regional mechanisms can play a significant role in bridging gaps in technical expertise, sharing best practices and assessing progress. Regional networks established during the Study process have continued in various forms in each of the regions. For example, in the Middle East and North Africa, an expanded regional network which includes Government representatives and the Arab League is taking action to implement the Study recommendations related to a range of regional priorities. The South Asia Forum, established in 2005 as a result of the Regional Consultation hosted by the Government of Pakistan, has begun to implement the Study recommendations with a focus on the issues of early marriage, and physical and psychological punishment. In addition, the Council of Europe has launched a three-year programme, “Building a Europe for and with Children.” This programme takes into account the various dimensions of violence against children in two closely linked strands: the promotion of children’s rights, and the action programme “Children and Violence.” In the strand focused on promoting children’s rights, the programme will help European States to set up integrated children’s policies and comprehensive legal frameworks. The action programme against violence supports States in their obligations to ensure the protection of children, prevention of violence, prosecution of criminals, and participation by children.

At an international level, it is also expected that the UN supports countries in their task of implementation, mainstreams the recommendations of the Study Report to the UN General Assembly (elaborated in this book), and monitors achievements. The coordination among the various UN entities involved in this process is again essential to ensure the continuity of the holistic and multidisciplinary approach suggested by the Study. Bearing this in mind, the report recommended that a Special Representative for the Secretary-General’s Study on Violence against Children be appointed, to provide a focal point and act as a global advocate on the issues of violence against children, building on the worldwide momentum generated by the Study process and the report itself. In an effort to accelerate progress at country level, a global Inter-Agency Group on Violence against Children was established in 2005, chaired by UNICEF with the direct support of OHCHR and WHO. Membership includes a range of other UN agencies and NGOs. These efforts should contribute to the UN reform process by increasing coordination among existing entities, refining and clarifying mandates, and increasing the attention given to violence against children across and within existing mandates.

“Too often, we see that legislation is on the books but that many children remain on the margins of society – not registered at birth, not in school, too poor to see a doctor and, for that, all the more vulnerable to violence and abuse.”

Rima Salah, Deputy Executive Director, UNICEF
Ending violence against children is a matter of urgency. Children cannot afford for this Study to be merely one more report that gathers dust on shelves around the world. Action to implement the recommendations must begin immediately. The core message of the Study is that no violence against children is justifiable; all violence against children is preventable. There should be no more excuses or delays: the problem is out in the open, the obligations of Governments are clear, and the means to deal with it are known, affordable, and available.

Governments are ultimately responsible for the protection of children. It is therefore up to Governments to act now, to fulfil their human rights obligations and other commitments, to ensure the protection of children from all forms of violence. But Governments cannot do the job alone. All sectors of society, all individuals, share the responsibility of condemning and preventing violence against children and responding to the predicament of child victims.
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“We urge you to activate these recommendations and others made by children in previous consultations and to involve us when designing actions on violence against girls and boys in each country and region.”

Children’s statement, Young People’s Forum, South Asia, 2005
ESTIMATED HOMICIDE RATES BY REGION AND INCOME LEVEL, 2002


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UN region</th>
<th>income</th>
<th>sex</th>
<th>0-17 years*</th>
<th>0-4</th>
<th>5-9</th>
<th>10-14</th>
<th>15-17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>9.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males &amp; Females</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>High income</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>High income</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>High income</td>
<td>Males &amp; Females</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Low income</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>9.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Low income</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Low income</td>
<td>Males &amp; Females</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>15.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males &amp; Females</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>12.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males &amp; Females</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia/New Zealand</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia/New Zealand</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia/New Zealand</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males &amp; Females</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males &amp; Females</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America and Caribbean</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>37.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America and Caribbean</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America and Caribbean</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males &amp; Females</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>22.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN region</td>
<td>income</td>
<td>sex</td>
<td>0-17 years*</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>15-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern America</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>6.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern America</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern America</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males &amp; Females</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania**</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania**</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania**</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Males &amp; Females</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Age standardized death rate
**Excluding Australia and New Zealand