




FUNDING COMPACT COMMITMENT STATUS UPDATE 2021


UNSDG COMMITMENTS COMPARED TO UNICEF ENTITY LEVEL PROGRESS

UNSDG COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UN SYSTEM PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
To enhance cooperation for results at the country level	Indicator (QCPR): Percentage of Programme Country Governments that ‘agree’ that there is an improved focus on common results amongst UNSDG entities at the country level; Baseline (2017): 85%; Target (2021): 100%	92%	Don’t know: 15%	Not applicable to UN entity specific reporting
	Fraction of programme country Governments that “agree” that the United Nations development system provides integrated (where appropriate) policy advice tailored to national needs and priorities; Baseline (2017): 79%; Target (2021): 100%	87%	Don’t know : 2% N/A: 3%	Not applicable to UN entity specific reporting
	Fraction of UNSDG entities reporting at least 15% of development related expenditures on joint activities Baseline (2017): 9/29 or 31%; Target (2021): 75%	32%	A common definition on “joint activities” is currently being developed.	A discussion note, “Defining and Measuring ‘Joint Activities’ in the UN Development System”, developed jointly by the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), the United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women (UN-Women), the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and UNICEF, was shared with the Development Coordination Office (DCO) in September 2021. The four agencies stand ready to support DCO.

 Full or rapid progress

 In-progress or medium progress

 Stalled or slow progress

 Too early to report or no data

Source: SG's reporting on QCPR Implementation – Annex on Funding Compact Indicator Table; UNICEF progress: UNICEF/2022/EB/12

UNSDG COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UN SYSTEM PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
	Percentage of Resident Coordinators that state that at least 75% of country programme documents are aligned to the UNDAF in their country[1]; Baseline (2017): tbd[2]; Target (2021): 100%	79% (2019)		100 per cent of all CPDs are derived from the respective UNSDCFs. Respective UNSDCF outcomes are explicitly referred to in the results and resources framework of CPDs in accordance with established procedures and guidance. An additional quality assurance step (to assure CPD alignment with the UNSDCF before submission to the Executive Board) has been established by UNICEF. In compliance with the Management and Accountability Framework (MAF) and in accordance with the established routine, all new CPDs are shared with the respective United Nations resident coordinator for review and confirmation of alignment with the UNSDCF at country level.
To increase collaboration on joint and independent system-wide evaluation (ISWE) products to improve UN support on the ground	% of UNSDG Evaluation Offices (EO) engaging in joint or ISWE; Baseline (2018): 10/35 or 29% of EOs have engaged in joint evaluations; Target (2021): 75% of EOs will have engaged in a joint evaluation; Baseline (2018): 7/35 or 20% have engaged on an ISWE product; Target (2021): 50% of EOs will have engaged in at least one ISWE	80% & 57%	System wide policy to incentivize joint evaluations being established	In 2021, a total of 10 evaluations were managed jointly with other United Nations agencies compared to 7 in 2020. Of the 10 evaluations conducted, 2 were at the global level: the inter-agency evaluation synthesis of United Nations system and development bank work towards SDG 6 and the joint evaluation of the UNFPA-UNICEF Joint Programme on the Elimination of Female Genital Mutilation: Accelerating change – Phase III – 2018–2021. Of particular note is the effort at the decentralized level to conduct joint evaluations in countries such as Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana, Nigeria, the Republic of Moldova, South Sudan, Sri Lanka and Zimbabwe. The evaluation in the Republic of Moldova, for example, was a system-wide evaluation that assessed the collective response of the United Nations system to national development priorities. This trend will need to accelerate in the Decade of Action to support efforts to regain lost ground and bring progress on track to meet the Sustainable Development Goals.



Full or rapid progress



In-progress or medium progress




Stalled or slow progress





Too early to report or no data


Source: SG's reporting on QCPR Implementation – Annex on Funding Compact Indicator Table; UNICEF progress: UNICEF/2022/EB/12

UNSDG COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UN SYSTEM PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
				In conducting these evaluations UNICEF partnered with the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, IOM, UNDP, UNESCO, UNFPA, UN-Women, United Nations Water, WHO and the World Bank.
To fully implement and support the functioning of the new Resident Coordinator system	Percentage of programme country Governments that 'agree' that the resident coordinator has sufficient prerogative to effectively fulfil her/his mandate; Baseline (2018): 83%; Target (2021): 100%	89%	Don't know: 15%	Not applicable to UN entity specific reporting
	Variance between amounts collected on the 1% coordination levy (see footnote 15) and expected amounts (see footnote 16) based on agreed guidelines; Baseline (2018): n/a; Target (2021): 0%	20% variance	Full projected levy income for 2021 was \$50 million. By contrast, levy income in 2021 was \$40 million	Not applicable to UN entity specific reporting
To improve reporting on results to host governments	Fraction of programme country Governments that confirmed receipt of a report on the results achieved by the United Nations country team as a whole in the most recent annual cycle	77%	Don't know: 18%	Not applicable to UN entity specific reporting
	Programme Country feedback on UNCT annual reports – Baseline (2017): see annex 2; Target (2021): 90% for all criteria		Don't know responses ranged from 11% to 20%	
	Percentage of programme country Governments, with regard to annual reports provided to them, that agree that: i. They receive reports regularly enough to meet their needs ii. The information is up to date iii. The results of the whole United Nations system are included iv. Sufficient financial data are included	i. 72% ii. 89% iii. 81%		Not applicable to UN entity specific reporting

 Full or rapid progress


 In-progress or medium progress


 Stalled or slow progress


 Too early to report or no data


Source: SG's reporting on QCPR Implementation – Annex on Funding Compact Indicator Table; UNICEF progress: UNICEF/2022/EB/12

UNSDG COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UN SYSTEM PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
	v. Reporting is structured around United Nations Development Assistance Framework outcomes vi. Reporting is linked to national development results	iv. 58% v. 89% vi. 83%		
	Percentage of United Nations country teams that have a fully operational common budgetary framework that is updated annually	67% (2022)	There are 28 funding frameworks out of 42 countries with a new CF. Number is expected to increase as the development of funding frameworks follows signature of CF.	Not applicable to UN entity specific reporting
	Percentage of United Nations country teams that have made their annual results report publicly available	95%		Not applicable to UN entity specific reporting
	Number of programme countries with UN INFO operational; Baseline (2018): 32; Target (2021): 100	108 (March 2022)		Not applicable to UN entity specific reporting
To present clear funding frameworks for each UNDAF, with levels and types of funding required	Fraction of new UNDAFs designed each year that include funding frameworks disaggregated by a) funding type, and b) funding source	a: 60% b: 67%	25/42 (60%) of countries with a new CF have a funding framework disaggregated by funding type, and 28/42 (67%) by funding source	Not applicable to UN entity specific reporting
To strengthen the clarity of entity-specific strategic plans and integrated results and resource frameworks and their annual reporting on results against expenditures	Fraction of UNSDG entities that in their respective governing bodies held structured dialogues in the past year on how to finance the development results agreed in the new strategic planning cycle; Baseline (2017): 17/27 or 62%; Target (2021): 100%	62%		Yes – UNICEF includes on the agenda of its second regular session each year the structured dialogue on funding its Strategic Plan
	Centralized, consolidated and user-friendly online platform with disaggregated data on funding flows	Yes	CEB website established (https://unsceb.org/fina)	Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting

 Full or rapid progress


 In-progress or medium progress


 Stalled or slow progress


 Too early to report or no data


Source: SG's reporting on QCPR Implementation – Annex on Funding Compact Indicator Table; UNICEF progress: UNICEF/2022/EB/12

UNSDG COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UN SYSTEM PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
<p>To strengthen entity and system-wide transparency and reporting, linking resources to SDG results</p> <p>To strengthen entity and system-wide transparency and reporting, linking resources to SDG results</p>	at entity and system-wide level in place (Y/N); Baseline (2018): n/a; Target (2020): Y		ncialstatistics) consolidating all financial statistics	
	Fraction of UNDS entities individually submitting financial data to CEB; Baseline (2017): 27/39 or 69%; Target (2021): 100%	100% (2020)		Yes – UNICEF submits its financial data to the United Nations System Chief Executives Board for Coordination (CEB) annually.
	Fraction of UNDS entities publishing data as per the highest international transparency standards; Baseline (2017)21: 14/39 or 36%; Target (2021): 100%	60%		Yes – UNICEF data are published in line with International Aid Transparency Initiative (IATI) standards. The organization is continuing to improve on its compliance to the IATI Common Standard and the Aid Transparency Index.
	Fraction of UNDS entities with ongoing activities at country level that report expenditures disaggregated by country to the CEB; Baseline (2017): 18/39 or 46%; Target (2021): 100%	92%		Yes – UNICEF reports expenses to the CEB, disaggregated by country.
	Fraction of UNDS entities that report on expenditures disaggregated by SDG; Baseline (2017): 6/29 entities or 20%; Target (2021): 100%	83%		Yes – UNICEF financial data published are in line with the IATI standard and disaggregated by Sustainable Development Goal for both IATI and CEB reporting.
	Aggregated information on system-wide support to the Sustainable Development Goals and system-wide results presented to ECOSOC by 2021 (Y/N); Baseline (2018): n/a; Target (2021): Y	Yes		Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting
	Fraction of UNCTs that have conducted a gender scorecard exercise in the past four years, and met or exceeded requirements in at least half of the performance indicators;	54%	39 of 72 (54%) UNCTs that prepared UNCT-SWAP reports in 2017-2021 met or exceeded requirements in at least	Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting

 Full or rapid progress


 In-progress or medium progress


 Stalled or slow progress


 Too early to report or no data


Source: SG's reporting on QCPR Implementation – Annex on Funding Compact Indicator Table; UNICEF progress: UNICEF/2022/EB/12

UNSDG COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UN SYSTEM PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
	Baseline (2017): 9/35 or 26%; Target (2020 onwards): 75%		half of the performance indicators	
	Online platform providing real time tracking of sources and uses of Special Purpose Trust Fund (Y/N); Baseline (2018): n/a; Target (2019): Y	Yes	Online platform in place and operational for sources of the SPTF (https://unsdg.un.org/SPTF)	Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting
To improve the quality and utility of UNDAF evaluations	% of UNDAF evaluation reports with good or excellent rating on methodology used; Baseline (2016): 10/36 or 28%; Target (2021): 75%	n/a (2022)	UNEG guidance on CF evaluation was finalized in Sep. 2021. DCO is currently looking at the development of a quality assurance system. In the interim DCO has implemented quality control on 48% of the UNDAF/CF evaluation reports	Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting
	% of UNDAF evaluations that contain all the following: actionable recommendations, with a clear target audience and timeframe for implementation, and a management response; Baseline (2016): 10/36 or 28% (23/62 for management response); Target (2021): 100%	77%	This figure refers to management response only as UNEG guidance on CF evaluation was just finalized in September 2021.	Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting
To increase accessibility of corporate evaluations and of internal audit reports, within the disclosure provisions and policies set by governing bodies at the time of report issuance	% of UNDS entities authorized within disclosure provisions and policies who have made their corporate evaluations available on the UNEG website; Baseline (2018): 10/48, or 21%; Target (2019): 100%	87%		Yes – All UNICEF evaluations (corporate and non-corporate) and related management responses are automatically routed to the UNICEF external website from Evidence Information Systems Integration (EISI), an online platform used for evaluation planning and management. The same evaluations are also relayed to the United Nations Evaluation Group website, as well as to other sites

 Full or rapid progress

 In-progress or medium progress

 Stalled or slow progress

 Too early to report or no data

Source: SG's reporting on QCPR Implementation – Annex on Funding Compact Indicator Table; UNICEF progress: UNICEF/2022/EB/12

UNSDG COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UN SYSTEM PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
				for wider dissemination, including the ALNAP network and ReliefWeb. In addition, UNICEF also developed the Global Development Commons, a publicly accessible platform where evaluative evidence is accessed through a public domain. The UNICEF-supported platform provides evaluative evidence as its core content, alongside accompanying external partner evidence on children and young people.
	% of internal audit reports issued in line with the disclosure provisions and policies set by the relevant governing bodies, which are available on a dedicated searchable UN-RIAS platform/ website, pending availability of resources; Baseline 2018: 0; Target (2021): 100%	In progress (2022)	UN-RIAS platform currently being designed. Temporary website with links to all audit websites will be ready by May 2022, with full searchable platform to follow later in the year.	For the year ended 31 December 2021, 100 per cent of all eligible reports due for publication as of year-end had been published on the OIAI webpage on the UNICEF website. The Office follows the procedural criteria outlined by the Executive Board in decision 2012/13 for publication of reports and provides updates to the Executive Board through its annual reports. This is also done in the 2021 OIAI annual report (para. 57).
	% of inter-agency pooled funds posting evaluation reports on the UNEG website Baseline; Baseline (2018): 0%; Target (2019): 100%	100%	UNCT reporting guidelines and templates being adjusted to provide Member States more visibility at country-level.	UNICEF did not conduct any pooled funds evaluation in 2021. All UNICEF evaluations are posted on the United Nations Evaluation Group website.
To increase visibility of results from contributors of voluntary core resources, pooled and thematic funds and for program country contributions	Specific mention of voluntary core fund contributors pooled and thematic fund contributors, and program country contributions in UNCT annual results reporting and entity specific country and global reporting (Y/N); Baseline (2018): n/a; Target (2020): Y	Yes (2022)		Yes – Public sector partners contributing core, pooled and thematic funds were recognized in the Core Resources for Results 2021 Report; the annual report for 2021 of the Executive Director of UNICEF; and the Global Annual Results Reports 2021; and will be recognized in the Funding Compendium 2021 (forthcoming in 2022).
	Specific mention of individual contributors in all results reporting by pooled fund and thematic fund	Yes (2022)		Yes – UNICEF reports annually in the Core Resources for Results Report; Global Annual Results Reports; annual report of the Executive

 Full or rapid progress
  In-progress or medium progress
  Stalled or slow progress
  Too early to report or no data

Source: SG's reporting on QCPR Implementation – Annex on Funding Compact Indicator Table; UNICEF progress: UNICEF/2022/EB/12

UNSDG COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UN SYSTEM PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
	administrators and UNSDG recipients (Y/N); Baseline (2018): n/a; Target (2020): Y			Director and in the Funding Compendium (the report for 2021 is forthcoming in 2022), including top contributors through joint programmes.
To implement the Secretary-General's goals on operational consolidation for efficiency gains	Consolidation of common premises; Baseline (2017): 430 common premises (or 17% of all premises); Target (2021): 1,000 common premises (or 50% or all premises)	26% (2022)	New approach launched in December 2020 with aim to have every country have a country Common Premises Plan in place.	As of December 2021, UNICEF shared common premises in 53 per cent of its office locations at national and subnational level (225 out of 421 offices).
	Percentage of UN Country Teams that have an approved business operations strategy in place, to enable common back offices where appropriate; Baseline (2017): 20%; Target (2021): 100%	100% (2022)	As of December 2021, all UNCTs have finalized their BOS.	At the end of 2021, UNICEF achieved the 100 per cent target set as 127 offices had a signed business operations strategy in place.
	% of UNSDG entities that report to their respective governing bodies on efficiency gains; Baseline (2017): 12/29 or 41%; Target (2021): 100%	72%		Yes – UNICEF continued to report internally on efficiency savings and submitted details of bilateral and internal agency savings to the cross United Nations efficiency report.
	% of UNSDG entities that have signed the High-Level Framework on Mutual Recognition; Baseline (2017): 11/39 or 28%; Target (2021): 100%	97%		Yes – In 2021 UNICEF set up a system of champions on mutual recognition in the regions and at headquarters to further operationalization of this principle.
To fully implement and report on approved cost-recovery policies and rates	Fraction of UNDS entities that report annually on the implementation of their approved cost recovery policies and rates to their respective governing body; Baseline (2017): 15/29 or 51%; Target (2021): 100%	88% (2022)		Yes – UNICEF regularly reports on its cost recovery in relevant Executive Board documents. The joint comprehensive proposal on the cost-recovery policy (DP/FPA-ICEF-UNW/2020/1), developed by UNDP, UNFPA, UNICEF and UN-Women, was approved by the respective Executive Boards in September 2020 and came into effect on 1 January 2022. Harmonized annual reporting on the progress of implementation of the cost-recovery policy was provided in document UNICEF/2022/EB/8 (Report on the implementation



Full or rapid progress



In-progress or medium progress




Stalled or slow progress





Too early to report or no data


Source: SG’s reporting on QCPR Implementation – Annex on Funding Compact Indicator Table; UNICEF progress: UNICEF/2022/EB/12

UNSDG COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UN SYSTEM PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
				of the Integrated Results and Resources Framework of the UNICEF Strategic Plan, 2018–2021), which is annexed to annual report for 2021 of the Executive Director of UNICEF.
In consultation with respective governing bodies as appropriate, to improve comparability of cost classifications and definitions and enable greater transparency across time and between UNSDG entities	UNSDG development of a system-wide approach on cost definitions and classifications (Y/N); Baseline (2018): n/a; Target (2021): Y	In progress	A data collection template has been shared with some agencies which has volunteered to identify similarity and differences on cost definitions and classifications. Comptroller’s Office is reviewing feedback.	Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting
To increase the efficiency and effectiveness of development related interagency pooled funds	Common management features across all inter-agency pooled funds[1] (Y/N); Baseline (2018): n/a; Target (2021): Y	In progress (61% of development-related inter-agency pooled funds)	71% of Multi-Partner Trust Funds and 54% of Joint Programmes have implemented the common quality management features across the board.	Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting

 Full or rapid progress

 In-progress or medium progress

 Stalled or slow progress

 Too early to report or no data

MEMBER STATES COMMITMENTS AT UNSDG LEVEL COMPARED TO UNICEF ENTITY LEVEL PROGRESS

MEMBER STATE COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UNDS PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
To increase core resources for the UN development system To increase core resources for the UN development system	Core share of voluntary funding for development-related activities; Baseline (2017): 19.4 %; Target (2023): 30%	17.2% (2020)	67 Member States surpassed the 30% target.	Core resource from Member States to UNICEF as share of overall public sector income (including humanitarian): Baseline 2018: 13% Progress 2019: 11% Progress 2020: 11% Progress 2021: 9% Core resources from Member States to UNICEF as a share of overall income from the Member States (including humanitarian): Baseline 2018: 17% Progress 2019: 16% Progress 2020: 16% Progress 2021: 14%
	Core share of funding for development-related activities (including assessed contributions); Baseline (2017): 27.0 %; Target (2023): 30	29.6% (2020)		UNICEF is entirely voluntarily funded and does not receive assessed contributions.



Full or rapid progress



In-progress or medium progress




Stalled or slow progress





Too early to report or no data


Source: SG's reporting on QCPR Implementation – Annex on Funding Compact Indicator Table; UNICEF progress: UNICEF/2022/EB/12

MEMBER STATE COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UNDS PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
To double the share of non-core contributions that are provided through development related inter-agency pooled funds and single agency thematic funds	% of non-core resources for development related activities channelled through inter-agency pooled funds; Baseline (2017): 5% Target (2023): 10%	11.7% (2020)		<p>Inter-agency pooled funding as a share of total non-core resources from public sector to UNICEF: Baseline 2018: 13% Progress 2019: 13% Progress 2020: 11% Progress 2021: 9%</p> <p>Inter-agency pooled funding as a share of development related non-core resources (other resources (regular)) from public sector to UNICEF: Baseline 2018: 6% Progress 2019: 10% Progress 2020: 9% Progress 2021: 10%</p>
	% of non-core resources for development related activities channelled through single agency thematic funds; Baseline (2017): 3%; Target (2023): 6%	5.9% (2020)		<p>Thematic funding as a share of total non-core resources from Member States to UNICEF: Baseline 2018: 8% Progress 2019: 7% Progress 2020: 7% Progress 2021: 11%</p> <p>Development-related thematic funding as a share of development-related non-core resources (other resources regular) from Member States to UNICEF: Baseline 2018: 14% Progress 2019: 12% Progress 2020: 11% Progress 2021: 18%</p>

 Full or rapid progress


 In-progress or medium progress


 Stalled or slow progress


 Too early to report or no data


Source: SG's reporting on QCPR Implementation – Annex on Funding Compact Indicator Table; UNICEF progress: UNICEF/2022/EB/12

MEMBER STATE COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UNDS PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
	Annual capitalization of the Joint Fund for Agenda 2030; Baseline (2018): \$43 million; Target (2020): \$290 million	\$79 million		Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting
	Annual contributions to the Peacebuilding Fund; Baseline (2018): \$129 million; Target (2020): \$500 million	\$195 million		Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting
To broaden the sources of funding support to the UN development system	Number of UNSDG entities reporting an annual increase in the number of contributors of voluntary core resources; Baseline (2017): 12/18 or 66%; Target (2023): 100%	36% or 5/14 (2020)		Baseline 2018: 121 Progress 2019: 123 Progress 2020: 123 Progress 2021: 115
	Number of Member State contributors to development related inter-agency pooled funds and to single agency thematic funds; Baseline (2017): 59 and 27; Target (2021): 100 and 50	48 & 37 (2020)		Number of Member State partners contributing to inter-agency pooled funds administered by UNICEF: Baseline 2018: 11 Progress 2019: 12 Progress 2020: 12 Progress 2021: 13 Number of Member State partners contributing to UNICEF thematic funds: Baseline 2018: 13 Progress 2019: 14 Progress 2020: 14 Progress 2021: 20
	Number of Member State contributors to the RC system budget; Baseline (2019): 28[1]; Target (2021): 100	44 (March 2022)	44 is cumulative total. There were 28 Member States contributors in 2021.	Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting

 Full or rapid progress

 In-progress or medium progress

 Stalled or slow progress

 Too early to report or no data

Source: SG's reporting on QCPR Implementation – Annex on Funding Compact Indicator Table; UNICEF progress: UNICEF/2022/EB/12

MEMBER STATE COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UNDS PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
To provide predictable funding to the specific requirements of UNSDG entities, as articulated in their strategic plans, and to the UNDAF funding needs at country level	Funding gaps in UNSDG strategic plan financing frameworks; Baseline (2018): tbd; Target (2021): tbd	n/a	Not trackable at a system-wide level. Following-up on an entity-specific basis.	Progress 2020: Planned expenditure resource gap (cumulative) against UNICEF Strategic Plan, 2018–2021 financing framework: \$6.6 billion for 2020–2021. Progress 2021: UNICEF actual expenditure over the 2018–2021 Strategic Plan period reached \$26.1 billion, which is almost exactly aligned to the total planned expenditure of \$25.9 billion per the midterm review of the 2018–2021 Integrated Budget. The baseline planned expenditure resource gap for the UNICEF Strategic Plan, 2022–2025 financing framework is \$26.9 billion
	Funding gaps in UNDAF financing frameworks; Baseline (2018): tbd; Target (2021): tbd	57%		Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting
	Fraction of UN development system entities indicating that at least 50% of their contributions are part of multi-year commitments; Baseline (2017): 12/25 or 48%; Target (2023): 100%	55% or 12/22		Baseline 2018: 43% Progress 2019: 48% Progress 2020: 39% Progress 2021: 36%
To provide adequate, predictable and sustainable funding to the RC system budget	Variance between amounts collected on the 1% coordination levy and expected amounts based on agreed guidelines; Baseline (2019): n/a; Target (2021): 0%	20% variance	Full projected levy income for 2021 was \$50 million. By contrast, levy income in 2021 was \$40 million.	Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting
	Voluntary contributions to the SPTF; Baseline (2019): \$46million; Target (2019 onwards): \$144 million	\$98 million		Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting



Full or rapid progress



In-progress or medium progress




Stalled or slow progress





Too early to report or no data


Source: SG's reporting on QCPR Implementation – Annex on Funding Compact Indicator Table; UNICEF progress: UNICEF/2022/EB/12

MEMBER STATE COMMITMENT	INDICATOR	UNDS PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)	COMMENT	UNICEF PROGRESS 2021 (colour coded)
To facilitate and support the implementation of efficiency measures where relevant and possible	% of cost of common premises covered by additional financial and/or in-kind contributions); Baseline (2019): tbc[1]; Target (2020 onwards): 100%	46% (2022)	The figure of 46% relates to the number of common premises (181/396) covered by additional financial or in-kind contributions (per the QCPR indicator), – not the % of cost of these premises, which is not measurable	Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting
To fully comply with cost recovery rates as approved by respective governing bodies	Average # of cost recovery support fee waivers granted per UNDS entity per year; Baseline (2018): tbc; Target (2019 onwards): 0	4	Through the DESA HQ survey, 18 UNSDG entities reported they had issued a combined 72 waivers, for an average of 4 per entity. Total value of agreements tied to these waivers was \$449 million.	Progress 2020: UNICEF granted nine cost recovery waivers with an impact of approximately \$6,656,689. Progress 2021: UNICEF granted two cost-recovery waivers with an impact of approximately \$1.96 million
To harmonize reporting and visibility requirements for earmarked contributions at the country level, in line with the principles of national ownership and leadership	Percentage of RC/UNCT that report an increase in Member State harmonization of reporting and visibility requirements on development related contributions at the country level; Baseline (2018): n/a; Target (2020 onwards): 50%	40%		Not applicable to UN entity individual reporting

 Full or rapid progress

 In-progress or medium progress

 Stalled or slow progress

 Too early to report or no data