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UN General Assembly Resolution 60/124 sets the objective of the upgraded CERF “to ensure a more predictable and timely response to humanitarian emergencies, with the objectives of promoting early action and response to reduce loss of life, enhancing response to time-critical requirements and strengthening core elements of humanitarian response in underfunded crises, based on demonstrable needs and on priorities identified in consultation with the affected State as appropriate”
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# ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>Consolidated Appeals Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERF</td>
<td>Central Emergency Response Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>Common Humanitarian Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAC</td>
<td>Development Assistance Committee (of the OECD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFID</td>
<td>Department for International Development (of the UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSS</td>
<td>Department of Safety and Security (of the UN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERC</td>
<td>Emergency Relief Coordinator (the head of OCHA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERF</td>
<td>Emergency Response Fund or Expanded Humanitarian Response Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FATA</td>
<td>Federally Administered Tribal Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTS</td>
<td>Financial Tracking Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>General Assembly (of the United Nations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHD</td>
<td>Good Humanitarian Donorship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>Humanitarian Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCT</td>
<td>Humanitarian Country Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDI</td>
<td>Human Development Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>Head Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDP</td>
<td>Internally Displaced People</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IASC</td>
<td>Inter-Agency Standing Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INGO</td>
<td>International Non Governmental Organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>International Organization for Migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPK</td>
<td>Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa (formerly North West Frontier Province)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;E</td>
<td>Monitoring and Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDTF</td>
<td>Multi Donor Trust Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDMA</td>
<td>National Disaster Management Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non Governmental Organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNGO</td>
<td>National Non Governmental Organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWFP</td>
<td>North West Frontier Province (now Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acronym</strong></td>
<td><strong>Details</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCHA</td>
<td>United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAF</td>
<td>Performance and Accountability Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td>Resident Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>Rapid Response (CERF funding window)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ToR</td>
<td>Terms of Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFE</td>
<td>Under-funded emergency (CERF funding window)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCT</td>
<td>United Nations Country Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>United Nations Development Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td>United Nations Fund for Population Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>United Nations Children’s Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIFEM</td>
<td>United Nations Development Fund for Women (now UN Women)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNOPS</td>
<td>United Nations Office for Project Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD</td>
<td>United States Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASH</td>
<td>Water Sanitation and Hygiene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFP</td>
<td>United Nations World Food Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MAP OF PAKISTAN
INTRODUCTION

1. This country report provides an analysis of the Central Emergency Fund’s disbursements in response to emergencies in Pakistan from 2006 to 2010. It is one of 16 case studies conducted to inform the Five-year Evaluation of the Central Emergency Response Fund (CERF). Mandated by the UN General Assembly, the Five-year Evaluation of the CERF is managed by OCHA’s Evaluation and Guidance Section (EGS), and conducted by Channel Research.

CERF

2. The Central Emergency Response Fund (CERF) is a US$500 million fund established to support rapid response and address critical humanitarian needs in underfunded emergencies. The CERF is managed by the UN Under Secretary General for Humanitarian Affairs and Emergency Relief Coordinator (ERC), and supported by a Secretariat and by other branches of the UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA). CERF funding includes a US$450 million grant element and a US$50 million loan mechanism. The grant component is comprised of two windows: one for rapid response and one for underfunded crises. The loan facility is a revolving fund which serves as a cash-flow mechanism for eligible humanitarian organizations. Only UN agencies and the International Organization for Migration (IOM) are eligible.

Methodology

Document review

3. Key reference documents were reviewed, including the annual reports of the Resident/Humanitarian Coordinator on the use of the CERF grants, humanitarian appeal documents, the Evaluation of FAO interventions carried out under the CERF (2010), and inter-agency real time evaluations. Numerical data from the CERF Secretariat, the CERF Website, and the UN Financial Tracking Service (FTS) was also analysed to establish the pattern for CERF use and the differences between CERF allocations for Pakistan and the other 78 CERF recipients. Please note that the team defined the year of the grant based on the disbursement date rather than the approval date (which the CERF Secretariat uses as a reference). This was done to facilitate the comparison with other sources of funding.

4. Of the 105 projects funded by the CERF in Pakistan, the team examined 27 randomly selected proposals for funding (see Annex V) submitted to the CERF Secretariat from the country, i.e. 26 per cent of the total, to ascertain the extent to which the proposals paid attention to gender, vulnerability, and cross cutting issues1.

1 The gender markers were piloted in 2010 and were not launched officially until 2011 after the CERF evaluation period was concluded. Even though the CERF application template was only revised in 2010 in order to obtain this type of information, the evaluation team has used the markers as a framework for analytical purpose. The vulnerability marker was designed by Channel for this evaluation.
Interviews

5. Two team members visited Pakistan in March 2010 and interviewed different categories of stakeholders (the HC, the Director of the National Disaster Management Authority, OCHA staff, UN agency and NGO staff, the Pakistan Humanitarian Forum of international NGOs, and humanitarian donor representatives). Focus group meetings were also held with members of the WASH cluster and Health cluster implementing partners. The team was unfortunately unable to interview the former Humanitarian Coordinator, who had led the response to several crises in Pakistan, including the 2010 floods. Interviews were structured around a standard list of questions. Depending on the category of stakeholder interviewed, either all of the questions were asked or a selection of them. There was no time to go into non-CERF matters (e.g. ERFs) in detail in the imparted time. The interviews conducted are one of the most significant sources of evidence for this country study. Follow-up consultations were carried out after feedback was provided on the first drafts of the report with staff of the CERF Secretariat and the OCHA Pakistan Country Office.

Analysis

6. The analysis for this study employed the CERF Performance and Accountability Framework (PAF), which defines a set of indicators at each level according to a logic model approach as a means of clarifying accountability and performance expectations around a core set of agree CERF outputs, outcomes and impacts.²

Reporting

7. The drafting of this report benefitted from comments made by the steering and reference groups on the first country study, as well as more specific comments on this country report.

Constraints

8. The evaluation team faced several important constraints. First, the main constraint with respect to the collection of information was that Pakistan has experienced a high rate of staff turnover³. The team found that almost no agency staff had been in place for the full life of the CERF and typically could at best discuss only the most recent submissions. This is apparent in this report, the findings of which are mostly floods-related. Second, another constraint is the informal nature of much of the information and significant gaps in documentation. There was a lack of clear documentation (through minutes or records of telephone calls and email exchanges) about how CERF allocation decisions were made

² Performance and Accountability Framework for the Central Emergency Response Fund (OCHA, August 2010)
³ In particular after the September 2008 bombing of the Marriott Hotel, Islamabad become a non-family duty station for international UN staff, after which many of them departed.
and what kind of discussion took place about this, both in the field and at Headquarters (CERF Secretariat and agencies). When minutes of key meetings were available, they usually did not include information beyond a few action points or conclusions. Third, project proposals and the annual reports of the Resident/Humanitarian Coordinator are relatively brief and give few details of the projects. The monitoring of CERF projects depends on UN agencies’ own monitoring system and there is no centralised monitoring of the projects funded by CERF. This means that there is a lack of monitoring data on what has been concretely achieved by each CERF project, which makes it difficult to draw firm conclusions on performance.

Key definitions
9. The case study is concerned with assessing the following:

- **Relevance/appropriateness:** Relevance is concerned with assessing whether the project is in line with local needs and priorities (as well as donor policy). Appropriateness is the tailoring of humanitarian activities to local needs, increasing ownership, accountability and cost-effectiveness accordingly.

- **Effectiveness:** Effectiveness measures the extent to which an activity achieves its purpose, or whether this can be expected to happen on the basis of the outputs. Implicit within the criterion of effectiveness is timeliness.

- **Efficiency:** Efficiency measures the outputs – qualitative and quantitative – achieved as a result of inputs. This generally requires comparing alternative approaches to achieving an output, to see whether the most efficient approach has been used.

Overview
10. The report is structured as follows:

- **Context:** A description of the humanitarian context of the country, and how the CERF was used.

- **Processes:** A description and analysis of the submission process for the CERF, and the prioritisation and selection of projects.

- **Outputs:** An analysis of the CERF’s overall contribution to the country programme, its timeliness (timeframes), level of donor support, and interaction with other funds.

- **Outcomes:** An analysis of the outcomes of the CERF process, including the extent to which CERF projects addressed gender, vulnerability, and cross-cutting issues.

- **Contribution:** An analysis of the CERF’s contribution to meeting time-critical live-saving needs, including evidence for the extent to which the CERF contributed to this objective set by the General Assembly.

---

4 These criteria are defined by Beck, T. (2006); *Evaluating humanitarian action using the OECD/DAC criteria for humanitarian agencies: An ALNAP guide for humanitarian agencies.* (Overseas Development Institute: London, March 2006)
• **Conclusions:** An outline of conclusions reached by the evaluation team and recommendations.
1. CONTEXT

Humanitarian context

11. Pakistan has faced some of the world’s largest emergencies since 2007, due to conflict and natural disasters. In 2010, the estimated population of Pakistan was over 170 million, making it the world’s sixth most-populous country. The population census data does not include 1.7 million registered Afghan refugees. About 20% of the population lives below the international poverty line of US$1.25 a day.

12. Floods: Intense storms and a major cyclone at the end of June and early July 2007 caused severe flooding, displacing over 300,000 people and affecting more than 2.5 million. Baluchistan and Sindh provinces in southern Pakistan were the worst affected, with 280 confirmed deaths and a further 188 missing persons. The flooding spread to 18 districts in Baluchistan and a further five in Sindh. In 2008, floods displaced over 2.5 million people in February and a magnitude 6.4 earthquake struck the province of Baluchistan on 29 October. Ziarat valley was the most affected and more than 70,000 people were displaced just before the winter.

13. Swat offensive: In mid-2008, the Pakistan army began a campaign against Taliban militants in their Swat valley bastion. Between then and the end of April 2009, the rise of insecurity in Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa (KPK), the former North West Frontier Province (NWFP), and Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA) caused the displacement of nearly 560,000 people. The conflict intensified at the end of April 2009, causing a further displacement of around 1.2 million people, bringing the number of IDPs to close to 1.8 million. Eleven camps were established for the IDPs across NFWP, but the majority stayed with host families. Around 1.6 million people have since returned to their homes.

14. Floods 2010: In late July 2010, the worst floods ever to hit Pakistan affected more than 20 million people. The floods started in Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa (KPK) and moved southwards along the Indus River, inundating large areas of Punjab, Sindh, and Baluchistan and affecting an increasing number of people over three weeks. Approximately one-fifth of the land area was underwater. More than 1,700 people lost their lives and 1.8 million houses are reported to have been destroyed or severely damaged, leaving 10 million homeless. The floods caused major damage to roads, bridges, infrastructure and livelihoods. In 2010, there were approximately 1,250,000 Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs) in Pakistan.5

Humanitarian response

15. The Government of Pakistan takes an active lead in the coordination of humanitarian aid through the National Disaster Management Authority (NDMA). Given the magnitude of the floods in 2010, the NDMA established two main coordination forums: the Strategic Coordination Group6, which was responsible for making strategic decisions on ongoing

---

5 Source: International Displacement Monitoring Centre.
6 The Strategic Coordination Group convened on a weekly/bi-weekly basis during the first couple of months of the floods and was attended by representatives of national stakeholders, donors, UN agencies including clusters, and NGOs. The first meeting was held on 21 August. Clusters were expected to provide updates on their
initiatives, discussing general challenges including resource mobilization, coordinating sustained efforts, and sharing information⁷, and the General Coordination Meeting⁸. In the case of the revised Flash Appeal, the NDMA acted as a Review Board for all the projects, with a right to approve or reject them⁹. The Government of Pakistan has made several contributions to the CERF¹⁰.

16. Clusters¹¹ were established in the aftermath of the 2005 earthquake and in response to emergencies, the UN has issued different types of humanitarian appeals¹² since 2006:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Pakistan Appeals 2007-2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Month/Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 July 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 September 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 February 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 August 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Pakistan has received one UFE allocation in February 2008, while the RR window has provided funding eight times (or seven if the two RR allocations made in August 2010 are counted as one) to support the response to unfolding emergencies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Overview of CERF allocations by year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

activities of the previous week. Minutes of the meetings were prepared and eight are available online.


⁸ The General Coordination meeting convened on a daily basis at technical level and included relevant donors, UN Agencies and national stakeholders. It served as a platform to share updates on activities and collecting data for “knowledge management, evaluation and analysis for future planning.”

⁹ Minutes of the 7th meeting of the Strategic Group on flood relief operations. NB: It is not standard practice for a government to review Appeal projects.

¹⁰ Contributions from the Government of Pakistan to the CERF amount to US$94,138 as of 9 April 2011.

¹¹Agriculture (lead agency: FAO); Camp Coordination and Camp Management (UNHCR); Community Restoration (UNDP); Education (UNICEF/Save the Children); Emergency Telecommunications (WFP); Food Security (WFP); Health (WHO), Logistics (WFP); Nutrition (UNICEF); Protection (UNHCR); Shelter/NFIs (IOM/UNHCR); WASH (UNICEF).

¹² The Flash Appeal for the 2007 floods was not seen as necessary by the government and there were no humanitarian appeals in 2008.
Pakistan Country Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Sum of Amount Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>9,924,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>1,986,835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td>18,719,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>8,890,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,890,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>9,852,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>16,595,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>13,381,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>12,003,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total for 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td>51,832,831</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CERF database (based on annual project code/year of submission)

CERF utilisation

18. Pakistan has received US$85 million from the CERF between 2007 and 2010, for 105 projects. Amounts allocated from the Underfunded Emergencies (UFE) and the Rapid Response (RR) windows respectively represent 8 percent and 92 percent of the funds allocated during this period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>RR 2007</th>
<th>RR 2008</th>
<th>RR 2009</th>
<th>RR 2010</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>896.403</td>
<td>1.849.133</td>
<td>514.755</td>
<td>7.391.240</td>
<td>10.651.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>622.468</td>
<td>2.087.193</td>
<td>2.150.000</td>
<td>5.366.357</td>
<td>10.226.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>UN Habitat</td>
<td>886.923</td>
<td>795.566</td>
<td>3.720.109</td>
<td>5.402.598</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>693.850</td>
<td>3.952.149</td>
<td>4.645.999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>417.300</td>
<td>1.701.836</td>
<td>1.794.723</td>
<td>3.913.859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>998.360</td>
<td>1.251.604</td>
<td>2.249.964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td>99.510</td>
<td>98.542</td>
<td>201.665</td>
<td>1.146.861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
<td>98.542</td>
<td>201.665</td>
<td>1.146.861</td>
<td>1.546.578</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The CERF has a grant and a loan component: “The grant element is split into two components: rapid response window and underfunded emergencies window. Rapid response grants are provided to support core emergency humanitarian needs due to sudden onset emergencies or a rapid deterioration within existing crises; two-thirds of the grant facility is earmarked for rapid response grants. The remaining one-third of the grant facility is set aside for grants to underfunded emergencies.” Source: Guidelines - CERF Underfunded Emergencies Window: Procedures and Criteria, January 2011
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN Habitat</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIFEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19. UNICEF has received the largest number of grants, which stems from the fact that besides being the lead agency for three clusters\(^\text{14}\), it is also active in the health and protection clusters. WFP has been supported by the CERF for food security, logistics and telecommunications\(^\text{15}\), and air services.

Table 4: Number of projects by window and by UN agency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count of Amount Approved</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Window</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN Habitat</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIFEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{14}\) These clusters are: education, nutrition, and WASH.

\(^{15}\) Telecommunications was included in the logistics projects.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RR Total</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>48</th>
<th>97</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UFE</strong></td>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WFP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UN Habitat</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UFE Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CERF database (year of disbursement of funds)

20. Eleven agencies have benefitted from the CERF. From 2007-2010, the portion of CERF funding received by UN agencies is similar to the global pattern, with WFP and UNICEF receiving a little less and WHO and UNHCR a little more. The higher percentage of funding that went to UNHCR can be explained by the assistance provided to the Afghan refugees and the agency’s active role in responding to internal displacement and natural disasters as cluster coordinator for Camp Management and Coordination, Protection, and Shelter/NFI (co-lead).

21. The most striking difference in the pattern of funding is the percentage of funding given to UN-HABITAT, which in Pakistan is actively involved in shelter and sanitation.

**Figure 1: Total share of CERF grants per UN agency 2006-2010 (Pakistan and global)**

CERF grants (2006-2010) of $1,840mn for all countries by agency share
22. As can be seen from the graph below, the cluster having received the largest portion of the funding (25%) is Food. Shelter/Non-Food Items (NFI), Health, and Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) follow. This corresponds to what might have been expected, since most CERF allocations have been made in response to sudden onset emergencies with large population displacements requiring this type of assistance. Food, shelter/NFI, health and WASH were identified as the top priorities in the initial stages of the response to the 2010 floods.

Figure 2: Annual funding per cluster from 2007-2010

23. The pattern of funding for clusters in Pakistan differs quite a lot from the global one, which is due to the higher need for funding to guarantee the security of humanitarian staff (grants to UNDP for UN DSS) and humanitarian situations characterized by large scale population displacement,
for which the top priority is providing shelter/NFIs, given the harsh climatic conditions, together with food, health and WASH. Population displacement also explains the importance of camp coordination and camp management (CCCM).

Figure 3: Sectoral allocations in Pakistan compared with CERF allocations elsewhere

![Sectoral allocations in Pakistan compared with CERF allocations elsewhere](image)

Table 5: Share of CERF funds used for direct implementation by UN agencies and transferred to partners\(^{16}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>UN/IOM Amount (USD)</th>
<th>Percentage of annual total</th>
<th>NGOs Amount (USD)(^{17})</th>
<th>Percentage of annual total</th>
<th>Government Amount (USD)</th>
<th>Percentage of annual total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Not available(^{18})</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>12,367,964</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>6,351,826</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>8,147,759</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>740,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Annual reports from the Resident/Humanitarian Coordinator 2007-2009 and draft report for 2010 (NB: amounts for 2010 are not yet available)*

\(^{16}\) The CERF reporting template was modified for the 2007 report so as to include amounts used by UN agencies for direct implementation and amounts forwarded to implementing partners. The template was modified again for the report on 2009 to differentiate funding forwarded to NGOs and to Government and an annex to list each NGO implementing partner and the amount of CERF funding forwarded to them was added.

\(^{17}\) CERF database (based on Project code/year of submission)

\(^{18}\) The 2007 annual report combines the RR of 2007 and the UFE of 2008.
2. PROCESSES

2.1 Appropriateness/Relevance

24. CERF processes have been handled differently for the different emergencies since 2007, with varying degrees of inclusiveness and transparency. For the floods in 2010, the Humanitarian Country Team (HCT) agreed on priority sectors and apportioned envelopes to UN agencies on the basis of proposals and requirements generally prepared by cluster coordinators or emergency officers, and the Humanitarian Coordinator (HC) took the final decision. This process also involved reducing amounts drastically given that agencies presented unrealistic requirements.

25. When clusters were consulted, it was rather for the downstream identification of priorities and preparation of projects (also for the UFE grant in 2008). Some cluster coordinators systematically consult their cluster partners (e.g. health, protection, WASH, nutrition), but in the case of some clusters, UN agencies meet only with their implementing partners. As the floods started in KPK where UN agencies were already operational, several of them opted to work with the implementing partners they already had.

26. Besides the need for speed, reasons for not involving the clusters may have been, in the early stages, that clusters lacked the strength to conduct a prioritization exercise and later on, that cluster attendance had become so high that a cluster consultation process would have been unmanageable.

27. Allocating funds among UN agencies in clusters involving more than one UN agency (WASH and in particular shelter/NFI) was apparently difficult. For the first allocation for the 2010 floods, there was no consultation among the three agencies involved in the shelter cluster (IOM, UNHCR, and UN-HABITAT). Consultations took place for the

---

19 Interviews.
20 Feedback to the draft report and interviews.
21 Two cluster coordinators indicated not having been consulted on priorities by the head of the cluster lead agency, but one of them said that the head of agency, as the head of the cluster lead agency, was fully aware of the needs.
22 One cluster coordinator indicated having consulted cluster members by email, when hard-pressed by time.
23 Sources: interviews, CERF project submission documents, “Crisis far from over: Millions still in Need of Assistance as Funds dry up”, Pakistan Humanitarian Forum, April 2010; and “CERF Projects in Flood Affected Areas of Sindh and Balochistan – Monitoring and Evaluation Report”, 2008, NDMA.
24 Information about cluster involvement is inconsistent if not contradictory which may partly stem from the high staff turnover since August 2010, including at the cluster coordinators’ level.
25 Several agencies participate in several clusters and when no cluster meeting takes place, separate CERF-related meetings, if any, are conducted by the UN agency’s emergency or programme coordinator with the implementing partners. The picture is further complicated by the fact the IOM (shelter/NFI cluster coordinator for the floods) does not work with implementing partners.
26 Information collected during the interviews, but not given as a reason for not involving the clusters.
subsequent allocations, but agreeing on priorities, complementarities, and levels of funding was arduous and time-consuming.  

NGOs have the feeling that they have little say in CERF processes. They complained about the process at the time of the 2010 RR allocation made in response to the IDP crisis. In their view, the CERF processes for the response to the floods lacked transparency. There is a strong sense among UN staff and NGOs that CERF funds are reserved for UN agencies. Someone suggested that NGOs can apply for indirect funding through cluster lead agencies, but there is no formal procedure enabling an NGO to do so and as mentioned before, some UN agencies tend to opt to work with their existing implementing partners.

The government plays a strong leadership role in disaster coordination and response. It complained about the first CERF allocation in 2007 because it did not consider that international aid was needed, but the proposed use of funds was coordinated with the NDMA. For subsequent allocations in 2008, the NDMA insisted on being consulted on sector priorities. In the case of the 2008 UFE allocation, draft CERF proposals were revised following receipt of NDMA feedback on the proposed projects.

The NDMA is well aware of the objectives of the CERF (and the ERF). While it does not take part in CERF consultations, the NDMA has given clear indications of what its priorities were for all stages of the response to the floods. The NDMA closely followed allocation processes through consultation with the HC prior to allocations meetings, the coordination meetings already mentioned, weekly briefings organized by OCHA on the humanitarian financing situation and the OCHA Financial Tracking Service (FTS). OCHA has also sent the NDMA regular updates on humanitarian funding.

Donors to the CERF are informed of CERF processes and their outcomes at monthly meetings (informal humanitarian breakfasts) established in 2010 for the IDP crisis. The large majority of donors do not see the need to be more involved while others do not know if they would have the time. Only one donor indicated they would find consultations on the CERF prior to CERF decision-making useful.

Priority needs are identified on the basis of assessments (e.g. rapid assessments after the flooding in Sindh and Baluchistan in 2007; the Multi-cluster Rapid Assessment Mechanism since 2008) of varying quality. Many assessments were made for the 2010 floods, but no comprehensive needs assessment was carried out and donors

---

27 Interviews.
28 Interviews, which also reported views of other NGOs. According to an informant, CERF allocations tend to be the last point on the agenda of an HCT meeting, and NGOs walk out when the discussions begin.
29 Interviews.
30 Interviews.
32 Interviews; Letter of August 2008 from the Chairman of the NDMA to the WHO Representative.
34 Interviews.
35 The Multi-cluster Rapid Assessment Mechanism (McRAM) assessment tool uses a combination of questions designed by the clusters, Personal Digital Assistants technology and field teams to provide rapid feedback on emergency situations. A McRAM assessment was carried out in flood affected provinces in August 2010. See http://mcram.org/
36 Inter-Agency Real Time Evaluation of the Humanitarian Response to Pakistan’s 2010 Flood Crisis, DARA,
complained of the difficulty of getting meaningful, precise data about the needs and guidance as to what to fund as late as November 2010. While it can be assumed that UN agencies have based their projects on assessments\textsuperscript{37}, these do not seem to inform prioritization deliberations at the HCT level.

### 2.2 Effectiveness

33. Processes are considered to have improved since 2007\textsuperscript{38}, when no one knew about the CERF\textsuperscript{39}, partly thanks to CERF training. Nevertheless, the prioritization of sectors has remained difficult. The main difficulty lies in the absence of an objective method for prioritizing among sectors and apportioning funding to them\textsuperscript{40}. At the time of the 2009 IDP crisis, the HCT used a pro-rata approach based on current funding gaps\textsuperscript{41}, but the CERF Secretariat rightfully rejected this approach for the third allocation of funds to the floods in 2010, since it did not involve any joint prioritization of key activities. UN agencies frequently ask for more than there is and envelopes then need to be cut down. The process can require lengthy negotiations and often generates acrimony, weariness, and suspicion\textsuperscript{42}.

34. In this regard, the fact that the NDMA had given the HCT clear orientations of its priorities i.e. food, shelter, health and WASH\textsuperscript{43} at the time of the 2010 floods facilitated the HC’s task. Most of the funds requested in August-October were for these sectors. For the third allocation in October 2010, a new approach (the ‘Survival Strategy’) was promoted by UNICEF, WFP and WHO to enhance the effectiveness of UN assistance by providing an integrated response in four key emergency sectors (food, health, WASH, and nutrition) in the geographical areas of greatest need.

35. A few interviewees were of the view that variables such as presence on the ground, demonstrated implementation capacity, and comparative added value of the funding for a UN agency should be better taken into account.

36. The initiative for the first two RR allocations for the 2010 floods came from the ERC\textsuperscript{44} and the CERF Secretariat, with a predefined envelope. While this sped up the process and the

---

\textsuperscript{37} CERF project proposals refer to the assessments used as a basis for the prioritization of the activities proposed. With respect to assessments, WFP was cited as an example several times (in particular the fact that teams conduct an assessment prior to any distribution).

\textsuperscript{38} According to one informant, the first CERF submission took 12 days to prepare.

\textsuperscript{39} Interview.

\textsuperscript{40} A few of the comments made in this respect are good illustrations of the difficulty: “Everyone is looking for a formula”; “it is the best guess of the HC”; “it is high-jacking, the survival of the fittest and loudest”.

\textsuperscript{41} Interview.

\textsuperscript{42} Non-cluster lead agencies feel that cluster coordinators are biased in favour of the cluster lead agency and that cluster lead agencies are taking all the money.

\textsuperscript{43} Informants all agree that these were the biggest priorities, but not the only ones.

\textsuperscript{44} The 2006 CERF grant guidelines indicate that the application process can be initiated at the initiative of the HC/RC or the ERC. This possibility for the ERC to take the initiative was included in the 2011 guidelines, which state: “Depending on the extent of the emergency and on whether the overall funding for the response is likely to be inadequate, the ERC may suggest an initial CERF funding “envelope” to the RC/HC based on the best estimate of the scale of the emergency, the immediate funding needs, and taking into account the overall CERF funding available.” Source: Guidance Note on Applying for CERF Rapid Response Grants, January 2011.
funding was needed, prior consultations with the HCT about how much money was needed would have been more effective, in particular for the first allocation, because the envelope initially provided (US$10 million) was well below requirements\textsuperscript{45}. Following discussions between the HC, OCHA, and the CERF Secretariat, the first allocation finally amounted to US$16.5 million\textsuperscript{46} and a second one was rapidly made\textsuperscript{47}.

37. The CERF life-saving criteria are seen to be pragmatic and to facilitate decision-making and the development of projects by providing guidance about what the CERF can support. No specific concern was raised about them, except that UNDP considers that some activities essential in the initial stages of a natural disaster, which it can carry out but other UN agencies do not (e.g. rubble or mud removal), do not qualify for CERF funding\textsuperscript{48}.

2.3 Efficiency

38. The fact that OCHA has a well-functioning humanitarian financing unit with good knowledge of CERF processes has been valuable for the effective and efficient handling of the CERF project cycle.

39. With respect to monitoring, the CERF reporting system in place is annual and there are no arrangements in place for the findings of monitoring of projects receiving CERF funding to be shared or discussed with the HC or OCHA during project implementation. UN agencies have monitoring and evaluation systems in place, but no obligation to share their findings. The CERF project document format contains sub-sections requiring information on outcomes and indicators and monitoring and reporting provisions and the annual reports from the RC/HC present succinct information about how projects were monitored\textsuperscript{49}.

40. The NDMA has been insisting for more detailed information about the provision of assistance and its timeliness, and an online single reporting format has recently been developed by OCHA at its request and is being tested\textsuperscript{50}. In 2008, the NDMA accepted the first CERF allocation provided it be involved in the vetting and monitoring of projects as an equal partner. Joint monitoring teams visited all the projects and a rather critical report was issued\textsuperscript{51}. The only UN agency to have had an evaluation of a few of its CERF

\textsuperscript{45} The amount was considered so low compared to the needs that giving it to a single cluster lead agency was suggested. NB: no one imagined at the time that the disaster would be of such magnitude, but UN agencies.

\textsuperscript{46} The first submission of projects by the HC on 6 August was for US$10 million.

\textsuperscript{47} Interviews; the CERF website announced on 11 August that the CERF had made a US$30 million allocation.

\textsuperscript{48} Interviews. The point was made that in Haiti, the ERF had to be called upon for funding debris removal after the 2010 earthquake.

\textsuperscript{49} These include periodic staff field visits, meetings with implementing partners, implementing partner updates, monitoring by local government departments, post-distribution surveys. The 2008 annual report provides interesting insight into some agency initiatives to improve monitoring.

\textsuperscript{50} The online Single Reporting Format database launched in Pakistan is tightly linked to the Common Humanitarian Action Plan (the strategic framework) and to the strategy of the clusters. UN staff and implementing partners enter updates on the implementation of the projects. The periodicity of updates is defined at cluster level (monthly, weekly) depending on the circumstances. The system enables the tracking and monitoring of assistance at different levels (e.g. national, district, etc.). The information generated is useful for analysis purposes and reporting. The database can only be accessed by those authorized to do so.

\textsuperscript{51} The main issue of concern to the NDMA was the percentage that had been used to cover administrative and
projects in Pakistan is FAO. One of its recommendations is that “FAO must do more to monitor its own and IP (Implementing Partner) activities”.

41. Agencies view the transaction costs associated with the CERF as reasonable. The application process and the reporting format and frequency (once per year) are appreciated for their straightforwardness, in particular compared to other funding sources.

42. UN agencies and the OCHA office have benefitted from the presence of a staff member who had worked with the CERF Secretariat and was able to provide guidance and simplify processes (e.g. filling in parts of the annual reporting template on the basis of existing information before sending it to UN agencies to complete). Agencies appreciate the support provided. The CERF management cycle, and in particular the reporting represents a lot of work for OCHA, but can be handled in Pakistan where they have manpower.

43. The “Survival Strategy” was initially submitted as a joint project of four sectors, the idea being that they would function in the same geographical areas as a “mega” cluster. As the CERF cannot provide one grant to two agencies, UNICEF and UN-Habitat were asked to separate their budgets. This caused some delays (first submission on 13/10 and re-submission on 22/10) and some irritation that can be attributed to a sense of urgency on the ground and a lack of understanding of the rules guiding the CERF.

44. In the case of the floods, the HC was quick in submitting a package of projects on 6 August, but the pressure for speed needs to be weighed against the benefits of a more consultative process.

operational costs (59%) of the projects compared to the 41% allocated to the deliverables. CERF projects in Flood Affected Areas of Sindh and Balochistan – Monitoring and Evaluation, 2008, NDMA. NB: This evaluation was conducted without involving the CERF and participating agencies did not have the opportunity to review the document before it was finalized.

51 Interviews.
52 Three of five 2007-2008 projects were evaluated in the framework of the Evaluation of FAO Interventions carried out under the CERF in Pakistan, July 2010. This evaluation was part of a worldwide evaluation of FAO CERF-funded projects.
53 Interviews.
54 Interviews. NB: The CERF Secretariat makes grants to individual agencies and signs an agreement with them.
3. OUTPUTS

3.1 Appropriateness/Relevance

45. The CERF has supported a total of 105 projects from 2007-2010, of which eight were under the UFE window. The CERF contribution to the overall contribution to the various humanitarian appeals (revised requirements) or emergencies has ranged between 2-28 percent of total contributions, as shown below:

Table 6: CERF portion of contributions to Appeals or emergency response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type of Appeal</th>
<th>Total (revised) requirements or contributions</th>
<th>Total contribution to the Appeal or total humanitarian funding</th>
<th>Percentage of requirements covered</th>
<th>CERF contribution</th>
<th>Percentage of contribution covered by the CERF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Flash Appeal</td>
<td>42,922,297</td>
<td>20,902,008</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>5,806,965</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>No appeal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66,754,845</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18,719,790</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>PHRP</td>
<td>680,070,527</td>
<td>525,810,577</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>8,890,399</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>PHRP</td>
<td>661,180,978</td>
<td>332,179,558</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>9,852,049</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flash Appeal</td>
<td>1,963,473,246</td>
<td>1,360,141,831</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>41,980,782</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Financial Tracking Service – Data as of 9 July 2011

46. CERF funding has been allocated for the coverage of needs in the entire geographical area concerned by the emergencies, except for the third allocation for the 2010 floods, which focused on Sindh where the needs were the greatest by then.

47. The CERF has funded projects developed in coherence with CERF criteria.

3.2 Effectiveness

48. The only projects ever to be rejected were two FAO projects submitted in August 2007 (for livestock protection and restoration, and for the restoration of marine and fresh water fisheries). They were presented at a time when the CERF life-saving criteria were being finalised, therefore what could and could not be included was not yet well defined or known (the first Life-Saving criteria guidelines were issued in August 2007). Several RR projects presented in late August 2008 for the response to the population displacements were withdrawn, but an examination of project documents shows that this was either because of the geographical focus of the projects or because proposals were submitted by one UN agency on behalf of several. The projects, together with additional ones, were resubmitted (e.g. a joint WFP/FAO project was resubmitted as

55 The number of projects per year is 9 in 2007, 33 in 2008, 15 in 2009, and 48 in 2010.
56 For 2008, since there were no appeals, the amount indicated is that of the total of all contributions.
57 For 2007, since there was no appeal, the amount indicated is that of the total contribution to humanitarian funding. For 2006 and 2008, CERF funding was also provided outside the Appeals.
58 The CERF was the largest donor for this Flash Appeal. Source: Financial Tracking Service.
59 Interviews. NB: No one remembers with certainty given that this happened four years ago.
separate ones) in early September 2008 and approved. The entire batch of proposals submitted in the framework of the third RR allocation for the 2010 floods was withdrawn in late September 2010 because the selection process had not followed the CERF guidelines\(^{60}\) and another more focussed submission (including the Survival Strategy) was prepared.

49. The CERF and the Emergency Response Fund (ERF) established by OCHA in March 2010\(^{61}\) operate independently and are managed by different teams. The allocation process for the ERF is cluster-based and involves both the provincial and central levels. The ERF aims to provide flexible funding to address critical needs and unforeseen emergencies and mostly funds NGOs for projects in and outside the humanitarian appeal. The applications are scrutinized by a review board, which includes seven members of the HCT (three UN agencies, three NGOs and one organisation from the gender task force), before the HC takes the final decision. The review board uses ERF proposal selection criteria and the CERF Life-Saving criteria as well as key humanitarian reference documents such as cluster response plans to review and prioritise proposals. Projects are monitored by trained ERF Focal Points based in the OCHA sub-offices. ERF processes are more inclusive and participatory than the CERF’s at both cluster and decision-making level. Effectiveness and efficiency gains could be made by streamlining the in-country management of ERF and CERF allocation processes, with a simplified and more rapid procedure for RR requests, and ensuring that the funds complement each other.

### 3.3 Efficiency

50. In the two cases where a Flash Appeal was issued, CERF RR funds were allocated while it was being prepared (2007 Yemyin cyclone and floods\(^{62}\); 2010 floods), which sped up the entire funding process and enabled UN agencies to start responding. The grants were made against projects to be included in the appeals. Similarly, for the 2008-2009 PHRP, the RR allocation was made just as the CAP had been issued\(^{63}\) (September 2008).

51. A total of 14 requests for no-cost extensions were made from 2007-2010, one of which was eventually not needed. Four of the 13 remaining requests were made by UNESCO and five by UNDP on behalf of the UN Department of Safety and Security (UN DSS). Two of these were not accepted, both of them from UNESCO in 2008 (one of which was a second such request for the same project).

52. For the 97 RR grants from 2007-2010, the timeframe for the project approval process on the part of the CERF Secretariat and UN agency Headquarters was an average of 26 days between the submission of a proposal and the disbursement of funds. The shortest was 7 days and the longest 60 days\(^ {64}\). Most projects (88) had to be revised and this took

---

\(^{60}\) Instead of being based on an identification of priority needs, proposals were prepared for amounts calculated on the pro-rata basis of funding shortfalls (Source: interviews).

\(^{61}\) The ERF only became fully operational in August 2010, which is when it received donor contributions.


\(^{64}\) Three projects for which between 107 and 125 days were necessary between the project submission and the disbursement were removed in order to avoid skewing the statistics.
between 1 and 35\textsuperscript{65} days. There is no significant difference in the timeframe between 2007 and 2010.

**Table 7: Average timeframe for processing RR grants for 2007-2010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Days</th>
<th>Submission - Revised Proposal\textsuperscript{66}</th>
<th>Final Proposal – USG Approval</th>
<th>Approval - LoU</th>
<th>LoU - Disbursement</th>
<th>Total (Submission to Disbursement)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: CERF database*

53. In the case of the first allocation for the 2010 floods, it took an average of 6 calendar days, or 4 working days, from the date of the submission of the original proposal for the 12 projects to be approved by the USG. The disbursement of CERF funding for the first allocation for the 2010 floods was faster than normal\textsuperscript{67}.

54. A comparison between the timeframes of the first, second, and third allocations indicates that an average of 16 days was needed for the first, 24 for the second, and 42 days for the third\textsuperscript{68}. The longer timeframe for the third allocation is due to the withdrawal of proposals initially submitted (as mentioned before) and the preparation of a new submission. This led to a prolonged timeframe from the initial to the final proposal.

**Table 8: Average timeframe for the processing of RR grants for the 2010 floods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Days</th>
<th>Submission- Revised Proposal</th>
<th>Final Proposal – USG Approval</th>
<th>Approval - LoU</th>
<th>LoU - Disbursement</th>
<th>Total (Submission to Disbursement)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1\textsuperscript{st} allocation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd} allocation</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3\textsuperscript{rd} allocation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: CERF database*

55. The timeframe for the 2008 UFE allocation was surprisingly shorter than the RR average:

65 Except for a food security project in 2008 that took 79 days, but this was exceptional and involved a substantial decrease in the amount requested.

66 Ten projects did not need to be modified/re-submitted.

67 The disbursement for most projects was made within two weeks from the submission.

68 The first batch of proposals for the third RR allocation was withdrawn and a new one, including the Survival Strategy, was re-submitted, which caused delays.
56. In 2009, NGOs interviewed by Oxfam expressed concern about the length of time needed by UN agencies “to approve and transfer funds (with two to three months being seen as a required minimum)” and the management of the contracts. In its briefing paper, Oxfam recommended that UN-NGO funding partnerships be urgently “improved to transfer funds in a more timely and predictable fashion” (Bennett, 2009). According to data compiled by the CERF Secretariat from several countries in 2010, the number of days required on average by UN agencies in Pakistan to disburse funds to their implementing partners once they had received the funds was of 50 calendar days for UNICEF and 155 calendar days for UN-HABITAT. CERF indirect funding to NGOs thus appears to be much slower than the ERF, which takes an average of 50 days between the receipt of the first draft by the ERF team and the disbursement of the first tranche of funds.

57. The implementing partners of two clusters (health and WASH) kick-started the response to the 2010 floods with their own resources, but saw the timeframe for receiving resources from the cluster lead agency as reasonable.

---

70 NB: This statement was not related to CERF funding.
71 Only data from UNICEF and UN-HABITAT is available.
72 ERF database.
73 Interviews.
74 In general, NGOs do not know the source of the money UN agencies use to fund their operations, so no conclusions can be drawn about the CERF specifically.
4. OUTCOMES

4.1 Appropriateness/Relevance

58. The CERF has been a critical source of early funding for several emergencies, when funding was scarce, the UN had no resource mobilization tool in the absence of an appeal (2008), or humanitarian donors were slow to contribute. These include the floods in 2008 (UFE February allocation), the earthquake in Baluchistan in 2008, internal displacement in KPK in 2008, 2009 and 2010 and the early stages of the floods in 2010. The first allocation for the 2010 floods was announced in early August at a time when the potential magnitude of the unfolding emergency had not yet dawned upon the humanitarian community. This seems to have still been the case by the time of the second CERF allocation which was announced quickly after. Donors were slow to respond compared to other large scale natural disasters like the earthquake in Haiti.

59. Some agencies would not have been able to take part in the response, or at least its early stages, without CERF funds (e.g. UN-HABITAT, UNDP for Community Recovery, FAO, UNDSS). For some agencies, the CERF has been the only or the largest source of funding (e.g. UNDSS got no other funding for the PHRP and the response to the floods in 2010, and despite the large amounts of funding that WHO received at a later stage, the CERF has remained its largest donor in 2010).

60. The CERF is regarded as flexible funding compared to that of other donors who are more directive as to the approach to be followed.

61. The CERF has reinforced the position of the HC by giving him decision-making authority over the use of CERF funds. However, this is by no means an easy process to lead in the absence of a methodology for deciding which sectors to prioritize (e.g. “It is not healthy if HCs come up with their own recipes”). A head of agency pointed to the need for principles for apportioning funds, to help in the event of a weak HC.

62. The CERF has also enhanced coordination between some of the UN agencies, in particular those working in the same clusters, as well as partnerships (e.g. joint logistics

---

75 Interviews. The government refused that a Flash Appeal be issued, as a result of which the CERF was almost the only source of funding to support the IDPs.
76 The September 2008 RR allocation was made as soon as the CAP (PHRP) was launched and has been the largest source of funding (Annual Report of the Resident/Humanitarian Coordinator, 2009, p.2).
78 Crisis far from over: Millions still in Need of Assistance as Funds dry up, Pakistan Humanitarian Forum, April 2010.
79 The first submission of proposals was made on 6 August and the second on 23 August 2010. They are presented as one allocation on the CERF website.
80 On 6 August, US$ 252,940,520 of US$ 663,058,364, i.e. 38% of the requirements had been received according to the submission document sent by the HC.
81 “Pakistan floods: mega disaster needs mega response”, Neva Khan, Oxfam’s Pakistan Director, 10 August 2010 and “Pakistan floods fail to spark strong global aid”, Nahal Toosi, Associated Press, 13 August 2010.
82 Interviews.
83 Interviews.
84 Interviews.

63. With respect to the other pillars of the humanitarian reform, while the CERF has supported strategic coordination by allocating funding to projects included in humanitarian appeal documents when such documents existed, it has only reinforced the clusters as coordination bodies inasmuch as they were involved in CERF-related consultations. On the whole, the prioritization process is viewed as UN agency-rather than cluster-based. Some cluster members have benefitted from CERF funding as implementing partners, but this does not reinforce the clusters. By supporting the integrated approach of the Survival Strategy, however, the CERF has enhanced inter-cluster coordination.

64. Although it is difficult to establish, there is little evidence that the CERF has strengthened partnerships with the NGO sector. NGOs are not systematically consulted (upstream or downstream) on priorities and they have no clear opportunity to request CERF funding from UN agencies through the cluster system or otherwise. In the specific case of IOM, the cluster lead agency for shelter, NGO involvement is further limited by the fact that IOM implements itself.

65. The CERF has enhanced partnership with the government at central level (NDMA) given its early involvement in the prioritization and the monitoring of activities as well as with a few government departments at provincial and district level (e.g. UNICEF and UNESCO and the Department of Education in 2008; UNICEF and the Department of Health for nutrition in 2008).

4.2 Effectiveness

66. Early funding has enabled agencies to kick start or expand operations and mobilize existing assets. The news that CERF funds would be available enabled agencies to start planning.

67. The CERF provided seed money to a few agencies (e.g. FAO, UNDP, UN-HABITAT) and the fact that they had a presence on the ground, an accurate knowledge of the needs, and had been able to respond enabled them to leverage further contributions from donors. One of the agencies better funded by donors considers that CERF funding had the

---

86 Interviews (this is a view expressed by a few interviewees of both the UN and NGOs).
87 According to one informant, international NGOs did not have sufficient chances of scaling up quickly whereas they had excellent capacities. The ERF was not fully operational in the initial phase of the disaster and ERF grants were too small for the large NGOs, which therefore fell between the cracks.
88 This was already mentioned in the Annual Report on the Use of CERF Grants of 2007.
90 Interviews and Inter-Agency Real Time Evaluation of the Humanitarian Response to Pakistan’s 2010 Floods Crisis, March 2011
91 For example, in the case of WHO, mobilizing 57 WHO technical staff working in other duty stations in the region for the response to the 2010 floods.
92 Source: interviews. Humanitarian donors, in particular the major ones which also have monitoring capacities, frequently meet informally and share information about the strengths and weaknesses of UN agencies and partners alike. Performance affects funding.
opposite effect and another that this was not true for the floods for which a lot of funding came in at once.

68. The 2008 UFE allocation enabled WFP and FAO to provide agricultural assistance to households affected by floods in 2007 in Sindh and Balochistan, who could not be reached earlier due to funding gaps. In 2010, coverage was expanded with successive allocations as the floods covered larger and larger areas. The last allocation of CERF funds was earmarked for the latest province to be affected (Sindh), where response capacities were low.

69. The CERF is encouraging agencies to mainstream gender, vulnerability, and cross-cutting issues in their approaches. The analysis\(^{93}\) of the extent to which gender, vulnerability, and cross-cutting issues were mainstreamed into a sample of 26 projects\(^{94}\) shows the following:

70. **Gender**: 30 per cent of the sample (projects in the 2a and 2b categories), clearly promote gender equality, while 34 per cent showed no consideration for gender in any component of the project and 35 per cent included gender aspects in one or two of the components of the project (i.e. needs assessment, activities, or expected outcomes). Most projects having scored “0” were from 2007 (2 projects) and 2008 (6 projects) and only one from 2010, which shows that UN agencies have improved their performance over the years. An increasing number of organisations include gender disaggregated data in the projects. The mandate of the UN agency and the nature of the activities are also a factor of the extent to which gender is mainstreamed\(^{95}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Number of projects</th>
<th>Percentage of the total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>26</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

71. **Vulnerability**: 50 per cent of the sample (projects scored 2a and 2b) contribute in a significant way to gender equality, and for 19 per cent of the sample and 46 per cent address vulnerability in a limited way. This indicates that almost all projects take vulnerability into account to varying degrees in the needs analysis, the activities, and expected outcomes. Most of the projects have used the word “vulnerable” without

---

\(^{93}\) The purpose of this analysis was to ascertain the extent to which project documents reflect a ‘sensitive’ approach to these issues. NB: the gender markers were only piloted in 2010 and therefore could not have been used before by agencies to review their project proposals.

\(^{94}\) One of the 27 randomly selected projects was not included in the scoring as it is a ‘common services’ Project in support of the humanitarian community.

\(^{95}\) Projects focusing on maternal health, nutritional services for lactating/ pregnant women and children are gender sensitive, while others do not include disaggregated data.
targeting specific categories. Agencies working on protection\textsuperscript{96} are better than others at mainstreaming vulnerability into their projects. For projects focusing on crops and livestock, the categories considered vulnerable are families that are food insecure and having lost their livelihoods, which could be regarded as economic vulnerability.

### Table 10: Scores for vulnerability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Number of projects</th>
<th>Percentage of the total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

72. **Cross-cutting issues:** While 88 per cent of the projects include a cross-cutting issue, only 27 per cent clearly address a cross-cutting issue. Those most frequently addressed are protection, capacity building, coping strategies, and resilience.

### Table 11: Scores for integration of cross-cutting issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Number of projects</th>
<th>Percentage of the total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>61,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.3 Efficiency

73. In the case of the 2010 floods, most agencies faced operational constraints which limited their ability to respond during the first couple of weeks\textsuperscript{97}. They were then able to respond rapidly in KPK, where they already had response capacities (partners and stocks) established for the response to the IDP crisis or assistance to Afghan refugees.

74. It is difficult to ascertain how quickly the aid was provided in the absence of a tracking system or the inclusion of this type of information in the final reports on the use of the

\textsuperscript{96} Examples are protection, SGBV, malnutrition, maternal and child health.

\textsuperscript{97} Initial constraints included the slow build up of awareness of the potential scope of the floods, reluctance of the government to accept an appeal and let the UN start work and that of the army to have foreigners in certain places, and delays in obtaining visas. Later stage constraints include access, bureaucratic impediments to establishing clusters in the provinces, confusion over NDMA/provincial responsibilities, unequal strength of the cluster coordinators, lengthy and difficult Appeal revision process, and the insufficient availability of supplies.
grants. Several donors and the NDMA are of the view that except for a couple of agencies or sectors\textsuperscript{98}, the UN was too reactive, did not scale up as quickly as it should have, and remained slow, in particular in terms of expanding geographic coverage\textsuperscript{99}. NGOs and the IFRC are perceived to have been quicker than UN agencies\textsuperscript{100} and this had implications for the channelling of donor funding\textsuperscript{101}.

75. Some of the projects are of an enabling nature (e.g. logistics, safety and security, emergency telecommunications) for other agencies or enable economies of scale. For example, WHO procures all pharmaceuticals for the emergency response from known sources (this guarantees their quality) and at a lower cost than could have been done otherwise, and supplies the government and NGOs.

\textsuperscript{98} Unequal performance was reported even for clusters depending on the same cluster lead agency.

\textsuperscript{99} According to a government source, it took two months for the UN to be able to function in Sindh and Punjab. See also the minutes of the Strategic Coordination Committee meetings.

\textsuperscript{100} Except for one agency which believes that NGOs cannot deliver in the three month CERF RR timeframe.

\textsuperscript{101} Interviews.
5. CONTRIBUTION

76. The CERF has made an essential contribution to the humanitarian response in Pakistan by providing early funding for emergencies that were not getting funding or sufficient levels of it from humanitarian donors. CERF funding has frequently enabled agencies to kick start operations, boost their response capacities, and occasionally, leverage further donor support.

77. CERF grants have been used to respond to the needs of populations affected by natural disasters (floods, cyclone, or earthquake) and conflict (NWFP/KPK and FATA), who required multi-sector assistance. Depending on the UN agency and the cluster concerned, emergency response projects have focused on entire affected areas (e.g. health services) or on specific categories of the population (IDPs, refugees, returnees, host families, vulnerable categories requiring specific assistance). Certain projects have provided support services (e.g. logistics) enabling humanitarian workers to carry out their activities. The CERF has clearly supported projects of a life-saving nature.

78. The timeliness of the aid provided cannot be ascertained on the basis of the documentation usually available. Annual reports provide indications of achievements against targets, but not of when the assistance was delivered. Nevertheless, given that most of the grants were from the RR window, it is fair to assume that the assistance provided was delivered fairly rapidly.
6. CONCLUSIONS

Processes

79. CERF processes are not yet well established and have been handled differently for the different emergencies since 2007. Clusters and cluster coordinators are not systematically involved in the CERF prioritization process.

- Recommendation 1: The CERF Secretariat needs to provide greater clarity about the consultation processes expected at country level, as the guidance available is too vague about when and how clusters should be involved.

- Recommendation 2: Minutes should be taken of inter-cluster meetings at which priorities for the CERF are discussed and made available to the HC and the CERF Secretariat, in order to ensure that the inter-cluster recommendations adequately inform CERF deliberations and are given adequate consideration at the HCT level.

80. Prioritizing between clusters or sectors has not been easy, and in this respect, the Survival Strategy can be seen as a strategy to get recognition that certain sectors need to be prioritized in the early stages of emergencies in order to save lives, with the added value that it promotes the coordinated delivery of aid.

- Recommendation 2: The CERF Secretariat and UN agencies should draw lessons from the experiment with a view to possibly replicating it at the onset of other emergencies (rather than a later stage) between different sets of clusters depending on the context. The Survival Strategy should leave room for other clusters to apply for the support they need.

81. The country-level allocation of envelopes to clusters and agencies has been fraught with difficulties and been a cause of inter-agency tensions.

- Recommendation 3: The CERF Secretariat needs to collect and share examples of good practice on how best to prioritize and apportion funding to different clusters, possibly with suggestions of different approaches suited for different contexts and phases of an emergency.

Outputs

82. The annual CERF reporting system is appreciated by UN agencies for its simplicity and periodicity, but information about the monitoring of projects as they are being implemented is not shared with the HC or the CERF Secretariat. A promising online Single Reporting Format is now being piloted and has the potential of providing much better insights into performance than current monitoring mechanisms. If successful, this tool could lead to greater transparency and accountability of humanitarian action.

- Recommendation 4: OCHA and the CERF Secretariat should consider carrying out an independent evaluation of the experiment with a view to possibly replicating it in other countries and using it for projects benefitting from CERF funding.

83. CERF and ERF allocation processes are managed differently and by different teams.
Recommendation 5: OCHA and the CERF Secretariat should consider streamlining the country-level management of CERF and ERF allocations in order to ensure that they complement each other in support of the strategic objectives of the appeals. A simplified procedure for RR allocations (as compared to a more consultative one for UFE allocations) could be envisaged for the sake of timeliness.

Outcomes

84. Agencies sometimes face organisational and operational constraints, which limit their ability to respond in the immediate aftermath of an emergency. It is easier for them to respond when they already have partners and stocks in place. NGOs often have a comparative advantage in terms of operational capacity, because they are already active in the areas affected by the disaster or the emergency and have partners in place.

Recommendation 6: In disaster-prone areas where UN agencies are not active, the CERF should consider supporting a response preparedness mechanism similar to the UNICEF Rapid Response to Population Movements project in the Democratic Republic of Congo.102

Recommendation 7: The CERF should contribute to the ERF, which has the capacity to release funding quickly to NGOs.

102 The RRMP works in three sectors on the basis of pre-financed partnerships with international NGOs that capable of carrying out immediate multi-sector assessments and proving an immediate response, and the pre-positioning of relief supplies. This mechanism is viewed as good practice and has been systematically prioritized for the receipt of pooled funds (mostly from the Common Humanitarian Fund/Pooled Fund, as well as the CERF for some of its components).
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Inception report:
ANNEX II : CERF PROCESS

BOX 2: RAPID RESPONSE GRANT PROCESS

B1. Although there is a preference for applications from a country team, a UN agency can make a request for CERF rapid response window funding at any time (e.g. WFP did so in December 2009 in Kenya). The only requirement, checked by the CERF Secretariat, is that the request be endorsed by the Humanitarian Coordinator (HC) or the Resident Coordinator (RC) in the absence of an HC. Such one-off requests are relatively rare, and the bulk of CERF rapid response funding goes to joint requests by several UN agencies.

B2. The Emergency Relief Coordinator may also take the initiative of suggesting to the HC or RC the possibility of requesting CERF rapid response funding (OCHA 2006; 2011). This happens only rarely, for example after the 2010 earthquake in Haiti when many UN staff, including top ranking ones, died and most UN buildings were destroyed, in Pakistan at the onset of the 2010 floods, and in DRC for Equateur Province in 2010.

B3. If requested by the UN country team, an informal indication may be given by the CERF Secretariat as to the likely scale of the CERF envelope for the particular crisis. There is normally a maximum limit of US$30 million for any one emergency or crisis (United Nations Secretariat, Secretary-General’s bulletin, 2006, 2010) but it is extremely rare that the full amount is allocated. The 2010 Pakistan floods are an example. Three RR allocations were made, the first two of which at the initiative of the ERC in August 2010. The initial allocation, at the onset of the floods, was revised up from an initial US$10 million to US$16.6 million in consultation with the HC and rapidly followed by a second one of US$13.4 million (i.e. a total of US$30 million). The CERF finally provided close to US$42 million for the response to the floods.

B4. The CERF Secretariat prefers to see a draft request prior to agreeing informally on an envelope. At a minimum, the CERF Secretariat has to be aware of the beneficiary numbers, justification, funding levels, and types of projects, before discussing the size of a submission. The CERF Secretariat often consults with the ERC on potential envelopes.

B5. Joint applications are prepared by the country team with the UN agencies discussing the amount to be allocated to each cluster (or agencies where clusters do not exist), and each cluster lead agency preparing proposals in consultation with cluster members. The level of formality of this process varies a lot, depending on how the HC manages the prioritisation process.

B6. The CERF Secretariat reviews the proposals, frequently leading to adjustments relating to budget issues. The CERF can make substantive comments, but it is assumed that the HC and HCT/clusters have the technical expertise to determine what the urgent needs are as well as the capacities of the agencies on the ground. Once the Secretariat signs off, the grants are reviewed and authorised by the Emergency Relief Coordinator and the agency in question signs a Letter of Understanding with the UN Secretariat for the release of the funds.

103 From second quarter of 2011 an umbrella LoU has been introduced and agencies will counter-sign an
UNDERRUNDED EMERGENCY GRANT PROCESS

87. Allocations from the CERF underfunded emergencies window (UFE) are made twice a year, and the two rounds coincide with the global Consolidated Appeal Process (CAP) launch and the CAP mid-year review. Allocations are made to both CAP and non-CAP countries with no predefined division between these. The criteria for selection of countries for UFE funding are the degree of funding shortfall, the severity of humanitarian needs, and type of activities and the implementation capacity. The ERC selects between 17 and 24 countries a year for underfunded emergency support with the bulk of funds (typically two thirds) allocated during the first round.

88. For CAP countries, the CERF Secretariat undertakes an analysis of humanitarian indicators combined with an analysis of the level of funding support for the CAP (analysis at sector level for each CAP). For the first underfunded round the previous year’s CAP funding data is used for the analysis whereas the funding levels at the CAP mid-year review serve as reference for the second allocation.

89. For non-CAP countries, UN agencies’ headquarters are invited to vote on which non-CAP emergencies they regard as the most underfunded. The voting process is supplemented with details from each agency on their ongoing humanitarian programmes in the proposed countries and the funding levels of these.

90. The CERF Secretariat combines analysis of CAP and non-CAP countries and, based on the UFE criteria, prepares a ranked list of country candidates for the ERCs consideration and decision. The ERC decides of the list of countries for inclusions and on the funding envelope for each. The selected countries and proposed allocation envelopes are discussed with agency headquarter focal points.

91. The amount decided by the ERC is notified to the RC/HC in a letter in which the ERC may direct the allocation, or parts of it, to particular underfunded sectors or regions in order to facilitate prioritisation and speed up the process. The RC/HC will have to confirm that the funds are needed and can be implemented according to the stipulated timeline and against the proposed activities.

92. At the country level, the allocation process is similar for the preparation of a rapid response allocation. The only other differences for underfunded emergencies is that the grants for the first annual round must be implemented by 31 December of the same calendar year and for the second annual round by 30 June of the next calendar year (OCHA 2010). Again, agencies can ask for a no-cost extension.

approval letter from the ERC, instead of signing a LoU for each grant.
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Mrs Mattsson has carried out short- and longer term missions to Bangladesh, Indonesia, Jordan, Kenya, Kosovo, Liberia, Maldives, Palestinian Territories, Sierra Leone, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Thailand, Uganda and the United Arab Emirates. She is a Finnish citizen, based in Dubai, and speaks fluent Finnish, Swedish, English, Spanish and French, while she is conversational in colloquial Arabic.
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Embassy of Norway, First Secretary

Anif, Shahida  
NDMA, DRM Officer / UN Coordinator

Bessler, Manuel  
OCHA, Head of Office

Booth, Douglas  
WASH Cluster Coordinator

Cricboom, Christian  
OCHA Pakistan, Information Management Officer

Dickinson, Lucy  
CERF Secretariat, Programme Officer

El Hatab, Omar  
UNICEF, Chief, Water, Environment and Sanitation

Falconer, Hamish  
DFID, Deputy Humanitarian Programme Manager

Fay, Aine  
Pakistan Humanitarian Forum & CONCERN, Country Director

Hacker, Simon  
WFP, Logistics Cluster Coordinator

Hanazawa, Teruki  
Embassy of Japan, First Secretary

Hussain, Fawad  
OCHA, Humanitarian Affairs Officer, Field Coordination Unit

Iqbal, Sadia  
WHO, Donor Relations Focal Point

Kebede, Mengesha  
UNHCR, Representative

Kennedy, James  
IOM, Shelter Cluster Technical Coordinator

Khan, Jehangir  
FAO, National Emergency Coordinator

King’ori, James  
UNICEF, Nutrition Cluster Coordinator

Lauer, Katharina  
USAID/OFDA, Senior Humanitarian Advisor

Le Roux, Susan  
OCHA, External Relations and Donor Liaison

Lobjois, Stephane  
Pakistan Humanitarian Forum & Handicap International, Head of Mission

Mahsud, Idrees  
NDMA, Director

Martinez, Jorge  
WHO, Chief of Operations, EHA

Matsumoto, Takashi  
Embassy of Japan, Adviser

Meijndert, Fritz  
Embassy of the Netherlands, Counsellor

Melisande, Geneviève  
CERF Secretariat

Mizumoto, Ayumi  
UNICEF, Chief, Planning, Monitoring, and Evaluation

Mohaddam, Siamak  
UN-HABITAT, Country Programme Manager

Mohmand, Hamza  
WFP, Sr. Information Management Assistant

Mubarak, Ammarah  
IOM, Program Officer

Noviera, Laksmita  
OCHA, ERF Manager

Pakkala, Timo  
Humanitarian Coordinator

Peguet, Caroline  
OCHA, Head of the ERF Unit

Rao, Zulfiqar  
WFP, Food Cluster Coordinator

Sabatinelli, Guido  
WHO, Representative
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization/Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seeger, Helen</td>
<td>IOM, Reporting Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selleri, Anna Maria</td>
<td>UN-HABITAT, Shelter Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sevcik, David</td>
<td>ECHO, Head of Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siyal, Mariyam</td>
<td>UNDSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Karen</td>
<td>CERF Secretariat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundberg, Ulrika</td>
<td>Embassy of Sweden, Ambassador</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanaka, Toshihiro</td>
<td>UNDP, Country Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarakinikini, Filipo</td>
<td>UNDSS, Chief Security Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas, Lynn Marie</td>
<td>USAID/OFDA, Senior Humanitarian Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulk, Jason</td>
<td>First Secretary, Embassy of Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ullah, Hidayat</td>
<td>UNDP, Community Restoration Cluster Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umar, Fareeha</td>
<td>UNFPA-UN Women, Gender Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villeneuve, Pascal</td>
<td>UNICEF, Acting Representative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waldman, Ron</td>
<td>Sr. Advisor to the HC for the Survival Strategy (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ANNEX V. COUNTRY PROJECT SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Agency – Window – Sector – Grant amount – Project number and emergency type</th>
<th>Disbursement year</th>
<th>Days to Disbursement</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Activities (note: the text is updated apart from removal of surpluse carriage returns and tabs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>UNICEF – RR – Water and sanitation – US$1,281,451 (07-CF-041) –</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>Provision of Safe Drinking Water, Adequate Sanitation and Hygiene to Flood-affected Population of Balochistan and Sindh</td>
<td>- Provide safe drinking water through water trucking/restoration of existing water supply systems/links, cleaning of wells, latrines, ponds, etc. - Provide water purifying tablets, jerry cans, water tanks/kipukas/kipikas/kipiklas - Ensure access to adequate sanitation through provision of latrine slabs, tarpaulin sheets, etc. - Disseminate hygiene education messages on the risks associated with drinking contaminated water and unsafe hygiene practices - Provide hygiene kits including: soap, hygiene education materials to the flood-affected vulnerable population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>FAO – RR – Agriculture – US$417,306 (07-FAO-026) –</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>Support for the Restoration of Crop Production and Food Availability</td>
<td>Rapid needs assessment to determine those most vulnerable households with total losses of rabi seed and damaged on-farm water management and water harvesting systems; Assessment and selection of existing local implementing partners; Mobilization of rural communities and local specialist service providers (Department of Agriculture, NGOs, CBOs and farmers associations) - Procurement, transportation and delivery of seeds and fertilizers to implementing partners - Delivery of seeds and fertilizers to community organizations by implementing partners for distribution to beneficiaries - Training of farmers in land preparation, soil and water conservation, and saving of improved and fertilizer management - Monitoring of project activities and beneficiary rural communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>UNFPA – RR – Health – US$820,000 (07-UNFPA-018) –</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>Safe Motherhood</td>
<td>Selling up mobile service units (MSUs) in the worst-affected and relatively inaccessible areas to ensure effective maternal, newborn and child health services including emergency obstetric care - Utilization of vehicle transport containing a delivery table, maternal, newborn and child health equipment, medicines and supplies including hygiene kits, limited space for outpatient consultations to support 150 women and their children a day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>UNHCR – RR – Shelter and MPI – US$202,468 (07-UNHCR-012) –</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>NFI Distribution</td>
<td>NFI stock distribution and replenishment through NGO/CIS funding provided by UNHCR sub-office Quetta and Peshawar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>DMI – RR – CS – Unspecified – US$200,000 (07-DMI-012) –</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>Procurement and Distribution of NFIs and Potable Water to Displaced Population in Flood-Impacted Balochistan and Sindh</td>
<td>Procurement of portable water and NFIs (Combination of distribution mechanisms: Distribution to flood-affected populations prioritizing open spaces/market areas; Gaps Analysis; Monitoring and Evaluation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>DMI – RR – CS – Unspecified – US$100,000 (07-DMI-017) –</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>Rapid/Disaster Logistics Response</td>
<td>Form, train and equip four Rapid/Disaster Logistics Response Teams to be drawn from relevant departmental experts and staff with experience gained from the IOM Emergency Rapid Response Teams that were lastly responsible for emergencies in the earthquake affected areas; areas; to be based at the same locations, to ensure their availability and capacity to respond effectively to logistical issues in the field; a information hubs serving diverse public information needs (navigating and planned relief, recovery and reconstruction plans/projects); Create four Rapid Logistics Response Hubs – comprising representatives of each target constituency – at the same locations in order to ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>BFSP – RR – CS – Logistics – US$301,132 (07-BFSP-044) –</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>Augmented Logistic Services to the Humanitarian Community in Response to Pakistan Floods</td>
<td>The main activities of this project are the establishment of a minimum of 3 forward logistics hubs, and warehouse management in order to make them operational. There will be a strategic effort to provide the urgently needed support equipment (mobile warehousing and support equipment); Common transport and supply tracking will be an intricate part of the operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>BFSP – RR – Food – US$1,408,051 (07-BFSP-057) –</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>Food Assistance to Cyclone and Flood Affected Persons in Balochistan</td>
<td>Ration-to-food kits, including high-energy biscuits, dates and canned palm olein; 170,000 food baskets will be provided for one month to communities affected in flood water and families who have no means to cook due to lack of kitchen utensils, matches and wood for fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>WHO – RR – Health – US$889,403 (07-WHODC-032) –</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>Access to Life-Saving Primary Healthcare Medical Supplies, and Disease Early Warning and Surveillance (DEWS)</td>
<td>- Provide safe drinking water through water trucking, restoration of existing water supply systems/links, cleaning of wells, latrines, ponds, etc. - Provide water purifying tablets, jerry cans, water tanks/kipukas/kipikas/kipiklas - Ensure access to adequate sanitation through provision of latrine slabs, tarpaulin sheets, etc. - Disseminate hygiene education messages on the risks associated with drinking contaminated water and unsafe hygiene practices - Provide hygiene kits including: soap, hygiene education materials to flood-affected vulnerable population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>UNICEF – LFE – Health – US$750,000 (08-CF-014A) –</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>Nutritional Interventions in Flood-Impacted Areas of Balochistan and Sindh</td>
<td>1. About 2,000 severely malnourished children (1,930 in Dadu and Kamber, 250 and 50 in Jh, Moga, Khanpur, Khudabad, Nokundi and Khudabad in Balochistan province) will be treated and cared with Therapeutic foods and essential medicines through community-based therapeutic care and facility-based therapeutic centers. 2. About 5,000 moderately malnourished children will be treated and cared with Therapeutic foods and essential medicines through community-based therapeutic care and facility-based therapeutic centers. 3. About 13,000 moderately malnourished children and women in total 24,000 (22,780 in Dadu and Kamber, and 1,061 in Balochistan) will receive supplementary food (fortified food); 3.8% of targeted beneficaries will receive key messages on promotion of exclusive breastfeeding up to six months from birth and appropriate complementary feeding after 6 months - 4% maternal and 4% children women will receive micronutrient tablets and deworming. 3 Nutrition surveillance system will be set up in 7 districts, link with Disease Early Warning system (DEWS); GHIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>UNICEF – LFE – Water and sanitation – US$108,000 (08-CF-014B) –</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>WASH Interventions in Flood-Impacted Areas of Sindh and Balochistan</td>
<td>1. Provide water supply and latrine facilities to a minimum of 20 health facilities, including Basic Health Units (BHUs), Rural Health Centers (RHCs) and District Headquarters (DHOs) 2. Provide safe drinking water to the target flood population through the naturalisation, where possible, and the construction of water systems, including up-flow Watan Hand pump systems, shelter/latrine/wells, community water supply schemes, the installation of handpumps, hand pumps and the installation of water tanks/points for communities and schools made non functional due to flood water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>UNICEF – LFE – Health – Nutrition – US$500,000 (08-CF-014H) –</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>Nutritional Interventions in selected food deficit and the per capita income districts, selected hospitals with Therapeutic care facilities for treatment and care for severely malnourished children</td>
<td>1. About 500 severely malnourished children will be identified and treated with Therapeutic foods and essential medicines 2. About 17,000 moderately malnourished children and pregnant and lactating women (50% total estimated moderately malnourished children and women) will receive the fortified food in 2 districts (fortified food be received from BFSP) 3. 30% of the targeted beneficaries (7,000) will receive key messages on the importance of early initiation of breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding up to six months from birth, appropriate complementary food after 6 months, and ...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pakistan

UNICEF - RR - Water and sanitation - US$271,032 (US-CEF-002-C) -  
2008  531  WASH interventions for internally displaced and flood affected populations in the North Western Frontier Province (NWFP) and Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA) of Pakistan  
At the end of the CERF grant period 50,000 beneficiaries, predominately IDPs, will have been provided with safe drinking water, will have had access to adequate sanitation facilities and will have been reached with appropriate hygiene messages as required in established IDP camps and/or other areas of concern

UNICEF - RR - Health - Nutrition - US$210,523 (US-CEF-002-D) -  
2008  532  Key nutrition interventions - treatment and care for acute malnourished children, and malnourished pregnant and lactating women in IDP camps in NWFP  
1 About 1,600 severely malnourished children will be identified and treated with therapeutic food and essential medicines  
2 About 11,800 moderately malnourished children (8,400) and pregnant and lactating women (3,400) will be reached for fortification food (to coordinate with WFP): 3.5% of pregnant and lactating women will receive key messages on the importance of early initiation of breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding up to six months from birth, appropriate complementary feeding after six months, and hygiene practices  
4 About 10,100 women and girls in immediate danger will be provided with safe play spaces and receive psychosocial support  
5 Nutrition surveillance system will be set up in the targeted districts, and linked with Disease Early Warning system (DEWS) in collaboration with WHO

UNICEF - RR - Protection Child Rights - US$715,523 (US-CEF-002-C) -  
2008  533  Protecting children from the consequences of armed conflict  
- Children at risk of expansion, expanded and/or exacerbated are identified and are reached with their families or placed in family-based care (ie. relatives or host families)  
- Child rights violations prevented through the establishment of effective monitoring, reporting and response systems on child protection risks of violence, abuse, exploitation and neglect  
- An interagency prevention and response plan is in place for preventing and responding to each of the major child protection risks which builds on existing protective factors and child protection systems  
- Some 5,000 of the most vulnerable girls, boys and women in immediate danger are provided safe play spaces and receive psychosocial support  
- A local plan urgently developed and implemented for the prevention and response to recruitment of children by non-state actors

UNICEF - RR - Education - US$105,646 (US-CEF-002-D) -  
2008  534  Rehabilitation of Education System for IDPs in NWFP and FATA  
All children (age group 5-11yrs) affected by the DFV situation, including children in Lower Dir whose schools have been converted into IDP camps, are able to continue their educational activities under the MSEE guidelines  
Government education department will collect data on students, teachers, school going children, youth and damaged and other educational institutions, where IDPs are residing and district offices to strengthen/establish educational system in affected districts  
Support and mobilize EDOs, DEOs, DDEOs, ADOEs and Head Teachers to enable them to monitor and support camp schools, which will result in enrolment children, high retention rates and reduce drop out rates  
Middle and Secondary Schools will use educational supplies to continue their education, which will enable them to save academic year of students  
Teachers and Head Teachers will be exposed to MSEE standards and intent them on how to run schools in camps and use of educational material in an emergency context

UNICEF - RR - Water and sanitation - US$200,001 (US-CEF-002-A) -  
2008  535  WASH interventions in Earthquake Affected Areas of Balochistan  
In the earthquake affected target districts, approximately 35,000 people will have access to safe drinking water, approximately 10,000 people displaced by the earthquake will have been provided with improved access to sanitation and 35,000 will have been reached with appropriate hygiene messages

UNICEF - RR - Health - Nutrition - US$100,024 (US-CEF-002-B) -  
2008  536  Nutrition interventions in earthquake affected areas in Balochistan  
Trained community workers/UHFs on screening/case finding, and referred, and health care providers on management of severely acutely malnourished children  
Screened, registered the severely acutely malnourished children for appropriate treatment and care either in OTPs or SCs  
Screened and registered the moderately malnourished children, pregnant and lactating women for supplementary feeding  
Regular monitoring/ supervision report available

UNICEF - RR - Education - US$471,977 (US-CEF-002-C) -  
2008  537  Education Intervention in Earthquake Wound - Afflicted District of Zabist in Balochistan Province  
2,285 children (B: 1,585, G: 995) attend tent schools and complete the academic curriculum with the provision of appropriate water and sanitation facilities

UNICEF - RR - Protection Child Rights - US$74,900 (US-CEF-002-D) -  
2008  538  Protection Interventions in Earthquake Affected Areas of Balochistan  
Approximately 60,000 women and children will be provided with protective services including tracing, reunification, psychosocial support, reproductive health facilities and referrals

UNESCO - RR - Education - US$70,000 (US-ESC-001) -  
2008  539  Rehabilitation of Education System for IDPs in NWFP and FATA  
All children (age group 5-11yrs) affected by the DFV situation, including children in Lower Dir whose schools have been converted into IDP camps, are able to continue their educational activities under the MSEE guidelines  
Government education department will collect data on students, teachers, school going children, youth and damaged and other educational institutions, where IDPs are residing and district offices to strengthen/ establish educational system in affected districts  
Support and mobilize EDOs, DEOs, DDEOs, ADOEs and Head Teachers to enable them to monitor and support camp schools, which will result in enrolment children, high retention rates and reduce drop out rates  
Middle and Secondary Schools will use educational supplies to continue their education, which will enable them to save academic year of students  
Teachers and Head Teachers will be exposed to MSEE standards and intent them on how to run schools in camps and use of educational material in an emergency context

UNESCO - RR - Education - US$271,036 (US-ESC-005) -  
2008  540  Education Intervention in Earthquake Wound - Afflicted District of Zabist in Balochistan Province  
2,085 children (B: 1,585, G: 995) attend tent schools and complete the academic curriculum with the provision of appropriate water and sanitation facilities  
Joint UNICEF UNESCO Project

FAO - UPE - Agriculture - US$3,030,000 (US-FAO-036) -  
2008  541  Emergency assistance to crop production and livestock protection and strengthening to rapidly restore agricultural based livelihoods in flood affected areas of Balochistan and Sindh  
Protection and restored productivity of 112,500 to 139,500 small and large farmers critical to the local livelihoods, ensured in flood affected parts of Balochistan and Sindh Province through distribution of livestock feed, veterinary supplies and sorghum seeds for forage purposes, thus ensuring food security for 12,500 to 14,250 flood affected households  
Food security of 1,750 vulnerable flood affected farmers in Sindh ensured for 10 months after harvest through distribution of rice seeds, dual purpose sorghum seeds and fertilizers

FAO - RR - Agriculture - US$1,203,460 (US-FAO-036) -  
2008  542  Emergency food and agriculture production support for food insecure groups affected by unprecedented surge in food prices  
Staple food needs met until harvest and post harvest food security ensured for at least 12 months for 6,000 food insecure low income households vulnerable to rising food inflation through distribution of wheat and quality seeds and fertilizers
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- **FAO - RR - Agriculture -**
  - **US$90,964 (38-FAO-041)**
  - 2009
  - 543
  - Emergency food and livelihoods assistance for food affected persons in North-West Frontier Province (NWFP) and Punjab Province covering Peshawar and Rajeev districts
  - Food security enhanced and agriculture based livelihoods of the 1830 most vulnerable households will be restored through avoiding of poultry birds and replenishment of fodder and poultry feed stocks and access to quality seeds and fertilizers. The initiative will not only enhance food security but also minimize flood victims’ dependency on external assistance thus supporting in prompt recovery of local livelihoods and avoiding a situation of otherwise lingering destitution. It is anticipated that the initiative will assist in sustaining unprecedented population movements as well as “pull” displaced communities back to their villages of origin

- **FAO - RR - Agriculture -**
  - **US$101,436 (38-FAO-042)**
  - 2009
  - 544
  - Agriculture and Livestock intervention for affected population of Balochistan Earthquake
  - Access to nutritious food and increased incomes through the protection and strengthening of surviving livestock

- **UNHCR - RR - Health -**
  - **US$71,752 (38-FAA-045)**
  - 2009
  - 545
  - Implementation of Minimum Initial Service package (MISP) interventions for provision of Maternal, Neonatal and Child Health care services in earthquake affected area in Baluchistan, Pakistan
  - Effective coordination and collaboration is established through cluster approach among govt. authorities and health cluster partners including UN agencies, NGOs and other partners in NWFP and in Baluchistan to avoid duplication and identification of gaps in RH service delivery. RH data collection, consolidation, analysis and dissemination is effectively implemented and documented. WISP Inter-cluster are effectively implemented to provide RH to maternal newborn health care services including 24/7 basis EmONC services. Conditions of personal and maternal hygiene among women of child bearing age are improved in affected area. Prevalence of complications due to poor personal and maternal hygiene leading to reproductive tract infections is decreased

- **UNHCR - RR - Protection/ Rights -**
  - **US$26,750 (38-PLR-046)**
  - 2009
  - 546
  - Protection Interventions in Earthquake Affected Areas of Balochistan
  - Approximately 40,000 women and children will be provided with protective services including tracing, reunification, psychosocial support, reproductive health facilities and referrals

- **UNHCR - RR - Shelter and NFI -**
  - **US$986,923 (38-RR-013)**
  - 2009
  - 547
  - Shelter Provision for Balochistan Earthquake
  - A well coordinated and monitored provision of shelter materials in flood affected districts. 8000 shelters built by those affected by the earthquake

- **UNHCR - RR - Shelter and NFI -**
  - **US$986,923 (38-RR-013)**
  - 2009
  - 548
  - Shelter Providance in Balochistan Earthquake
  - A well coordinated and monitored provision of shelter materials in earthquake affected districts. 8000 shelters built by those affected by the earthquake

- **UNHCR - UPE - Multi-sector -**
  - **US$1,100,000 (38-MHR-001)**
  - 2009
  - 549
  - Care & Maintenance of Afghan Refugees in Pakistan
  - 1. High standard treatment services for malaria, Contagious Leishmaniasis (CL), Tuberculosis and syndromes based management for sexually transmitted diseases is in place
  - 2. Basic Health Units (BHU) operational and Afghan refugees are provided with adequate, timely, gender sensitive and accessible primary health care services
  - 3. Reproductive health care services are available and accessible to refugees. Child spacing/family planning facilities are available and accessible
  - 4. Activities of local midwives (TBA) are monitored and linked to BHU services
  - 5. Children vaccinated against Polio, Diphtheria, Tetanus, TB in line with national EPI guidelines
  - 6. Hepatitis B vaccine for children below 1 year of age
  - 7. Medical officers and trained male & female medical staff available on a continuous basis in all BHUs

- **UNHCR - RR - Shelter and NFI -**
  - **US$38,750 (38-RR-016)**
  - 2009
  - 550
  - Humanitarian assistance to displaced communities from FATA and Sear Areas in Pakistan
  - The affected population is registered and documented. Sufficient level of NFIs & shelter material are available to the most vulnerable among the affected population
  - 1. All basic groups affected have been identified and assistance is given in a crisis situation
  - 2. Improved health facilities and supplies are available and accessible
  - 3. Activities of local midwives (TBA) are monitored and linked to BHU services
  - 4. C. Refugess are provided with adequate, timely, gender sensitive and accessible primary health care services
  - 5. Children vaccinated against Polio, Diphtheria, Tetanus, TB in line with national EPI guidelines
  - 6. Hepatitis B vaccine for children below 1 year of age
  - 7. Medical officers and trained male & female medical staff available on a continuous basis in all BHUs

- **UNHCR - RR - Shelter and NFI -**
  - **US$38,750 (38-RR-016)**
  - 2009
  - 551
  - Emergency Shelter Kit Procurement and Distribution
  - 1. Well coordinated and monitored provision of shelter materials in flood affected districts
  - 2. 2300 shelter kits procured and distributed

- **UNHCR - UPE - Food -**
  - **US$67,488 (38-RR-026)**
  - 2009
  - 552
  - Food for flood affected populations in Pakistan
  - One month food needs covered for 12,900 households and increased ability of affected farmers to meet their food needs in a crisis situation

- **UNHCR - UPE - Food -**
  - **US$399,994 (38-RR-031)**
  - 2009
  - 553
  - Emergency food and agriculture production support for food insecure groups affected by unprecedented situation of child bearing age
  - Staple food needs met until harvested and post harvest food security ensured for at least 12 months for 6,000 food insecure low income households vulnerable to rising inflation through distribution of wheat and quality seeds and fertilizers

- **UNHCR - UPE - CS - Logistics -**
  - **US$100,010 (38-RRP-009)**
  - 2009
  - 554
  - Logistics Support in the Humanitarian Community in response to the Earthquake in Baluchistan
  - NOT GIVEN IN PROPOSAL/ not applicable

- **UNHCR - UPE - Food -**
  - **US$399,994 (38-RR-031)**
  - 2009
  - 555
  - Emergency food assistance for IDPs in North West Frontier Province (NWFP)
  - Food aid will avert starvation and hunger amongst the IDPs and the most vulnerable population
  - 1. Well coordinated and monitored provision of shelter materials in flood affected districts
  - 2. 2300 shelter kits procured and distributed
  - 3. Improved health facilities and supplies are available and accessible
  - 4. Activities of local midwives (TBA) are monitored and linked to BHU services
  - 5. C. Refugees are provided with adequate, timely, gender sensitive and accessible primary health care services
  - 6. Children vaccinated against Polio, Diphtheria, Tetanus, TB in line with national EPI guidelines
  - 7. Hepatitis B vaccine for children below 1 year of age
  - 8. Medical officers and trained male & female medical staff available on a continuous basis in all BHUs

- **UNHCR - UPE - Food -**
  - **US$1,100,000 (38-RR-013)**
  - 2009
  - 556
  - Emergency health interventions and outbreak response and control in flood affected areas
  - Reduced mortality and morbidity among the 350,000 most vulnerable food affected population with emergency PHC services for 9 months
  - Response to and control of outbreaks of communicable diseases, with a special focus on the reduction of waterborne diseases and related outbreaks

- **UNHCR - UPE - Health -**
  - **US$177,151 (38-RH-016)**
  - 2009
  - 557
  - Emergency Health and nutrition interventions in most flood affected districts of Pakistan
  - 1.150,000 people (vulnerable children, pregnant and lactating and elderly) will have access to special package of life saving health services and emergency medicines in facilitated, schools and communities in 10 districts
  - 2. 90% of all health workers will be equipped with key medicines, and with nutrition screening tools
  - 3. 25,000 children in village health facilities (VHSs) and RHs are vaccinated for measles and whooping cough
  - 4. Nutrition and communicable diseases surveillance system set up strengthened in the targeted districts
  - 5. Performance of Health staff improved to provide life saving health and nutrition activities

- **UNHCR - RR - Health -**
  - **US$1,199,043 (38-RH-011)**
  - 2009
  - 558
  - Emergency health interventions for IDPs from Bajaur and flood affected populations in North West Frontier Province (NWFP) and Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA) of Pakistan
  - At the end of the CERF grant period 50,000 beneficiaries, predominantly IDPs, will have been provided with safe drinking water, will have had access to adequate sanitation facilities and will have been reached with appropriate hygiene messages as required in established IDP camps and other areas of concern
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>UNICEF - RR - Protection Rights - US$94,100 (99-CEF-029-A)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>501</th>
<th>Protecting Internally Displaced girls and boys from the consequences of armed conflict in NWFP, UNICEF – PAN – 08/MS03</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- The risk of violation of the rights to protection of 40,000 children is monitored, reported and addressed; - 8,000 girls and boys have access and use Child Friendly Spaces; - 10,000 children and women receive psychosocial support</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At the end of the CERF grant period 35,000 additional IDPs will be provided with safe drinking water, will have had access to adequate sanitation facilities / social mobilization for promotion of sanitation coverage and will have been reached with appropriate hygiene messages as required in established IDP camps and host communities</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>UNICEF - RR - Health - US$3,990 (99-CEF-028-C)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>503</th>
<th>Emergency Health Interventions for IDPs in NWFP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced morbidity and mortality among the IDPs by providing better access to standardized emergency healthcare services (Cradle Mortality Rate (CRM) of IDPs living in the camps maintained well below the emergency threshold of ≤ 1 per 10,000 persons per day - Emergency health response activities planned in a well co-ordinated manner in order to avoid duplications and aligned with the government priorities - A standardized package of health services including; treatment of common diseases, emergency obstetric services, ante-natal and post-natal care, psychosocial support and EPI services available for IDPs living inside the camps and living with host population jointly with UNFPA, UNICEF and NGOs partners.</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>UNICEF - RR - Education - US$241,990 (99-CEF-028-D)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>504</th>
<th>Support on-going primary education services in 11 IDP camps and in 60 primary schools in host communities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approximately 15,000 children, especially girls, in the IDP camps have access to education, and ensure continuity of educational services for 10,000 children enrolled in second shift classes in government schools in the host communities in the six districts of Dir Lower, Mandan, Peshawar, Nowshera, Swabi and Charsadda</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pakistan Country Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>UNESCO - RR - Education - US$74,603 (99-ESC-061)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>505</th>
<th>Support on-going primary education services in 11 IDP camps and in 60 primary schools in host communities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Approximately 15,000 children, especially girls, in the IDP camps have access to education, and ensure continuity of educational services for 10,000 children enrolled in second shift classes in government schools in the host communities in the six districts of Dir Lower, Mandan, Peshawar, Nowshera, Swabi and Charsadda</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>UNICEF - RR – Health - US$201,665 (99-08/MS01)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>506</th>
<th>Emergency Health Interventions for IDPs in NWFP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced morbidity and mortality among the IDPs by providing better access to standardized emergency healthcare services (Cradle Mortality Rate (CRM) of IDPs living in the camps maintained well below the emergency threshold of ≤ 1 per 10,000 persons per day - Emergency health response activities planned in a well co-ordinated manner in order to avoid duplications and aligned with the government priorities - A standardized package of health services including; treatment of common diseases, emergency obstetric services, ante-natal and post-natal care, psychosocial support and EPI services available for IDPs living inside the camps and living with host population jointly with UNFPA, UNICEF and NGOs partners.</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>UNHCR - RR – Shelter and NF - US$3,990 (99-08/MS02)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>507</th>
<th>Emergency Shelter for IDPs in Host Families in NWFP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Well co-ordinated and monitored shelter provision; repair of damaged shelters and improvement of temporary settlements of IDP families; 2,001 tents distributed and erected; 2,000 temporary shelters / substantas houses repaired</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>UNHCR - RR – Shelter and NF - US$3,990 (99-08/MS02)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>508</th>
<th>WASH Interventions for internally displaced populations in the NWFP and FATA as set out in the Humanitarian Response Plan Prioritization Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At the end of the CERF grant period 35,000 additional IDPs will be provided with safe drinking water, will have had access to adequate sanitation facilities / social mobilization for promotion of sanitation coverage and will have been reached with appropriate hygiene messages as required in established IDP camps and host communities</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>UNICEF - RR – Health - US$201,665 (99-08/MS01)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>509</th>
<th>Camps management, Shelter and Protection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum of 1,300 additional households have received sufficient household items which, together with other assistance from other service provider, ensure minimum living standard both in camp setting and in host communities - Humanitarian activities in the 11 camps are well co-ordinated and gaps in service provision has been identified and covered as the available resources permit - All the new IDP arrivals into this camp have been properly registered and have obtained appropriate registration documentation. The basic information of the general IDP population including the new arrivals is constantly updated and shared with other partners including the government, UN agencies, and NGOs</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>UNICEF - RR – Security - US$548,148 (99-08/MS02)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>570</th>
<th>Ensuring the safety and security of humanitarian organisations delivering assistance to IDPs in NWFP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Establishment of three offices to support IDP and conflict affected operations 2 Enhanced security mechanisms to safe guard humanitarian aid workers 3 Greater information flow of security incidents and consequent effect on humanitarian situation</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>UNICEF - RR – Security - US$548,148 (99-08/MS02)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>571</th>
<th>Ensuring the safety and security of humanitarian organisations delivering assistance to IDPs in NWFP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Establishment of three offices to support IDP and conflict affected operations 2 Enhanced security mechanisms to safe guard humanitarian aid workers 3 Greater information flow of security incidents and consequent effect on humanitarian situation</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>WFP - RR – Food - US$2,766,191 (99-WFP-026)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>572</th>
<th>Food Assistance to Internally Displaced Persons in NWFP and FATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced or stabilised malnutrition in children in camps and host populations - Improved food consumption over the assistance period for displaced persons</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pakistan Country Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum required logistico coordination &amp; information related tools, services and set up available to the humanitarian community - Temporary storage capacity with minimum communication &amp; security (MOSIS) available to the humanitarian community to operate in the targeted areas - Logistics information shared for identification and response to gaps and bottlenecks - Minimum required logistics and pipeline/stock reports provided to NDMA/PDMA/PAIMA - Humanitarian convoys coordinated and facilitated - Logistics Cluster dedicated website to share related information to cover the operation with bulletins, snapshots, meeting minutes, maps and SOPs</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pakistan

**UNICEF**

**US$1,500,000 (10-year period)**

- **2009**: WASH interventions for internally displaced populations in the NWFP and FATA as set out in the Humanitarian Response Plan (HRP) to address the needs of 35,000 IDPs in camps and 60,000 in host communities.


- **2011**: Maternal and Child Health Care for the IDPs (in camp and host communities).

- **2012**: Maternal and Child Health care.

Reduced morbidity and mortality among the IDPs by providing better access to standardized emergency healthcare services (treatments, rehabilitation, etc.) and decentralization of healthcare services in the affected areas. In addition, the funding has also supported the provision of education and psychosocial support for children and families affected by the flood.

**WHO**

**US$1,285,659 (10-year period)**

- **2009**: Nutrition interventions for internally displaced populations in the NWFP and FATA as set out in the Humanitarian Response Plan (HRP) to address the needs of 35,000 IDPs in camps and 60,000 in host communities.


- **2011**: Maternal and Child Health Care for the IDPs (in camp and host communities).

- **2012**: Maternal and Child Health care.

Reduced morbidity and mortality among the IDPs by providing better access to standardized emergency healthcare services (treatments, rehabilitation, etc.) and decentralization of healthcare services in the affected areas. In addition, the funding has also supported the provision of education and psychosocial support for children and families affected by the flood.

**RR**

**Protection and Rights**

**US$20,000 (10-year period)**


- **2011**: Maternal and Child Health Care for the IDPs (in camp and host communities).

- **2012**: Maternal and Child Health care.

Reduced morbidity and mortality among the IDPs by providing better access to standardized emergency healthcare services (treatments, rehabilitation, etc.) and decentralization of healthcare services in the affected areas. In addition, the funding has also supported the provision of education and psychosocial support for children and families affected by the flood.

**RR**

**Emergency Nutrition Services in Flood camps**


- **2011**: Maternal and Child Health Care for the IDPs (in camp and host communities).

- **2012**: Maternal and Child Health care.

Reduced morbidity and mortality among the IDPs by providing better access to standardized emergency healthcare services (treatments, rehabilitation, etc.) and decentralization of healthcare services in the affected areas. In addition, the funding has also supported the provision of education and psychosocial support for children and families affected by the flood.

**RR**

**Food Security**

**US$100,000 (10-year period)**


- **2011**: Maternal and Child Health Care for the IDPs (in camp and host communities).

- **2012**: Maternal and Child Health care.

Reduced morbidity and mortality among the IDPs by providing better access to standardized emergency healthcare services (treatments, rehabilitation, etc.) and decentralization of healthcare services in the affected areas. In addition, the funding has also supported the provision of education and psychosocial support for children and families affected by the flood.

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**

**N**
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Emergency assistance for immediate food security through provision of critical livestock and agricultural inputs in the flood affected areas of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

Emergency activities funded by CERF will allow for the protection and restoration of productivity of vital livestock assets of a minimum of 4,700 households (HHs) in two severely affected districts of KP Province, for an estimated 37,000 individuals. Livestock assets will include large and small ruminants critical to the local livelihoods. The agricultural activities to be funded by CERF will have a direct and immediate impact on restoring and protecting food availability and the livelihoods of those affected by the floods. The proposed assistance might be shared among additional districts in the provinces of Baluchistan and Punjab, in line with more detailed need assessments at the time of reception of funds

Pakistan

Emergency assistance for immediate protection of livelihoods and food security through provision of critical livestock and agricultural inputs in the flood

Emergency activities funded by CERF will allow for the protection and restoration of productivity of vital livestock assets of a minimum of 10,700 households (HHs) in the severely affected districts of Punjab, Sindh and Baluchistan provinces. For an estimated 80,000 individuals. Livestock assets to be funded will include large and small ruminants, which are essential to the local livelihoods. The Project will also assist farmers to plant the critical staple wheat crop, as well as winter vegetables during the 2010 planting season, which are crucial for household food security and livelihoods. This agricultural input assistance will allow at least 10,000 households to ensure crop and winter vegetable production for the food security of around 60,000 individuals, including women and children. The agricultural activities to be funded by CERF will have a direct and immediate impact on restoring and protecting food availability and the livelihoods of those affected by the floods. The proposed assistance will be shared among the severely affected districts in the provinces of Baluchistan, Punjab and Sindh, in line with the ongoing more detailed need assessments.

Pakistan

Response for GBV survivors amongst IDPs in camps and within host communities. Prevention of GBV and comprehensive health and protection focused

Response for GBV survivors amongst IDPs in camps and within host communities. Prevention of GBV and comprehensive health and protection focused. Protection and gender issues are integrated by all emergency responders. Response to women’s practical needs (food, NFI, other needs) is ensured in a gender sensitive manner.

Pakistan

Emergency Reproductive Health care services with special focus on maternal and newborn care services

Emergency Reproductive Health care services with special focus on maternal and newborn care services. Response for GBV survivors amongst IDPs in camps and within host communities. Prevention of GBV and comprehensive health and protection focused.

Pakistan

Implementation of the inter-cluster Survival Strategy

Implementation of the inter-cluster Survival Strategy. Improved reproductive health status of the women of child bearing age and newborns in the flood affected areas.

Pakistan

Well-coordinated shelter provision and improvement of temporary settlement of IDPs families

Well-coordinated shelter provision and improvement of temporary settlement of IDPs families. 1,400 tents or temporary shelters distributed and constructed. 1,400 tool kits for construction distributed. 1,400 boundary walls to ensure privacy to households. Conducting rubble removal or repair of essential facilities (e.g. roads) with cash for work scheme.

Pakistan

Well-coordinated and monitored shelter provision and improvement of temporary settlement of IDPs families

Well-coordinated and monitored shelter provision and improvement of temporary settlement of IDPs families. 1,400 tents or temporary shelters distributed and constructed. 1,400 tool kits for construction distributed. 1,400 boundary walls to ensure privacy to households. Conducting rubble removal or repair of essential facilities (e.g. roads) with cash for work scheme.

Pakistan

Well-coordinated and monitored shelter provision with other humanitarian actors

Well-coordinated and monitored shelter provision with other humanitarian actors. 1,000 temporary shelters distributed and constructed and 1,050 tool kits for debris/trash removal distributed.

Pakistan

Well-coordinated shelter provision with other humanitarian actors

Well-coordinated shelter provision with other humanitarian actors. 1,000 temporary shelters distributed and constructed and 1,050 tool kits for debris/trash removal distributed.

Pakistan

Well-coordinated shelter provision with other humanitarian actors

Well-coordinated shelter provision with other humanitarian actors. 800 emergency latrines distributed and constructed.

Pakistan

Well-coordinated shelter provision with other humanitarian actors

Well-coordinated shelter provision with other humanitarian actors.

Pakistan

Support to shelter cluster coordination and well-coordinated shelter provision with other humanitarian actors

Support to shelter cluster coordination and well-coordinated shelter provision with other humanitarian actors.
Pakistan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| UN Habitat RR - Emergency Shelter and NFI Support | US$500,000 (10-HAB-029) | 2010 | 600 | Support to shelter cluster coordination and well-coordinated shelter provision with other humanitarian actors. Redeveloped through distribution of 800 tents and community participation in Karachi-Shahdargar District. Improved shelters for 780 vulnerable flood-affected households with shelter material and technical assistance, to be constructed in their communities of origin in Karachi-Shahdargar District. Provision of 60 shelters for extremely vulnerable households affected by floods by UN HABITAT. 75 selected unskilled/semi-skilled laborers from communities of intervention trained in construction and carpentry. 75% increase in construction provided with tool kit with double-folded results to increase construction capacity and improve IOM-HABITAT turnover.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| UNHCR RR - Shelter and NFI Support | US$460,000 (10-HCR-031) | 2010 | 602 | Emergency Assistance to Flood Affected Populations. 7,500 most vulnerable families (at least 49,750) are provided with blankets, mosquito nets, jerry cans and kitchen sets. Two warehouses are repaired and additional five warehouses erected. Distribution of materials is monitored ensuring that the most vulnerable groups, including women and children, have access to the aid provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| UNHCR RR - Camp Management Support | US$500,000 (10-KMS-042) | 2010 | 604 | Emergency Assistance to Flood Affected Populations. Widespread temporary sites receive vital support in camp coordination camp management from governmental and NGO partners. Skills for the secondary-level are designed and established in accordance with standard established in close cooperation with provincial and local authorities. People in camps will benefit from tents, family kits of non-food items containing kitchen sets, blankets, quilts, sleeping mats, jerry cans, etc. PDMA and NGO capacity are strengthened.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| OCHI RR - Shelter and NFI Support | US$2,000,000 (10-KMS-021) | 2010 | 605 | Emergency Shelter and NFI Support for Flood Affected Population. - Procurement and distribution of 8,000 tents and 2,000 NFI kits amongst flood-affected families of Charsadda, Nowshera, Shangla, DI Khan and Tank. - Prioritization of vulnerable groups including female headed households, widows and disabled as beneficiaries of NFI support. - Creation of four monitoring teams for needs assessment, quality assurance and monitoring NFI distribution - Information dissemination regarding needs, unrest, gaps and prioritized areas of interventions - Support to the Government of Pakistan, Humanitarian Cluster Interactions and Emergency Shelter Cluster in particular.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| OCHI RR - Health | US$2,149 (10-KMS-023) | 2010 | 606 | Rapid Establishment of Emergency Primary Health Care to Flood-Affected Population in Host Communities and Strenthening of Health Care Facilities. 1 Health outreach is to 30,000 patients through the 3 emergency health clinics. 2 Live-saving medical referrals and transportation of patients with family care (if family care is without) through ambulance services to secondary or tertiary care facilities as needed. 3 Ensure the flood-affected population of District Rajanpur and District Muzzafargarh have access to expanded vaccination services; and support to distribution of health and hygiene promotion kits through mobile outreach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| OCHI RR - Shelter and NFI Support | US$800,000 (10-KMS-023) | 2010 | 607 | Emergency Shelter and NFI Support for Flood Affected Population of Punjab and Sindh. - Procurement and distribution of 1,000 tents and 1,000 NFI kits amongst flood-affected families of Rajanpur, Muzzafargarh, Layyah, Jacobabad and Sukkur. - Prioritization of vulnerable groups including female headed households, widows and disabled as beneficiaries of NFI support. - Creation of two monitoring teams for needs assessments, quality assurance and monitoring NFI distribution - Information dissemination regarding needs, unrest, gaps and prioritized areas of interventions - Support to the Government of Pakistan, Humanitarian Cluster Interactions and Emergency Shelter Cluster in particular.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| OCHI RR - Shelter and NFI Support | US$3,000,000 (10-KMS-023) | 2010 | 608 | Residual Emergency Shelter and Non Food Items Support to the Non-Rural Flood Affected Population in Pakistan. - Procurement and distribution of 3,000 Shelter Kits and 3,000 NFI kits amongst flood-affected families of Thatta and Dadu. - Prioritization of vulnerable groups including female headed households, widows and disabled as beneficiaries of NFI support. - Creation of four monitoring teams for needs assessments, quality assurance and monitoring NFI distribution - Information dissemination regarding needs, unrest, gaps and prioritized areas of interventions - Support to the Government of Pakistan, Humanitarian Cluster Interactions and Emergency Shelter Cluster in particular.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| UNDP RR - Recovery and Infrastructure Support | US$300,000 (10-UPD-016) | 2010 | 609 | Rural rehabilitation, environmental hazards removal and emergency rehabilitation of community infrastructure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| UNDP RR - CS - Telecommunications | US$300,000 (10-UPD-017) | 2010 | 610 | Safety & Security of Humanitarian and IDPs. The establishment of OSS Field offices (6) and Radio Roving (9) in the areas of operations will enable the safe and secure delivery of programmes to the flood-affected population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| UNDP RR - Security | US$2,000,000 (10-UPD-023) | 2010 | 611 | Safety & Security of Humanitarian and IDPs. The establishment of OSS Field offices (6) and Radio Roving (9) in the areas of operations will enable the safe and secure delivery of programmes to the flood-affected population.

In summary, the primary focus has been on providing shelter and non-food items support to flood-affected populations, with particular attention to the most vulnerable groups. This includes procurement and distribution of shelters, NFI kits, and hygiene kits in areas such as Muzaffargarh, Shikarpur, Jacobabad, and Sukkur. These efforts have been coordinated with government and local authorities to ensure effective distribution and monitoring of aid, with a focus on improving health outreach, sanitation, and emergency assistance to affected populations. The use of mobile outreach services has been crucial in reaching remote and hard-to-reach areas. The establishment of DSS Field offices and Radio Rooms has been key in enabling safe and effective coordination and distribution of aid. Monitoring and evaluation have been integral to ensuring the effectiveness and impact of these interventions.
Pakistan

**Pakistan**

Food Assistance to Internally Displaced and Conflict-Affected Persons in Pakistan’s North West Frontier Province (NWFP) and Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA)  

- **Year**: 2010  
- **Country**: Pakistan  
- **Department**: WFP  
- **ID**: R-RR - Food - US$4,400,147  
- **Project Code**: 10-WFP-027

**Expected results** from the continued distribution of critical relief food rations by the end of the CERF grant period include:  
- Reduced or stabilized malnutrition in targeted infants and young children; and  
- Improved food consumption over the assistance period for targeted displaced persons

**Pakistan**

Emergency Food Assistance to Families Affected by Monsoon Floods in Pakistan  

- **Year**: 2010  
- **Country**: Pakistan  
- **Department**: WFP  
- **ID**: R-RR - Food - US$3,900,000  
- **Project Code**: 10-WFP-028

The key expected outcome generated by this response will be stabilized and/or improved food consumption over the assistance period for targeted persons. Furthermore, the supply of HEB and RUSF for infants and young children will help to forestall nutritional declines amongst these notably vulnerable groups

**Pakistan**

Emergency Food Assistance to Families Affected by Monsoon Floods in Pakistan  

- **Year**: 2010  
- **Country**: Pakistan  
- **Department**: WFP  
- **ID**: R-RR - Food - US$2,500,000  
- **Project Code**: 10-WFP-029

The key expected outcome generated by this response will be stabilized and/or improved food consumption over the assistance period for targeted persons. Furthermore, the supply of HEB and RUSF for infants and young children will help to forestall nutritional declines amongst these notably vulnerable groups. Objectively verifiable indicators used to measure these results will include:  
- Household food consumption score among targeted population  
- Number of women, men, girls and boys receiving food assistance as a percentage of planned (defined by commodity (including supplementary items) and  
- Tonnage of food distributed as a percentage of planned distribution, by commodity (including supplementary items)

**Pakistan**

Implementation of the inter-cluster Survival Strategy  

- **Year**: 2010  
- **Country**: Pakistan  
- **Department**: WFP  
- **ID**: R-RR - Food - US$4,300,001  
- **Project Code**: 10-WFP-030

The cluster will provide in-kind food assistance consisting of a monthly food basket (fortified wheat flour, edible oil, pulses, sugar, salt and tea) or cash transfers to purchase food. To prevent increased malnutrition, blended ready-to-use supplementary food will be provided for children between the ages of 0-24 months, and high-energy biscuits to those aged 2-12 years. The cluster has agreed to pursue the 2010 Supply Chain Strategy for meeting real food needs. A two-level process will be adopted for an effective targeting. Geographically, the most severely affected villages have been identified by WFP’s VAM assessment teams. At the household level, cooperating partners identify vulnerable families based on key indicators such as houses destroyed and crop land lost. The target group also includes displaced populations housed in temporary shelters, such as schools, hospital, and camps

**Pakistan**

Emergency Primary Health Care for IDPs and host communities focusing on filling the gaps in key life-saving needs in the health response  

- **Year**: 2010  
- **Country**: Pakistan  
- **Department**: WHO  
- **ID**: R-RR - Health - US$2,001,307  
- **Project Code**: 10-WHO-032

a) Provision of standard package of primary health services to the IDP population including MNCH/RH/FP, psychosocial support, rehabilitative services for persons with disabilities, provision of essential drugs and vaccines as well as strengthening the Disease Early Warning System to the affected population  
- b) Availability of an essential package of emergency health services including treatment of common illnesses, emergency obstetric services, ante-natal care and post-natal care and expanded program on immunization (EPI), to the people living in the areas of return and IDPs’ hosting districts  
- c) Timely Emergency Warning and Response system is operational for all outbreak alerts and aminution of potential outbreaks among the target population

**Pakistan**

WASH interventions for internally displaced populations in the NWFP and FATA as set out in the Humanitarian Response Plan Prioritization Statement  

- **Year**: 2010  
- **Country**: Pakistan  
- **Department**: WFP  
- **ID**: R-RR - Water and sanitation - US$30,303  
- **Project Code**: 10-WHO-035

1) Cross-affected areas water service providers capacity to conduct regular water quality surveillance and design cost-effective remedial measures improved  
2) Waterborne diseases surveillance and identification of cross affected communities facing greatest health risks from water borne diseases identified and appropriate response mechanisms put in place  
3) Early alert and response to possible water-related outbreaks in camps, weekly microbial water quality trends and residual chlorine in water supplies report  
4) Environmental health monitoring and appropriate intervention linked to outbreaks of diseases  
5) Healthcare waste management in Cross affected areas healthcare facilities for infectious diseases control  
6) Raise overall hygiene education and awareness of medical staff and sanitary workers in target healthcare facilities

**Pakistan**

Emergency health and nutrition intervention in crisis-affected and IDP hosting areas of NWFP  

- **Year**: 2010  
- **Country**: Pakistan  
- **Department**: WFP  
- **ID**: R-RR - Health - Nutrition - US$33,303  
- **Project Code**: 10-WHO-036

Functional surveillance system established in Mangi and Kohat

**Pakistan**

Coordination of health response to the food affected population: Lifesaving and emergency health Services provided to affected population through Standard package of primary health services provided to the affected population including MNCH/RH/FP  

- **Year**: 2010  
- **Country**: Pakistan  
- **Department**: WFP  
- **ID**: R-RR - Health - US$2,057,610  
- **Project Code**: 10-WHO-037

Well coordinated health response to the food affected population: Lifesaving and emergency health Services provided to affected population through Standard package of primary health services provided to the affected population including MNCH/RH/FP. Essential medicines and medical supplies distributed to health departments and partners District health departments and health partners strengthened in planning and implementing timely interventions to address life-threatening emergencies including outbreaks. Access to Lifesaving hospital services facilitated and ensured. Overall environment and hygiene conditions at the healthcare facilities improved. Timely Emergency Warning and Response System operational for all outbreak alerts and aminution of potential outbreaks among the target population. Any possible outbreak of malaria is contained

**Pakistan**

Coordination of health response to the food affected population: Lifesaving and emergency health Services provided to affected population through Standard package of primary health services provided to the affected population including MNCH/RH/FP  

- **Year**: 2010  
- **Country**: Pakistan  
- **Department**: WFP  
- **ID**: R-RR - Health - US$1,238,300  
- **Project Code**: 10-WHO-038

Well coordinated health response to the food affected population: Lifesaving and emergency health Services provided to affected population through Standard package of primary health services provided to the affected population including MNCH/RH/FP. Essential medicines and medical supplies distributed to health departments and partners District health departments and health partners strengthened in planning and implementing timely interventions to address life-threatening emergencies including outbreaks. Access to Lifesaving hospital services facilitated and ensured. Overall environment and hygiene conditions at the healthcare facilities improved. Timely Emergency Warning and Response System operational for all outbreak alerts and aminution of potential outbreaks among the target population

**Pakistan**

Water and sanitation - US$800,360  

- **Year**: 2010  
- **Country**: Pakistan  
- **Department**: WFP  
- **ID**: R-RR - Water and sanitation - US$800,360  
- **Project Code**: 10-WHO-039

1) Cross-affected areas water service providers capacity to conduct regular water quality surveillance and design cost-effective remedial measures improved  
2) Waterborne diseases surveillance and identification of cross affected communities facing greatest health risks from water borne diseases identified and appropriate response mechanisms put in place  
3) Early alert and response to possible water-related outbreaks in camps, weekly microbial water quality trends and residual chlorine in water supplies report  
4) Environmental health monitoring and appropriate intervention linked to outbreaks of diseases
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Implementation of the inter-cluster Survival Strategy</th>
<th>Improved reproductive health status of the women of child bearing age and newborns in the flood affected areas of Pakistan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicators

- Number of MSUs deployed for community outreach services
- % of selected project health facilities with at least one skilled birth attendant
- Number of RH kits (clean delivery kits, newborn kits and hygiene kits) distributed

Interventions of WASH response

- Outbreak of diseases
- Healthcare waste management in crisis-affected areas
- Healthcare facilities for infectious diseases control
- Raise overall hygiene education and awareness of medical staff and sanitary workers in target healthcare facilities
### ANNEX VI: ANALYSIS OF PROJECTS WITH SCORES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Documents available</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Reasons for score</th>
<th>Vuln.</th>
<th>Reasons for score</th>
<th>X-Cutting</th>
<th>Reasons for score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pakistan</strong></td>
<td>PK: 07-FAO-025-RR. FAO: Agriculture - $417,300</td>
<td>Original proposal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None of the three key components of the project (needs assessment, activities, and outcomes) has taken gender into consideration.</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>The project has targeted farmers who have lost their entire crop, but the target group selection process does not consider economic or social vulnerability. The only vulnerability factor is damages to the crops due to floods. Some of the affected families could be landowners, who would not be as vulnerable as the tenants working on their land. The selected target group might help some of the economically vulnerable families but not all. However the project would indirectly create opportunities for the tenants. None of the three key project components takes into account the needs of the selected group.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Positive “coping strategies and resilience” have been addressed in a limited way in the needs assessment, activities and expected outcomes. Capacity building of the community has been included, but only in the activities section. The need for capacity building and its added value for the beneficiary population is not included in the proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pakistan</strong></td>
<td>PK: 07-IOM-017-RR. IOM: Coordination and support services - $100,000</td>
<td>Form, train and equip four ‘Rapid Disaster Logistics Response Teams’ to be drawn from relevant departmental experts and staff with experience gained from the IOM Emergency Rapid Response Teams that were actively responding to emergencies in the earthquake affected areas volunteers, to be based at the same locations, to</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None of the three components (needs assessment, activities and outcomes) have taken gender into account. The activities include involvement of the communities, but there is no mention of consulting women in communities.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No specific category of vulnerable families has been included in the project.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The project has included “participation” in the needs assessment part and in activities, but the impact of community participation during service delivery and generally, on the lives of the beneficiaries, is not mentioned.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Rapid needs assessment to determine those most vulnerable households with total losses of rabi seed and damaged on-farm water management and water harvesting systems;
- Assessment and selection of existing local implementing partners;
- Mobilization of rural communities and local specialist service providers (Department of Agriculture, NGOs, CBOs and farmers associations);
- Procurement, transportation and delivery of seeds and fertilisers to implementing partners.
- Delivery of seeds and fertilisers to community organizations by implementing partners for distribution to beneficiaries;
- Training of farmers in land preparation, soil and water conservation, and sowing of improved and fertiliser management;
- Monitoring of project activities and beneficiary rural communities.

- Ensure their availability and capacity to respond effectively to logistical issues in the field;
- Information hubs serving diverse public information needs (ongoing and planned.

- Rapid needs assessment to determine those most vulnerable households with total losses of rabi seed and damaged on-farm water management and water harvesting systems;
- Assessment and selection of existing local implementing partners;
- Mobilization of rural communities and local specialist service providers (Department of Agriculture, NGOs, CBOs and farmers associations);
- Procurement, transportation and delivery of seeds and fertilisers to implementing partners.
- Delivery of seeds and fertilisers to community organizations by implementing partners for distribution to beneficiaries;
- Training of farmers in land preparation, soil and water conservation, and sowing of improved and fertiliser management;
- Monitoring of project activities and beneficiary rural communities.

- Ensure their availability and capacity to respond effectively to logistical issues in the field;
- Information hubs serving diverse public information needs (ongoing and planned.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>PK: 10-HAB-003-RR. UN Habitat: Water and sanitation - $299,999</th>
<th>Final proposal 1</th>
<th>Only the needs assessment part has to some extent emphasized the women's need for sanitation facilities and access to water. None of the activities or expected outcomes responds to the gender specific needs identified in the needs assessment. 1</th>
<th>The project has included vulnerable families and individuals in general in the needs assessment without mentioning specific categories. However, one of the activities is to establish criteria. The outcomes do not include information on the impact of the project on vulnerable families. The inclusion of vulnerable families has been stressed under &quot;general considerations&quot;. 2a</th>
<th>Community participation has been included in the needs assessment section. Community participation in assessments has been included as an activity. However the expected outcomes do not contain any information on the added value of community participation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>PK: 08-HAB-004-RR. UN Habitat: Shelter and non-food items - $886,923</td>
<td>Original and the revised proposal 0</td>
<td>The needs assessment, activities and expected outcomes do not include information on the response to gender needs. 1</td>
<td>The needs assessment emphasizes the shelter needs of vulnerable groups (elderly and disabled). No other section of the document indicates the mainstreaming of vulnerability. 1</td>
<td>Neither the needs assessment nor the expected outcomes take into consideration any cross cutting themes. However the activities include two points: community participation (bullet point 4) and capacity building of the local community (bullet point 5).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>PK: 08-ESC-005-RR. UNESCO: Education - $27,026</td>
<td>Original revised and final proposal, summary, and narrative report. (5 documents) 1</td>
<td>Some awareness of gender in education. 1</td>
<td>The target group is vulnerable, but there is no specific targeting of the most vulnerable. 0</td>
<td>No specific attention to cross cutting issues - e.g. no reference as to whether temporary toilet facilities in schools will be accessible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pakistan

PK: 10-FPA-019-RR.
UNFPA: Protection/Human Rights/Rule of Law - $149,265

• Response for GBV survivors amongst IDPs in camps and within host communities. Prevention of GBV and comprehensive health and protection focused.
• Protection and gender issues are integrated by all emergency responders.
• Response to women’s practical needs (food, NFI, other needs) is ensured in a gender sensitive manner.
• To integrate GBV response within standardized package of health services including; treatment of common illnesses, emergency obstetric services, ante-natal and post-natal care, psychosocial support and EPI services available for IDPs living inside the camps and living with host population jointly with UNFPA, UNICEF and NGOs partners.
• GBV incidents monitored regularly and reports shared with relevant authorities and partners.
• Improvement in IDPs knowledge, attitude and practices regarding protection issues.

Final proposal 2b

The needs assessment considers the particular situation of women and targeted activities have been suggested to promote gender equality. Most of the outcomes of the project focus on particular issues of women related to GBV.

The vulnerable situation of women, especially in the wake of displacement, has been recorded in the needs assessment section. Targeted activities have been designed to reduce the effects of GBV on women and relevant outcomes have been included.

Pakistan

PK: 08-FPA-045-RR.
UNFPA: Health - $71,792

• Effective coordination and collaboration is established through cluster approach among govt. authorities and health cluster partners including UN agencies, NGOs and other partners on RH/maternal and newborn health care services to avoid duplications and identification of gaps in RH service delivery.
• RH data collection, consolidation, analysis and dissemination is effectively implemented and documented.
• MISP interventions are effectively implemented to provide RH /maternal newborn health care services including 24/7 basic EmONC services.
• Conditions of personal and menstrual hygiene among women of child bearing age are improved in affected area.
• Prevalence of complications due to poor personal and

Original proposal 2b

The health needs of women of reproductive age are presented in the needs assessment and the response is suggested in the activities (including availability of female staff). The impact also indicates the improvement of the health condition of women.

The project considers the needs of malnourished women and women of reproductive age, whether vulnerable or not. The malnourished group of women might fall into the category of marginalized families. Hence the project contributes to some extent to help vulnerable women.

Protection risks (threats to life) of women of reproductive age are highlighted in the project summary. The activities designed aim to reduce risks and the outcomes are in line with the main concerns highlighted in the needs assessment.
menstrual hygiene leading to reproductive tract infections is decreased.

| Pakistan | PK: 07-HCR-016-RR. UNHCR: Shelter and non-food items - $622,468 | NFI stock distribution and replenishment through NGO/GOP coordination funded by UNHCR sub-offices Quetta and Peshawar. | Original proposal | 1 | Only the expected outcomes of the project indicate that the project will benefit women, children and the vulnerable. They are not supported by the needs assessment or the activities. | 1 | The initial description of the project and the expected outcomes section show that the project aims to reach the vulnerable families. No supporting activities are suggested; hence the project tends to address the needs of vulnerable families in a limited way. | 1 | Protection (provision of emergency shelter to shield from environmental effects, provide privacy and physical protection). However the approach has not been well woven into the needs assessment, the activities and the expected outcomes. |

| Pakistan | PK: 10-CEF-025-A-RR. UNICEF: Health - Nutrition - $645,745 | • 1,200 severely malnourished children treated at community and facility based therapeutic care centres (OTPs and SCs) • 4,100 moderately malnourished children admitted and received care at supplementary feeding programme • 2,000 malnourished pregnant and lactating women receiving supplementary food at SFPs • 27,500 children under five and 14,700 PLW receiving micro nutrient supplements • More than 15,000 mothers and caregivers reached with key messages on infant feeding • More than 75 health care providers trained on emergency nutrition services | Final proposal | 2b | The needs of pregnant and lactating women are well analysed in the needs assessment part, and the activities and expected outcomes support the response to the issues highlighted in the needs assessment. | 2b | The needs of vulnerable categories of malnourished children, pregnant and lactating women have been considered well in the three key components of the project. The needs of other groups e.g. elderly, disabled, chronically ill etc. have not been included in the project. | 2a | The protection of the displaced population (sustaining lives and reducing the risks of threats to physical and mental wellbeing) has been integrated into all three components of the project. Capacity building of health staff has been included in activities, in order to lead to a better understanding and response to the situation. |

<p>| Pakistan | PK: 10-CEF-048-B-RR. UNICEF: Water and sanitation - $1,285,659 | At the end of the CERF grant period 86,867 flood-affected people will have been provided with safe drinking water, will have had access to adequate sanitation facilities / social mobilization for promotion of sanitation coverage and will have been reached with appropriate hygiene messages as required in the flood affected areas. Overall these interventions will have contributed to the reduction in risk of water and faecal borne diseases amongst the affected population. | Initial and final proposal, summary and comments (7 documents) | 1 | The documents make reference to the need to ensure access for women and girls. | 1 | Reference to specific vulnerability of under-fives. | 0 | No specific attention to cross cutting issues. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Program Description</th>
<th>Initial, Revised and Final Proposals and Summaries</th>
<th>Specific Attention to Gender</th>
<th>Target Group</th>
<th>Cross-Cutting Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>• A basic food ration of 2100 Kcal/person consistent with Sphere Project standards and a complete complement of micronutrients, distributed to 250,000 affected people for one month;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No specific attention to gender in the Nutrition component (this grant is for the nutrition component of a multi-sector proposal)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>• To prevent increased malnutrition, blanket ready-to-use supplementary food will be provided for children between the ages of 6-24 months, and high-energy biscuits to those aged 2-12 years;</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>• 8 inpatient and 20 outpatient Treatment centres functioning in disease outbreak districts in Sindh provinces and in food insecure districts as informed – reaching 3,600 severely malnourished children under 5 years;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>• 20 active supplementary feeding programmes functioning (linked to OTP centres) reaching 8,000 moderately malnourished children under 5 years;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>• Specific infant feeding in emergencies interventions, including support to breastfeeding, for common districts designed and implemented.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>• Provide safe drinking water through water trucking, restoration of existing water supply systems/schemes, cleaning of wells, karezes, ponds, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>- Provide water purifying tablets, jerry cans, water tanks/collapsible bladders.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>- Ensure access to adequate sanitation through provision of latrine slabs, tarpaulin sheets, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>- Disseminate hygiene education messages on the risks associated with drinking contaminated water and unsafe hygiene practices.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>- Provide hygiene kits including soaps, hygiene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Includes some elements of capacity building with the training of staff in the community-managed acute malnutrition approach.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>PK: 10-FEM-002-RR, UNIFEM: Protection/Human Rights/Rule of Law - $149,265</th>
<th>Final proposal 2b</th>
<th>The needs assessment considers the particular situation of women and targeted activities have been suggested to promote gender equality. Most of the outcomes of the project focus on particular issues of women related to GBV.</th>
<th>2b</th>
<th>The vulnerability of women, especially in the wake of displacement, has been recorded in the needs assessment section. Targeted activities have been designed to reduce the effects of GBV on women and relevant outcomes have been included.</th>
<th>2b</th>
<th>The protection and psychosocial needs of GBV cases during displacement have been woven into the needs assessments, activities, and expected outcomes. Promoting positive &quot;coping strategies and resilience&quot; has been incorporated into the three essential parts of the project.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>• Response for GBV survivors amongst IDPs in camps and within host communities. Prevention of GBV and comprehensive health and protection focused. • Protection and gender issues are integrated by all emergency responders. • Response to women’s practical needs (food, NFIs, other needs) is ensured in a gender sensitive manner • To integrate GBV response within standardized package of health services including; treatment of common illnesses, emergency obstetric services, ante-natal and post-natal care, psychosocial support and EPI services available for IDPs living inside the camps and living with host population jointly with UNFPA, UNICEF and NGOs partners. • GBV incidents monitored regularly and reports shared with relevant authorities and partners. • Improvement in IDPs knowledge, attitude and practices regarding protection issues.</td>
<td>The key expected outcome generated by this response will be stabilized and/or improved food consumption over the assistance period for targeted persons. Furthermore, the supply of HEB and RUSF for infants and young children will help to forestall nutritional declines amongst these notably vulnerable groups. Objectively verifiable indicators used to measure these results will include: - Household food consumption score among targeted population; - Number of women, men, girls and boys receiving food assistance as a percentage of planned, by commodity (including supplementary items); and - Tonnage of food distributed as a percentage of planned distribution, by commodity (including supplementary items).</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Gender has been given due respect in the needs assessment part of the project. Gender balance in staff and separate access points are mentioned. However no specific activities have been highlighted to respond to the needs assessment. The outcome indicators include the number of persons of each gender.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The needs of women heads of household have been acknowledged in the needs assessment. The activities mention efforts already made to identify the vulnerable families who will receive assistance. The outcomes section includes the improved nutritional status of the vulnerable (malnourished) children.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>PK: 10-WFP-002-RR, WFP: Food - $2,500,003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pakistan</strong></td>
<td>- Reduced or stabilized malnutrition in children in camps and host populations. - Improved food consumption over the assistance period for displaced persons.</td>
<td>- Functional surveillance system established in Hangu and Kohat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Original proposal</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neither the needs assessment, nor the activities nor the expected outcomes include the gender dimension. However the purpose of the project has described the importance of the project to improve and sustain the nutritional status of especially pregnant and lactating women.</strong></td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The needs assessment puts an emphasis on the nutritional requirements of children, especially nutritional supplements and high energy biscuits. Similarly in the activities the same items have been mentioned to be procured and distributed and the expected outcomes also include sustaining or improving the nutritional status of children.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>The project indirectly contributes to protection by reducing threats to the wellbeing of displaced population and prevention of impaired growth through the provision of food items. The needs assessment refers to the recommendations from HCT and emphasizes the nutritional needs of children, but there is no mention of the needs of adults (how it relates to their protection). Supporting activities have been included. One of the outcomes is related to malnourished children while the other one is not inline with the basic objective of the project.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specific conditions of the pregnant and lactating women have been included, but only in the needs assessment section.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Capacity building of the local health departments has been indicated as the only activity that the project would support, but this activity is not supported by the needs assessment; the linkage between the needs assessment and the activity is not explained. The outcomes section indicates that the capacity of the local health system will be reinforced to enable them to assess the cases of malnutrition.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pakistan
PK: 10-WHO-056-RR. WHO: Health - $1,238,000
- Well coordinated health response to the flood affected population.
- Lifesaving and emergency health services provided to affected population through Standard package of primary health services including MNCH/RH/FP.
- Essential medicines and medical supplies distributed to health departments and partners.
- District health departments and health partners strengthened to plan and implement timely interventions to address life-threatening emergencies including outbreaks.
- Access to lifesaving hospital services facilitated and ensured.
- Overall environment and hygiene conditions at the healthcare facilities improved.
- Timely Emergency Warning and Response System operational for all outbreak alerts and aversion of potential outbreaks among the target population.

Original proposal 2a
Relevant information about specific health conditions of pregnant women has been included in the needs assessment. The activities (except for the one related to provision of RH and delivery kits) are more general and not gender specific. There is only one gender specific outcome related to MNCH. Important aspects such as having gender relevant staff, separate access points for women etc. have been neglected.

Malnutrition in children is highlighted in the needs assessment but not reflected in activities or the outcomes.

Malnutrition 1
The needs and conditions of persons suffering from psychosocial trauma have been described in the needs assessment but no related activities or outcomes have been included. Life saving activities have been suggested and immunization (to reduce the risks of physical impairment and hence prevent disabilities which cause protection issues and dependency). Hence the project takes into account protection as a cross cutting issue in a limited way.

Pakistan
PK: 10-WHO-069-RR. WHO: Health - $1,300,000
- Improved reproductive health status of the women of child bearing age and newborns in the flood affected areas of Pakistan.
- Indicators
  - Number of MSUs deployed for community outreach services.
  - % of selected project health facilities with at least one skilled birth attendant.
  - Number of RH kits (clean delivery kits, newborn kits and hygiene kits) distributed.

Original and revised proposal, and summaries. 1
Reference to need for women to have access to birth attendants

Flood affected groups are by definition highly vulnerable.

Flood affected 2a
Reference to HIV/AIDS

Pakistan
PK: 08-FAO-006-UFE. FAO: Agriculture - $1,500,000
Protection and restored productivity of 112,500 to 139,500 small and large ruminants critical to the local livelihoods, ensured in flood affected parts of Balochistan and Sindh Province through distribution of livestock feed, veterinary supplies and sorghum seeds for fodder purposes, thus ensuring food security for 12,500 to 14,250 flood affected households.

Food security of 1,750 vulnerable flood affected

Original proposal 0
Neither the needs assessment, activities nor impact sections address gender needs in any way.

Economic vulnerability is taken into account in the design of the project. All three major components of the project stress the need to respond to the needs of economically unstable flood affected families. However the

Economic vulnerability 2a
Positive "coping strategies and resilience" have been well woven into the needs assessment, activities and expected outcomes of the project.
farmers in Sindh ensured for 10 months after harvest through distribution of rice seeds, dual purpose sorghum seeds and fertilisers. land tenure system, especially in Sindh, is such that a limited number of middle and lower class families own land. Hence those working on the land might not be able to benefit from this project, rather the land owner would. Yet the project indirectly might generate employment and crop sharing for the economically vulnerable populations.

### Pakistan

**PK: 08-IOM-009-UFE. IOM: Shelter and non-food items - $517,496**

1. Well coordinated and monitored provision of shelter materials in flood affected districts.
2. 2300 shelter kits procured and distributed

#### Final proposal, narrative report, and summary. (3 documents.)

- 0 No attention to gender
- 2b Very strong focus on vulnerability and on targeting the vulnerable

**PK: 08-HAB-001-UFE. UN Habitat: Shelter and non-food items - $36,380**

1. Well coordinated and monitored provision of shelter materials in flood affected districts.
2. 2300 shelter kits procured and distributed

#### Original proposal

- 0 Gender has not been mainstreamed in need assessment, activities or the impact of the project.
- 1 Vulnerable families without shelter have been targeted by the proposal. The needs assessment part includes a description of their situation but the activities section does not highlight specific activities for beneficiary identification. The beneficiary selection process is included in the "project summary" part. The "expected outcomes" do not indicate the impact of the intervention.

### Pakistan

**PK: 08-HCR-006-UFE. UNHCR: Multi-sector - $1,100,000**

1. High standard treatment services for malaria, Coetaneous Leishmaniasis (CL), Tuberculosis and syndromes based management for sexually transmitted diseases is in place.
2. Basic Health Units (BHUs) operational and Afghan refugees are provided with adequate, timely, gender sensitive and accessible primary health care services - 3. Reproductive health care services are available and

#### Original proposal

- 2b Gender has been mainstreamed in all three components (needs assessment, activities, and outcomes). Targeted activities have been included to promote gender equality and respond to
- 2b Persons suffering from HIV/AIDS as well as prevention and response to SGBV cases has been addressed in activities and included in expected outcomes.

2b Community participation, protection, community participation and HIV AIDS are the cross cutting sectors that have been included. These are highlighted in activities and outcomes. The needs assessment however talks about HIV/AIDS;
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Proposal ID</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Original Proposal</th>
<th>Summary and Narrative Report</th>
<th>Reference to Cross-Cutting Links</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>PK: 08-CEF-014-UFE</td>
<td>UNICEF: Water and sanitation</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
<td>1. Provide water supply and latrine facilities to a minimum of 20 health facilities, including Basic Health Units (BHUs), Rural Health Centers (RHCs) and District Headquarter (DHQ). 2. Provide safe drinking water to the target flood population through the restoration, where possible, and the construction of water systems, including up-flow filtration hand pump systems, shallow tube wells, community water supply schemes, the installation of windmills, hand pumps and the installation of water tanks/points for communities and schools made non-functional due to flood water.</td>
<td>No reference to gender despite relevance of gender mainstreaming for water and sanitation.</td>
<td>Reference to cross-cutting links with other sectors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>PK: 08-WFP-020-UFE</td>
<td>WFP: Food</td>
<td>$963,458</td>
<td>One month food needs covered for 12,500 households and increased ability of affected farmers to meet their food needs in a crisis situation.</td>
<td>No reference to gender</td>
<td>Group said to be particularly vulnerable as was left out of earlier distributions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>PK: 08-WHO-019-UFE</td>
<td>WHO: Health</td>
<td>$1,171,191</td>
<td>• Reduced mortality and morbidity among the 500,000 most vulnerable flood affected population with emergency PHC services for 9 months - • Response to and control of outbreaks of communicable diseases, with a special focus on the reduction of waterborne diseases and related outbreaks -</td>
<td>The need to provide health services to pregnant women has been included in the needs assessment. Similarly, one activity addresses maternal and neonatal health services, while another is related to involvement of LHV. The expected outcomes do not indicate any impact of the intervention on women.</td>
<td>Community participation has been included in the activities, albeit limited to information dissemination. The needs assessment and expected outcomes do not include any information about the selected cross-cutting sector.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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