

## Annex 1: UNDAF RESULT MATRIX

UNDAF Priority 1: Governance, Economic Management and Human Right				
Outcomes/Outputs	Indicators, Baseline, Target	Means of verification	Assumptions/ Risks	Indicative resources by agencies & outcomes
<p><b><u>Outcome 1.1</u></b></p> <p><b>Sustainable Economic Management</b></p> <p>By 2021 accelerate inclusive and sustainable economic growth to reduce poverty and inequality for the vulnerable groups</p>	<p><i>Indicators</i></p> <p>1. Real GDP growth (2017-2021). <b>Baseline : 5.5% (2016)</b> <b>Target: 8% (annual average 2017-2021)</b></p> <p>2. % of population below \$1.75 per day (poverty headcount) <b>Baseline 49%(2013)</b> <b>Target : 35%(2021)</b></p> <p>3. GNI Per capita (US\$) <b>Baseline: \$500(2013)</b> <b>Target : &gt;\$1250(V2020)</b></p> <p>4. Unemployment rate — age 18-65) <b>Baseline (National -29.2%; Rural- 31.1, Urban- 28.4%);M-20.9%, F-38.3%)2012</b> <b>Target: National – 22%; Rural-25% , Urban 20%); M-15%, F-30%)2021</b></p>	<p>-Budget</p> <p>-IHS</p> <p>-APRs</p> <p>-Comprehensive Food Security and Vulnerability Assessments</p> <p>-National accounts</p> <p>-Labour Force Surveys (LFS)</p> <p>-Labour management information systems (LMIS)</p>	<p><i>Assumptions/Risks</i></p> <p>. Stable external / internal political and economic environment.</p> <p>Sustained structural reforms</p> <p>3. Availability of timely &amp; quality data.</p> <p>4. Availability of resources and capacity of institutions to absorb them.</p> <p>5. Sustained government’s commitment.</p> <p>6. economic growth faster than population growth</p>	

<p><b>Outcome 1.2</b></p> <p><b>Governance and Human Rights</b></p> <p>Institutional reforms implemented to ensure rule of law and guarantee the protection of the human rights of all including access to justice, gender equality, access to basic services and democratic participation in decision-making processes.</p>	<p><b>Indicators</b></p> <p>1. Governance indices: - Mo Ibrahim Ratings &amp;, CPIA (WB)</p> <p><i>Baseline: MOEI 21<sup>st</sup> in ranking and index value 51.5 CPIA 3.1(2015)</i> <i>Target: ranking 19<sup>th</sup> with index value 55; CPIA 4.0</i></p> <p>2. Existence of a National Human Right Institution in conformity with international standards (Paris Principles).</p> <p><i>Baseline : No</i> <i>Target : Yes</i></p> <p>3. Rate of compliance of The Gambia with its reporting obligations under ratified human rights treaties.</p> <p><i>Baseline 20%</i> <i>Target 100%</i></p> <p>4. Existence of an anti-corruption commission.</p> <p><i>Baseline : No</i> <i>Target : Yes</i></p> <p>5. % of women serving in in legislative and executive branches of the Government at all levels (in particular local councils, national assembly)</p> <p><i>Baseline: NA -9%; LGAs-15%</i> <i>Target: NA - 30%; LGAs- 40%</i></p>	<p>- UPR Reports</p> <p>UN Treaty body reports</p> <p>- UN Special procedure reports</p> <p>-National Assembly reports</p> <p>-- gazette</p> <p>- court register</p> <p>- Legal Aid Agency Reports</p> <p>- Reports by Women Bureau</p> <p>- CSO assessment reports.</p> <p>- Reports from relevant UN bodies and agencies.</p> <p>- Election results</p>	<p>1. Continued political commitment to the governance reform agenda.</p> <p>2. Availability of resources.</p> <p>3. Existence of independent CSOs and the Media.</p> <p>4. Willingness of women to contest and serve LGAs and National Assembly.</p> <p>5. Prevalence of negative sociocultural practices.</p> <p>6. Availability of quality data.</p>	<p>OHCHR</p>
--	--	---	---	--------------

**UNDAF Priority 2: Human Capital Development**

Outcomes/Outputs	Indicators, Baseline, Target	Means of Verification	Risk and Assumptions	Indicative Outcomes	Resources	by
<p><b><u>Outcome 2.1: Education</u></b></p> <p>Increased access to inclusive and equitable quality and relevant education for all.</p>	<p><b>Indicators</b></p> <p>1. Literacy rate %</p> <p><i>Baseline: 72% (2013 census)</i> <i>Target: 85%</i></p> <p>2. Gross enrolment rate at Basic &amp; Sec education levels</p> <p><i>Baseline (2015) : Lower Basic - 101.2; Upper Basic – 68.3, SSS – 41.6</i> <i>Target: Basic 100; Sec 70</i></p> <p>3. Completion Rate at Basic &amp; Secondary Education</p> <p><i>Baseline :Basic (Grade 9)-64.7 Sec (Grade 12) – 34.9% (2015)</i> <i>Target: Basic 100; Sec 70%</i></p> <p>4. Proportion of Pupils within 2Km (Basic) and 4Km (secondary) radius of school facilities</p> <p><i>Baseline : Basic 61%, Upper Basic 47%<sup>1</sup></i> <i>Target : Basic-73% ; Sec – 55.5%</i></p> <p>5. Journalism Education Institutes and media training centers reinforced and established</p> <p><i>Baseline: 0</i></p>	<p>- educational statistics</p> <p>Budgets</p>	<p>Commitment and affordable universal education</p> <p>Change in cultural practices</p> <p>Increased budget spending on education</p>			

<sup>1</sup> Bottleneck Analysis of The Education Sector The Gambia 2012

	<i>Target: 12</i>			
<p><b>Outcome 2.2</b></p> <p><b>Health</b></p> <p>Increase equitable access to quality health for all by moving towards Universal Health Coverage</p>	<p><b>Indicators</b></p> <p>1. NMR</p> <p><i>Baseline 34 per 1000 (2013)</i> <i>Target: 20 per 1000 (2021)</i></p> <p>2. MMR</p> <p><i>Baseline 433 per 100,000</i> <i>Target 263 per 100,000</i></p> <p>3. Availability of national multi-sectoral action policy and plan to improve management of and reduce NCDs and their risk factors</p> <p><i>Baseline: No</i> <i>Target: Yes</i></p> <p>4. Contraceptive prevalence rate (%)</p> <p><i>Baseline: 9% (2013)</i> <i>Target: 35% (2021)</i></p>	<p>Health statistics</p> <p>Health statistics</p> <p>UNDAF/ NDP annual report</p> <p>DHS/ MICS surveys reports</p> <p>Census</p> <p>Report</p>	<p>Commitment from MoHSW in addressing issues of maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality reduction.</p> <p>Health system strengthening (availability of adequate skilled health personal, medical supplies and health technologies, functioning integrated service delivery)</p> <p>High political commitment to NCDs which has been translated into the establishment by the Ministry of Health of a multi-sectoral working comprising different ministries and partners</p> <p>Coordination by UN agencies, Government and major health stakeholders.</p> <p>Human resource capacity</p>	
<p><b>Outcome 2.3</b> Increased access to equitable WASH for all.</p>	<p><b>Indicators</b></p> <p>1. Proportion of population using improved water sources for drinking</p> <p><i>Baseline: 91 % (2013 DHS)</i> <i>Target: 100%</i></p>	<p>DHS/MICS/WHO/UNICEF JMP</p>	<p>Increased budget spending on WASH</p> <p>Change in cultural practices/social norms</p>	

	<p>2. Proportion of population using improved sanitation facilities</p> <p><b>Baseline: 40% (2013 DHS)</b> <b>Target: 70%</b></p> <p>3. Open defecation</p> <p><b>Baseline: 1.8% (2013 DHS)</b> <b>Target: 0%</b></p>			
<p><b>Outcome 2.4: Nutrition</b></p> <p>Increased equitable and quality access to nutrition specific and sensitive services to improve nutrition status of the population</p>	<p><b>Indicators</b></p> <p>1. % of children under five years stunted</p> <p><b>Baseline : 24.5%</b> <b>Target : 10% reduction</b></p> <p>2. % of children under five years wasted;</p> <p><b>Baseline 11.5%</b> <b>Target 10% reduction</b></p>	<p>- DHS/MICS/SMART surveys</p> <p>-NaNA surveillance Reports</p>	<p>Sustained and well-funded nutrition program</p> <p>No major disasters</p>	
<p><b>Outcome 2.5: Social Inclusion and protection</b></p> <p>Access to integrated, inclusive and sustainable social protection services for 1 vulnerable groups<sup>2</sup> increased.</p>	<p><b>Indicators</b></p> <p>1. % of national budget allocated/spent on social protection programs.</p> <p><b>Baseline 0.6% (2013)</b> <b>Target 1.2% (2021)</b></p> <p>2. Proportion of vulnerable population receiving social protection support</p> <p><b>Baseline: 0%(2015)</b> <b>Target: 30% (2021)</b></p>	<p>Budget reports</p>	<p>Availability of resources</p> <p>Private sector participation</p>	

<sup>2</sup> The Gambian government has decided that beneficiaries of Social Protection will include; extremely poor individuals and households; Vulnerable children; Elderly; People with disabilities; chronically ill; Individuals and families affected by HIV; Vulnerable women and youth; Refugees and migrants; Prison inmates and their families

	3. National Capacity Index <sup>3</sup> for resilience, School Meals and Nutrition  <i>Baseline: SF – 9</i> <i>Target: SF – 15</i>			
--	---	--	--	--

### UNDAF Priority 3: Sustainable Agriculture, Natural Resources and Environmental Management.

Outcomes/Outputs	Indicators, Baseline, Target	Means of Verification	Risk and Assumptions	Indicative Outcomes	Resources	by
<p><b>Outcome 3.1</b></p> <p>Sustainable agricultural production and productivity increased for enhanced food security, nutrition and income generation in rural and urban areas</p> <p>(FAO, WFP, )</p>	<p><i>Indicators</i></p> <p>1. Food consumption Score <i>Baseline: Acceptable = 82% (Oct 2013)</i> <i>Target: Acceptable = 93%</i></p> <p>2. Annual average income of farmers <i>Baseline : US\$ 350 (MoA Estimate)</i> <i>Target : US\$500</i></p> <p>3. Change in volumes of production in MT &amp; % (5yr. avg)  <i>Baseline :G/nut- 90,714MT/-30%(2015; Rice (paddy)-57,000MT/0.3%; Millet-77,600MT/-25%; Maize-32,556MT/7%</i>  <i>Target G/nut- 300,000MT/150%; Rice (paddy)-557,000MT/300%; Millet-150,000MT/50%; Maize-132,556MT/70%</i></p>	CFSVA/EFSA/FSA				

<sup>3</sup> Conduct national capacity assessments of institutions in 5 main areas, as an indicator of sustainability in social protection interventions, using SABER tool: Policy, Financial capacity, Institutional Capacities and Coordination, Design and implementation and Community Participation

	<p>4. ANR spending as % of total Government budget (<i>commitment under the Maputo Declaration</i>) 10%</p> <p><i>Baseline 7%</i> <i>Target 10%</i></p> <p>5. Area of arable land (ha) cultivated</p> <p><i>Baseline : 320,000Ha</i> <i>Target : 440,000Ha</i><sup>4</sup></p> <p>6. Proportion of population using crisis and emergency coping strategies</p> <p><i>Baseline: Crisis (20%); Emergency (3%)</i> <i>Target: Crisis (10%); Emergency (0%)</i></p>			
<p><b>Outcome 3.2</b></p> <p>Sustainable, inclusive and integrated natural resource management and development enhanced for food security and income generation</p>	<p><b>Indicators</b></p> <p>1. Natural resource management policy frameworks and investment plans developed at national and regional levels &amp; adopted</p> <p><i>Baseline: No</i> <i>Target: Yes</i></p> <p>2. Number of ANR sector policies and strategies with climate <b>change adaptation action plans prepared</b> and endorsed by the Government</p> <p><i>Baseline: 0</i> <i>Target: 6</i><sup>5</sup></p>	<p>-National budget plan report</p> <p>-NAD-Gambia report</p>	<p>Climate change and coastal erosion</p> <p>Indiscriminate and haphazard waste dumping</p> <p>Participation of the private sector, CSOs, parastatals, women's groups etc. in sustainable natural resource management and use</p>	

<sup>4</sup> 2012 State of World Forecasted for 2015

<sup>5</sup> Agriculture, Fisheries, Forestry, Water resources, Parks & wild life, Environment.

	<p>3. Land use policy updated.</p> <p><i>Baseline: No</i> <i>Target: Yes</i></p> <p>4. Number of national institutions responsible for land use management whose capacities are strengthened.</p> <p><i>Baseline: 0</i> <i>Target: 3<sup>6</sup></i></p>			
<b>Outcomes/Outputs</b>	<b>Indicators, Baseline, Target</b>	<b>Means of Verification</b>	<b>Risk and Assumptions</b>	<b>Indicative Resources by Outcomes</b>
<p><b><u>Outcome 3.3</u></b></p> <p>By 2021, national institutions and community capacities are strengthened for effective disaster risk management and Climate Change Response Actions.</p>	<p><b>Indicators</b></p> <p>1. Number of institutions responsible for disaster risk management supported with human resource capacity building</p> <p><i>Baseline: 0</i> <i>Target: 8<sup>7</sup></i></p> <p>2. Number of institutions responsible for disaster risk management supported with logistics</p> <p><i>Baseline: 0</i> <i>Target: 8</i></p> <p>3. Proportion of land mass under forest cover</p> <p><i>Baseline: 32%</i> <i>Target: 30%</i></p> <p>4. Annual rate of deforestation</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Institutions have the require qualified staff</li> <li>- Political commitment</li> </ul> <p>Cooperation of institutions</p>	

<sup>6</sup> Ministry, Department of surveys and Department of Physical planning

<sup>7</sup>National Disaster Management Agency, Ministries of agriculture, Health, Environment, Interior; GBoS and Red cross.



	<p><b>Baseline: -0.4%(2010)</b> <b>Target: 0.2% (2021)</b></p> <p>5. Per capita CO2 emission (metric tons)</p> <p><b>Baseline: 0.3</b> <b>Target: 0.3</b></p>			
--	---	--	--	--

## ANNEX II) UNDAF MONITORING & EVALUATION CALENDAR

	2017 (Year 1)	2018 (Year 2)	2019 (Year 3)	2020 (Year 4)	2021 (Year 5)
Surveys/studies	<p>DHS Sentinel Surveillance Survey</p> <p>National Agriculture Sample Surveys</p> <p>National Agricultural Census</p> <p>Safety Net (Cash and Voucher) Survey</p> <p>In-depth Market Survey</p> <p>Mapping of Child Protection Systems</p> <p>Study on School based assessment at the basic cycle level</p> <p>Research on Children with disability</p> <p>Capacity development needs assessment on EMIS, Agriculture, GBoS.</p> <p>Capacity gaps assessment on the Public Sector</p>	<p>Population and Housing Census</p> <p>Sentinel Surveillance Survey</p> <p>National Agriculture Sample Surveys</p> <p>National Nutrition Survey for School age Children</p> <p>Operational research in ECD</p>	<p>Sentinel Surveillance Survey</p> <p>National Agriculture Sample Surveys</p> <p>Research on Equity and quality in schools</p>	<p>Sentinel Surveillance Survey</p> <p>IHS</p> <p>MICS5</p> <p>National Agriculture Sample Surveys</p> <p>Comprehensive Food Security and Vulnerability Analysis Survey 2</p> <p>Situation Analysis of Children and Women</p>	<p>Sentinel Surveillance Survey</p> <p>National Agriculture Sample Surveys</p>

U N C T M & E A C T I V I T I E S	Monitoring systems	Annual Monitoring	Joint	Annual Monitoring	Joint	Annual Monitoring	Joint	Annual Monitoring	Joint		
		Dept. of Social Welfare		Dept. of Social Welfare		Dept. of Social Welfare		Dept. of Social Welfare			
		Dept. of Water resources		Dept. of Water resources		Dept. of Water resources		Dept. of Water resources			
		M&E Units		M&E Units		M&E Units		M&E Units			
		GBoS M&E units		GBoS M&E units		GBoS M&E units		GBoS M&E units			
		Ministry of Agriculture PME Unit		Ministry of Agriculture PME Unit		Ministry of Agriculture PME Unit		Ministry of Agriculture PME Unit			
		National Strategy for the Development of Statistics		National Strategy for the Development of Statistics		National Strategy for the Development of Statistics		National Strategy for the Development of Statistics			
		EMIS		EMIS		EMIS		EMIS			
		HMIS		HMIS		HMIS		HMIS			
		LMIS		LMIS		LMIS		LMIS			
		GAMINFO		GAMINFO		GAMINFO		GAMINFO			
	Evaluations	School Feeding Programme Evaluation		PAGE Evaluation	Mid-term	CP Evaluation	Mid-term	End-term Evaluation of the Education Sector Policy & Strategy 2016 – 2022		Evaluation of pro-poor policies	
		FSCA beneficiaries assessment								Evaluations of interventions in	

					<p>End-term Evaluation of the Sanitation Policy (CLTS included) 2015-2020</p> <p>End-term evaluation of the PAGE II &amp; V2020</p> <p>Mid –Term evaluation of the National Nutrition Policy 2010 – 2020</p>	<p>environmental sustainability and DRR</p> <p>Evaluation of the support to state and non-state actors, oversight institutions, and the media</p> <p>CP end-term evaluations</p>
Reviews	<p>UNDAF Annual Review</p> <p>CP Mid-Year Review</p> <p>Annual review of National Contingency plan</p> <p>TCPs Annual Review</p>	<p>UNDAF Annual Review</p> <p>CP Mid-Year Review</p> <p>CEDAW review</p> <p>CRC Review</p> <p>Review of safety nets strategies</p> <p>Annual review of National Contingency plan</p> <p>TCPs Annual Review</p>	<p>UNDAF Annual review</p> <p>CP Mid-Year Review</p> <p>Annual review of National Contingency plan</p> <p>TCPs Annual Review</p>	<p>UNDAF Annual Review</p> <p>CP Mid-Year Review</p> <p>Annual review of National Contingency plan</p> <p>TCPs Annual Review</p>	<p>UNDAF Annual Review</p> <p>CP Mid-Year Review</p> <p>Annual review of National Contingency plan</p> <p>TCPs Annual Review</p>	<p>UNDAF Annual Review</p> <p>CP Mid-Year Review</p> <p>Annual review of National Contingency plan</p> <p>TCPs Annual Review</p>

	UNDAF evaluation milestones			UNDAF Mid-term evaluation	CCA/UNDAF process, including end review of current UNDAF	UNDAF End-of Cycle Evaluation  Preparation of new UNDAF
P l a n n i n g	M&E capacity development	DHS Data collection training	EMIS database management  Data collection and analysis training for GBoS and Agriculture	Public sector capacity development on PME	MICS5 data collection, processing and analysis training	
	Use of information	UNDP HD report  SOWC report  The State of Food Security in the World Report  The State of Food and Agriculture Report  The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture Report	PAGE II Status Report  SDGs status report  UNDP HD report  SOWC report  The State of Food Security in the World Report  The State of Food and Agriculture Report  The State of Food and Agriculture Report  The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture Report	UNDP HD report  SOWC report  The State of Food Security in the World Report  The State of Food and Agriculture Report  The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture Report	PAGE II Status Report  CCA  UNDAF reviews  SDGs status report  UNDP HD report  SOWC report  The State of Food Security in the World Report  The State of Food and Agriculture Report	UNDP HD report  SOWC report  The State of Food Security in the World Report  The State of Food and Agriculture Report  The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture Report

					The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture Report	
Partner Activities	MOFEA Poverty Monitoring System	MoA market Information/analysis	MoA market Information/analysis	MoA market Information/analysis	MoA market Information/analysis	MoA market Information/analysis
	MoA market Information/analysis	HIV/AIDS Sentinel Surveillance Survey (NAS)	HIV/AIDS Sentinel Surveillance Survey (NAS)	HIV/AIDS Sentinel Surveillance Survey (NAS)	HIV/AIDS Sentinel Surveillance Survey (NAS)	HIV/AIDS Sentinel Surveillance Survey (NAS)
	HIV/AIDS Sentinel Surveillance Survey (NAS)	Bi-annual SMART nutrition surveillance survey (NaNA)	Bi-annual SMART nutrition surveillance survey (NaNA)	Bi-annual SMART nutrition surveillance survey (NaNA)	Bi-annual SMART nutrition surveillance survey (NaNA)	Bi-annual SMART nutrition surveillance survey (NaNA)
	Bi-annual SMART nutrition surveillance survey (NaNA)					