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Why this session?

- Decision adopted at Second Regular Session 2019 of UNDP/UNFPA/UNOPS, UNICEF and UNWomen Executive Boards:

  “To present, by first regular session 2020, options on improving the quality of the structured funding dialogues”.

- Opportunity to reflect on several years of dialogues with the Executive Boards on funding issues, as mandated by the QCPR.

- Momentum created by the adoption of the Funding Compact, with agency-specific SFDs expected to inform and facilitate the implementation of the Funding Compact.
What does the Funding Compact suggest?

- **Mutual** commitments and accountability
- **Shared** understanding and purpose
- **Ownership and Leadership** by member states
- Embracing **Diversity** [funding needs, models, structures, rules & regulations]
- **Interactivity**
- **Results** and **Field** focus
What do we want to improve?

“QUALITY OF DIALOGUES”, which depends on:

- FORMAT
- CONTENT
- TIMEFRAME
  - Timeliness of dialogues
  - Regularity of interactions

Content, Format and Timeframe are interconnected
CLARIFYING SFDs GOALS

• Securing adequate level of flexible & predictable funding for the SPs through …

• Improved understanding of funding situations, transparency, trust, and mutual accountability

• Forum for analyzing needs, challenges and finding solutions

• Systematic follow-up to & implementation of commitments / decisions

• Complementarity to UNDS-wide processes

QUESTION TO MEMBER STATES –
Are there any other goals to be achieved through the SFDs?
PRINCIPLES INFORMING OPTIONS

- SFDs should be **jointly owned** and led by both member states and UN entities

- Content and format of the SFDs should facilitate **interactive dialogue** to promote learning and understanding

- Content and format should be iterative and shaped by **both member states’ and agencies’ interests and priorities**

- Content should be **evidence-based and analytical** – both quantitative and qualitative

- SFD **information/documentation** should be **easily accessible**

- **QUESTION TO MEMBER STATES** –

  Any other principles to be applied?
FORMAT OF SFDs

• A more flexible format: Informal setting, panel discussion, armchair set-up

• Leadership by member states on specific topics

• Engagement of Donors and Programme Countries in discussions

• Broadening the scope of participation, as relevant:
  • Other UN entities, IFIs
  • Private sector & non-governmental organizations
  • Subject experts, Speakers etc.

• Considering some meetings outside the UN Secretariat

QUESTIONS TO MEMBER STATES –

• How will member states contribute to making the dialogues more interactive?

• Are member states ready to lead on selective SFDs?

• Any suggestions to address inherent limitations, such as:
  - UN Board “traditional” format?
  - Rotation of Board’s Membership?
CONTENT OF SFDs

CONTENT OF DIALOGUES
• Shaping content to member states’ and entities’ mutual interests & priorities
• Focusing on concrete country examples and practices
• Addressing common funding considerations in a systematic manner

CONTENT OF SFD REPORT
• Harmonized improvement of agency-specific reporting on Funding Compact:
  • Improved narrative on progress and challenges
  • Annual milestones
  • Country-level impact

- Important considerations –
  ➢ System-wide guidance expected from DCO
  ➢ Avoiding double-reporting
  ➢ Taking into consideration agency-specific funding & business models

OPTIONS TO IMPROVE CONTENT OF SFDs

- QUESTIONS TO MEMBER STATES -
• Could member states suggest topics for the year ?
• What material is most appropriate for supporting dialogues (formal & informal sessions) ?
TIMING & TIMEFRAME OF SFDs
Quality depends on Regularity…

- **Frequency** of Dialogues:
  - One Formal session per year, preceded by an informal session (August-September)
  - Additional informal session(s) during the year
  - One joint-session per year, as needed

- **Relevance of discussion at different points in year**
  - Annual pre-determined calendar
  - Alignment to Board cycle
  - Alignment to other Board agenda items and other UNDS processes (ECOSOC, OAS etc.)

- **Need to factor-in:**
  - Financial data availability in the year
  - Entities’ capacity to generate data/analysis
  - Missions’ capacity to cover multiple SFDs per year

**OPTIONS TO IMPROVE TIMING OF SFDs**

**- QUESTIONS TO MEMBER STATES -**

- What are member states expectations with regards to frequency & regularity of SFDs ?
- What about one Joint-SFD per year ?
Consider additional agency-specific informal session(s) – To be discussed with each agency
COMMON FUNDING CONSIDERATIONS

• **UN Women** - Flexible & Predictable Funding: A spectrum of funding sources and instruments

• **UNDP** - Planning & Budgeting cycle in a voluntarily funded environment

• **UNICEF** - Gaps: Various aspects to consider
RESPONSES BY MEMBER STATES