

**Draft SUMMARY RESULTS MATRIX: Government of ROMANIA – UNICEF Country Programme, 2010- 2012**

UNICEF MTSP Priority Area	Key Results Expected in this Focus Area / Baseline Estimates for these Results	Key Progress Indicators	Means of Verification of Results	Major Partners, Partnership Frameworks & Cooperation Programmes	Expected Key Results in this Focus Area will contribute to:
5. Policy Advocacy and Partnerships for Children's Rights	<p><b>5.1.</b> National and local governmental authorities use knowledge gathered, capacity gap analysis and best practices to promote social inclusion of vulnerable boys and girls.  <b>Baseline:</b> <i>National Strategic Reference Framework 2007-2013 and Report regarding Social Protection and Social Inclusion; National Pact on Education; National Decade for Roma Inclusion; National Policies on Early Childhood Development, Education, Social and Child Protection, Health Care and HIV/AIDS;</i></p>	<p><b>5.4.1</b> # of national and local strategies and action plans addressing quality of life of vulnerable boys and girls;  <b>5.4.2</b> # national and/or sectoral policies and action plans adjusted, developed, implemented and/or evaluated;  <b>5.4.3</b> % of best practices which have been scaled up.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strategic National Report regarding Social Protection and Social Inclusion.</li> <li>• EU report on social inclusion and child poverty;</li> <li>• Reports on National Strategy Implementation;</li> </ul>	<p>Ministry of Education and Research (MER); Ministry of Labour, Family and Social Protection (MLFSP) and National Authority for the Protection of Child Rights (NAPCR); Ministry of Public Health (MPH), Ministry of Administration and Interior (MIRA); Ministry of Justice (MJ); Ministry of Economy and Finance (MEF); National Commission for Social Inclusion (NCSI); Social Inclusion Unit; Social Observatory; National Agency for Social Benefits; and local decentralised and/or representative authorities; Universities; NGOs.  <u>EU:</u> Social Inclusion and Social Protection Agenda;</p>	<p><u>UNCF Outcome:</u> Enhanced local capacities and resources (including utilization of structural funds and private sector resources) for effective and efficient implementation of social inclusion policies and programmes;  <u>CRC, Art. 2; CRC, Art. 4;</u>  <u>WFFC: all goals</u>  <u>MDGs: 1,2,3,4,5,6</u></p>
	<p><b>5.2.</b> National and local public institutions use nationally disaggregated (by sex, location and socio-economic group) data on child rights and economic and social deprivation to inform national policies and interventions in order to increase access to services and reduce disparities.  <b>Baseline:</b> <i>Absolute poverty rate among children aged 0 – 15 in 2004 was 24.7%; relative poverty rate in 2006 was 25.4 %;</i></p>	<p><b>5.3.1</b> # and use of indicators for monitoring child rights and economic and social deprivations by national and local public institutions;  <b>5.3.2</b> New monitoring system / mechanism approved and officially introduced for use by national authorities;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annual report and evaluations;</li> <li>• Strategic National Report regarding Social Protection and Social Inclusion;</li> </ul>	<p>MLFSP, NAPCR and decentralised authorities, NCSI; Social Inclusion Unit; Social Observatory; National Agency for Social Benefits (NASB); National Institute of Statistics (NIS) and Universities.  <u>EU:</u> Social Inclusion and Social Protection Agenda; revised Lisbon Strategy</p>	<p><u>UNCF Outcome:</u> Enhanced local capacities and resources (including utilization of structural funds and private sector resources) for effective and efficient implementation of social inclusion policies and programmes;  <u>CRC, Art. 4;</u>  <u>WFFC: all goals</u>  <u>MDG: 1</u></p>
	<p><b>5.3.</b> Budget process relies on disaggregated (by sex, location and socio-economic group) data and technical evidence to inform policy choices and increase investments and allocations for key services impacting on the well-being of boys and girls at national and decentralized level.  <b>Baseline</b> <i>No “children’s budget” to analyze how much of social sector expenditures target boys and girls and their specific needs at national and local level;</i></p>	<p><b>5.5.1</b> Baseline data on budget allocations including % and criteria of allocations for local budgets  <b>5.5.2</b> % of GDP for children (disaggregated by sector);</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Study on Romanian Public Financial Management and allocations for children;</li> <li>• National Budget and Local allocations;</li> </ul>	<p>MLFSP; MER; MPH, MIRA; MJ; MEF; NASB; NAPCR and local decentralised and/or representative authorities; Audit corporate; Universities; NGOs; experts.  <u>EU:</u> revised Lisbon Strategy;</p>	<p><u>UNCF Outcome:</u> Enhanced local capacities and resources (including utilization of structural funds and private sector resources) for effective and efficient implementation of social inclusion policies and programmes;  <u>CRC, Art. 4;</u>  <u>WFFC: all goals</u>  <u>MDGs: 1,2,3,4,5,6</u></p>
	<p><b>5.4.</b> Private sector and civil society engage in sustainable long-term partnerships and strategies to leverage and increase resource mobilisation;  <b>Baseline</b> <i>Donors and other contributors in 2008: 9 corporate, 3 media partnerships, 2 high profile supporter, over 140,000 one-off private individuals; 45% of OR in 2008 was locally fundraised;</i></p>	<p><b>5.2.1</b> # of new partnerships and new fundraising mechanisms developed and effectively implemented;  <b>5.2.2</b> Amount of donations;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annual report and evaluations;</li> <li>• Newsletters and media reports;</li> <li>• Financial accounts;</li> </ul>	<p>Private sector; Media; Civil society organisations; High profile supporters; Private individuals.  <u>EU:</u> EC's Communication towards an EU Strategy on children's rights; EU ODA policy;</p>	<p><u>UNCF Outcome:</u> Aid effectiveness and relevance of Romania's international development cooperation programmes, including its ODA, strengthened  <u>CRC, Art. 42;</u>  <u>WFFC: all goals</u>  <u>MDG: 8</u></p>

